

## DEANS' COUNCIL MEETING - JUNE 18, 2009 - ROBERTS ROOM

Present: Martha Bedard, Finnie Coleman, Uday Desai, Charles Fleddermann, Richard Holder, Dick Howell, Leo Romero, Alfred Simon for Roger Schluntz, Kevin Washburn, Amy Wohler

Guests: Steve Beffort, Dale Dekker, Moira Gerety, Will Gleason, Joy Griffin, Mary Kinney, Raqui Martinez, Howard Snell, Eugene ? (Anderson Schools)

In the absence of Provost Ortega, Dr. Richard Holder called the meeting to order at 3:35 in the Roberts Room.

1. Information Items: (Richard Holder, Deputy Provost)

A. The President wants to feature an outstanding faculty member. The Provost also wants to use some of the information in marketing. Dr. Holder had indicated he would email information about this to all the deans following the previous meeting and that he had failed to do that. He handed out a list of the types of awards and fellowships they would be looking for and asked for the Deans' input. Distinguished professors would also be included in this request. Junior faculty would be good for the marketing facet of the project.

B. Provost Ortega is interested in doing some planning so that students can actually graduate in four years. Also she wants to maximize the instructional capacity of the faculty. More information will follow

2. Master Plan: (Dale Dekker, Will Gleason of Dekker, Perich, and Sabatini) – this agenda item was moved forward until the Redesign agenda item was ready for PowerPoint presentation)

Thumb drives of the Master Plan were distributed for those who wanted them. Each contains the 90 page document which will be used as a direction to go for growth on the campuses. A hard copy of a PowerPoint presentation of the Master Plan was also distributed.

Mr. Dekker indicated that sustainability was a large factor in developing the Plan. He said that virtually a city from Belen to Santa Fe is in the process of being built from the ground up which results in urban infill with issues that neighborhoods are involved with and want to have input about, including topics such as traffic, noise, pollution, maintaining open space, and others. It is important to think about how to infill, especially on main campus because there have always been smaller buildings which have not been sufficient academic space at a growing university. UNM has built in parking lots, leaving an issue of how to transport people or give them opportunities to park closer to where they will be going to class or living.

Mr. Gleason added that also at issue are things like an archival facility, more research space, and classroom updates.

Terrace Mall is being looked at to establish a major thoroughfare to move people from north/south over the campus. UNM will need to become a much denser campus with larger spaces that are flexible in function. It is a given that UNM will have to go vertical even if it cannot keep the "character" of the UNM campus.

The reservoir space off Central is a good space for developing and probably will result in a \$100 million dollar facility. This means that funding will need rethinking. Buildings cost far more than they have in the past.

Transportation across campuses is being considered. One idea is to provide a streetcar that will run from downtown to Yale, to the airport, down Cesar Chavez and back to downtown. This is a proposal by the city. It has been suggested that vehicle parking on campus be capped at what it

is now, and that bike and pedestrian routes be improved. All types of transportation is be being discussed.

Mr. Dekker said the goal for them now is to do a presentation for the Board of Regents, giving options in the Plan, asking for their input, but establishing dialogue with them so everyone knows what has been done thus far. Extensive discussion included:

- Whether there should be a limit on the amount of buildings versus open space (Dean Romero)?
- Have issues of sustainability into the next 50 years has been considered (Dean Coleman)?

Mr. Dekker told the group that there is a balancing act in building and leaving open space and that it would continue to be a topic discussed. He also indicated that UNM is not entirely defining itself. Everything that is happening nationally and internationally will also affect UNM. Things like mass transit strategies are important, especially for the Rio Rancho campus, and the city, county, and state have ideas and input for UNM as well.

Mr. Beffort noted what UNM is already doing. There is negotiation ongoing for bus passes again for students, staff, and faculty; there are shuttles running from remote parking lots; van pools have been started; there are zip cars on campus for short trips; and, there are shuttles that transport people from the RailRunner to campus and back. In addition, there has been a reduction in parking permits by over 1000, however there are still 1500 people on waiting lists for permits.

More discussion ensued. Questions of where the streetcar might go through campus, the importance of connecting the campuses, and the need for bigger buildings were asked. Mr. Beffort reminded everyone that the Master Plan is a direction and framework and is able to be changed as things begin to move. Dr. Holder mentioned that the kind of buildings that are being discussed are out of the \$10 million range, that we are out of that era, and how do you pay for them?

Mr. Beffort said talking to the legislature is one way and not continually being reactive as UNM has done in the past. Dr. Holder said that the way things have been set up thus far pretty much forces UNM to continue on this path. It was agreed that other funding ideas would need to be brought forth.

Ms. Kinney said that implementation strategies are being worked on and a process is developed for the planning. She mentioned that the Deans will be the actual marketers of the Plan, indicating what they need and want.

It is requested that the Deans share the Master Plan with their chairs and discuss avenues for which they would like to offer feedback or suggestions.

### 3. Update on the Reporting Redesign (Moira Gerety, ITS)

Ms. Gerety went through a PowerPoint presentation. It reflected several issues that ITS faces in its effort to take care of the core needs of users. At present people are not getting what they need. UNM is not keeping up with demands. It is clear that ITS has little control over use; query and report quality issues; and, governance. There is overall lack of system reliability.

A meeting of about 30 people took place in October with a diverse group. They met again in April and have decided on a 2009-2012 Strategic Direction. They want to implement governance; implement a Business Intelligence Group; cleanse and maintain UNM's data; build a "wiki" community; and, build (architect) the next generation and steps to get there. The "pieces of the whole" approach that has been taken in the past is not working.

The group believes that almost have the right people to make this all happen. They are looking for input so everything is addressed that everyone needs. Eugene (Anderson Schools) is building stand alone systems to make Banner give people what they need. Ms. Gerety is asking for time commitments from staff rather than money.

The hyperion system was purchased by Oracle and needs updating. And Banner did not make clear that hyperion has its problems in providing what people want so they are looking at either updating hyperion or perhaps looking at a different tool for reporting. Banner itself does not have the ability to run reports.

Ms. Gerety explained that what is needed is a Data Warehouse that is a lower level tool that sucks out the information from Banner and hyperion and gives people more of what they are looking for in reporting.

Dean Howell asked if the Banner tax would then go up to pay for this upgrade. Ms. Gerety said hopefully not, because that's not the way it has been funded. Dean Wohlert added that of course everyone wants the upgrades and thinks that the schools have good intentions. However, it is hard to buy into this since they have been jaded by what has been done already.

Ms. Gerety said she knows it will be difficult because there was never a clear indication of what really was wrong to begin with, but they are trying to get a consensus to move forward with this and sustain involvement by everyone if everyone does not buy into it. Dean Bedard said she thinks it may be hard to say whether she can give up someone for 15 percent of their weekly time to work on this.

Faculty Senate Howard Snell asked if there is a parallel for academic career use in all of this, to support the core mission with cyber infrastructure. Ms. Gerety said that is a whole other discussion but one that would be considered.

#### 4. Other Business

Due to time restraints, Associate Professor Joy Griffin quickly ran through a draft of her project with regard to Equity and Inclusion. She asked that at the next Deans' Council meeting for feedback from the Deans on the draft document she distributed.

As the first two agenda items had run long, Dr. Holder adjourned the meeting at 5:10 p.m.

Minutes provided by Donna Hoff, Program Specialist, Provost's Office