## **Grading Sheet for Analytical Literature and Language Papers**

## **OVERALL ASSESSMENT**

Click here to enter text.

CONTENT
$\Box$ The paper exhibits a sustained, consistent level of analysis appropriate to the work(s)
☐ The paper exhibits a slightly less sustained, less consistent level of analysis appropriate to the work(s) (#10)
☐ The paper exhibits a less sustained or consistent level of analysis appropriate to the work(s) (#10)
☐ The paper exhibits a lack of analysis or a confused sense of the level of analysis appropriate to the work(s) (#10)
ORGANIZATION
$\square$ Paper is logically organized $\square$ but has few transitions (#28-9) $\square$ (but) is too short.
$\square$ Paper is partially logically organized $\square$ lacks logical development and has few transitions (#2-9)
☐ Intro provides context and has thesis in rhetorically appropriate place
$\square$ Weak intro (#2-3) $\square$ provides no context $\square$ too short $\square$ too long
☐ Intro does not lead into topic
$\square$ Thesis is not focused enough (#2-3)
$\square$ Thesis is missing or in rhetorically awkward place (#2-3)
☐ Some paragraphs are not developed enough (#4-5)
☐ Some paragraphs they lack analytical topic sentences (#4-5)
☐ Some paragraphs need more supporting details
☐ Some paragraphs are too long / undifferentiated (#5-7)
Conclusion provides appropriate summarization and universalization without being tedious
$\square$ Weak conclusion (#9) $\square$ merely a tedious summary $\square$ just peters out
☐ Conclusion does not universalize (#9)
SENTENCE STRUCTURE
☐ Sentences are varied and grammatically correct
☐ Sentences are mostly varied and grammatically correct
$\square$ Sentences could use more $\square$ coordination $\square$ subordination (#26) $\square$ active voice (#34)
$\square$ Sentences could use more variation: are $\square$ too short $\square$ too long $\square$ always S-V-O constructions (#26)
$\square$ Sentences are $\square$ occasionally $\square$ often $\square$ grammatically incorrect (#18-24) $\square$ garbled (#25)
$\square$ Sentences are $\square$ occasionally $\square$ often $\square$ inelegant (#15, 25, 27)
WORD CHOICE
☐ Diction is varied and adequate to the topic
☐ Diction is mostly varied
☐ More vocabulary variety needed (#35)
☐ More analytic verb variety needed (#36)

Dr. Obermeier						
<ul> <li>□ Word choice is □ occasionally □ often</li> <li>□ informal □ repetitive □ incorrect □ inelegant (#35)</li> </ul>						
MECHANICS						
□ Colons (#52)	☐ Other (#33)	☐ Semicolons (#21)				
□ Noun-Pronoun Agreement (#17)	☐ Comma Usage (#19)	☐ Hyphens (#40)				
☐ Fragments (#18)	☐ Comma Splices (#20)	☐ Possessives (#37)				
☐ Subject-Verb Agreement (#23)	☐ Run-ons (#22)	☐ Spelling/Typos (#45)				
MLA STYLE SHEET  ☐ The Guide to Style format of the pa						
☐ Paper shows an understanding of the <i>Guide to Style</i> format but is lacking in presentation and form						

☐ The Guide to Style format of the paper is correct
☐ Paper shows an understanding of the <i>Guide to Style</i> format but is lacking in presentat.
☐ layout of paper (#43-45, 54)
☐ integration of quotations (#46-53)
☐ documentation (#55-71)
☐ Paper shows no understanding of <i>Style</i> requirements:
☐ layout (#43-45, 54)
☐ quotations (#46-53)
☐ documentation (#55-71)
☐ Works Cited page is accurate

 $\square$  Works Cited page is inaccurate (#72-101)  $\square$  slightly inaccurate or  $\square$  missing (#72)

## **GRADING SYMBOLS**

The #s on the paper and on this sheet refer to the appropriate sections in the *Guide to Style*; online at <a href="http://www.unm.edu/~aobermei/Guide/indexGuide.html">http://www.unm.edu/~aobermei/Guide/indexGuide.html</a>. Checkmarks in the margins mean I liked your point or phrasing; circled words indicate a mechanical error (spelling, typos, punctuation, grammar). Bracketed sentences demonstrate structural weaknesses (run-on or fragmented sentences, awkward passages or grammatically wrong constructions). Straight underlines indicate that the expression is faulty; wavy underlines mean that your usage is not incorrect but could stand improvement. I expect you to identify the errors and remedy them, so that you can learn from your mistakes and do not make them on subsequent papers. If you are unclear about them, talk to me.

For Grading Rubrics, visit your class website.

## **GRADING SCALE**

A+ = 99-100	B+ = 88-89	C+ = 78-79	D+ = 68-69	F = 0-59
A = 94-98	B = 84-87	C = 74-77	D = 64-67	
A = 90-93	B - = 80 - 83	C = 70-73	D = 60-63	
excellent	good	adequate	damaged	failing

GRADE: Click here to enter text.