Chapter 4, Approximate methods

I. Time-independent perturbation method

Non-degenerate cases:

Suppose a real system is close to a simple system, the difference can be treated as a perturbation:

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}'$$

where $\hat{H}_0 |\phi_n^0\rangle = E_n^0 |\phi_n^0\rangle$ is known and the eigenfunctions are non-degenerate.

We assume the solution of $\hat{H} |\psi_n\rangle = E_n |\psi_n\rangle$ can be approximated as

 $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \lambda \hat{H}', \quad \text{where } 0 \le \lambda \le 1 \text{ is an arbitrary number}$ $|\psi_n\rangle = \left|\phi_n^0\right\rangle + \lambda \left|\phi_n^{(1)}\right\rangle + \lambda^2 \left|\phi_n^{(2)}\right\rangle + \dots$ $E_n = E_n^0 + \lambda E_n^{(1)} + \lambda^2 E_n^{(2)} + \dots$

Substituting back, we have

$$\begin{split} \hat{H}_{0}(\left|\phi_{n}^{0}\right\rangle + \lambda\left|\phi_{n}^{(1)}\right\rangle + \lambda^{2}\left|\phi_{n}^{(2)}\right\rangle + \ldots) \\ + \lambda\hat{H}'(\left|\phi_{n}^{0}\right\rangle + \lambda\left|\phi_{n}^{(1)}\right\rangle + \lambda^{2}\left|\phi_{n}^{(2)}\right\rangle + \ldots) \\ = (E_{n}^{0} + \lambda E_{n}^{(1)} + \lambda^{2}E_{n}^{(2)} + \ldots)(\left|\phi_{n}^{0}\right\rangle + \lambda\left|\phi_{n}^{(1)}\right\rangle + \lambda^{2}\left|\phi_{n}^{(2)}\right\rangle + \ldots) \end{split}$$

Counting the factors with the same power of λ :

 $0^{\text{th}} \text{ order } (\lambda^0)$:

$$\hat{H}_0 \left| \phi_n^0 \right\rangle = E_n^0 \left| \phi_n^0 \right\rangle$$

 1^{st} order (λ^1):

$$\hat{H}'\left|\phi_{n}^{0}\right\rangle + \hat{H}_{0}\left|\phi_{n}^{(1)}\right\rangle = E_{n}^{(1)}\left|\phi_{n}^{0}\right\rangle + E_{n}^{0}\left|\phi_{n}^{(1)}\right\rangle$$

 2^{nd} order (λ^2):

• • •

$$\hat{H}' |\phi_n^{(1)}\rangle + \hat{H}_0 |\phi_n^{(2)}\rangle = E_n^{(2)} |\phi_n^0\rangle + E_n^{(1)} |\phi_n^{(1)}\rangle + E_n^0 |\phi_n^{(2)}\rangle$$

For 1st order corrections, expand

$$\left|\phi_{n}^{(1)}\right\rangle = \sum_{k} c_{nk}^{(1)} \left|\phi_{k}^{0}\right\rangle$$

and then substitute back to 1st order eq.

$$\hat{H}'\left|\phi_{n}^{0}\right\rangle + \hat{H}_{0}\sum_{k}c_{nk}^{(1)}\left|\phi_{k}^{0}\right\rangle = E_{n}^{(1)}\left|\phi_{n}^{0}\right\rangle + E_{n}^{0}\sum_{k}c_{nk}^{(1)}\left|\phi_{k}^{0}\right\rangle$$

Multiply $\left\langle \phi_{n}^{0} \right|$ on the left and integrate

$$\left\langle \phi_n^0 \left| \hat{H}' \right| \phi_n^0 \right\rangle + \sum_k c_{nk}^{(1)} \left\langle \phi_n^0 \right| \hat{H}_0 \left| \phi_k^0 \right\rangle = E_n^{(1)} \left\langle \phi_n^0 \right| \phi_n^0 \right\rangle + E_n^0 \sum_k c_{nk}^{(1)} \left\langle \phi_n^0 \right| \phi_k^0 \right\rangle$$

Noting orthonormality $\left\langle \phi_n^0 \middle| \phi_k^0 \right\rangle = \delta_{nk}$, we have

$$\left\langle \phi_{n}^{0} \middle| \hat{H}' \middle| \phi_{n}^{0} \right\rangle + \sum_{k} c_{nk}^{(1)} E_{k}^{0} \delta_{nk} = E_{n}^{(1)} + E_{n}^{0} \sum_{k} c_{nk}^{(1)} \delta_{nk}$$

SO

$$E_n^{(1)} = \left\langle \phi_n^0 \middle| \hat{H}' \middle| \phi_n^0 \right\rangle$$

Now multiply $\langle \phi_m^0 |, m \neq n$, on the left and integrate

$$\left\langle \phi_m^0 \left| \hat{H}' \right| \phi_n^0 \right\rangle + \sum_k c_{nk}^{(1)} \left\langle \phi_m^0 \left| \hat{H}_0 \right| \phi_k^0 \right\rangle = E_n^{(1)} \left\langle \phi_m^0 \left| \phi_n^0 \right\rangle + E_n^0 \sum_k c_{nk}^{(1)} \left\langle \phi_m^0 \left| \phi_k^0 \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

Noting orthonormality again, we have

$$\left\langle \phi_m^0 \left| \hat{H}' \right| \phi_n^0 \right\rangle + \sum_k c_{nk}^{(1)} E_k^0 \delta_{mk} = E_n^0 \sum_k c_{nk}^{(1)} \delta_{mk}$$

$$c_{nm}^{(1)} = \frac{\left\langle \phi_m^0 | \hat{H}' | \phi_n^0 \right\rangle}{E_n^0 - E_m^0}, \qquad n \neq m$$

namely

$$|\psi_n\rangle \approx \left|\phi_n^0\right\rangle + \sum_{m\neq n} \frac{\left\langle\phi_m^0 \left|\hat{H}'\right|\phi_n^0\right\rangle}{E_n^0 - E_m^0} \left|\phi_m^{(0)}\right\rangle$$

1st order corrections to wavefunction include other 0th states (virtual excitation).

2nd order correction to energy:

$$E_n^{(2)} = \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\left\langle \phi_n^0 \middle| \hat{H}' \middle| \phi_m^0 \right\rangle \left\langle \phi_m^0 \middle| \hat{H}' \middle| \phi_n^0 \right\rangle}{E_n^0 - E_m^0}$$

Higher order corrections exist, but much more complicated.

Example: Harmonic oscillator in an external field

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2}kx^2 - \mathcal{E}qx$$

 0^{th} order solution \rightarrow harmonic oscillator:

$$E_n^0 = \left(\frac{1}{2} + n\right)\hbar\omega, \qquad \left|\phi_n^0\right\rangle = \left|n\right\rangle$$

4

SO

Express perturbation in ladder operators:

$$\hat{H}' = -\mathcal{E}q_{X} = -\mathcal{E}q_{\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\mu\omega}}} (\hat{b} + \hat{b}^{+})$$

1st order correction:

$$E_n^{(1)} = \left\langle \phi_n^0 \middle| \hat{H}' \middle| \phi_n^0 \right\rangle$$

= $-\mathcal{E}q \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\mu\omega}} \left\langle n \middle| \hat{b} + \hat{b}^+ \middle| n \right\rangle$
= $-\mathcal{E}q \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\mu\omega}} \left[\sqrt{n} \langle n \middle| n - 1 \rangle + \sqrt{n+1} \langle n \middle| n + 1 \rangle \right] = 0$

No contribution.

2nd order correction:

$$\begin{split} E_n^{(2)} &= \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\left\langle \phi_n^0 \middle| \hat{H}' \middle| \phi_m^0 \right\rangle \left\langle \phi_m^0 \middle| \hat{H}' \middle| \phi_n^0 \right\rangle}{E_n^0 - E_m^0} \\ &= \frac{(\mathcal{E}q)^2}{\hbar \omega} \frac{\hbar}{2\mu \omega} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\left| \left\langle n \middle| \hat{b} + \hat{b}^+ \middle| m \right\rangle \right|^2}{n - m} \\ &= \frac{(\mathcal{E}q)^2}{2\mu \omega^2} \sum_{m \neq n} \left[\frac{\left| \sqrt{m} \left\langle n \middle| m - 1 \right\rangle + \sqrt{m + 1} \left\langle n \middle| m + 1 \right\rangle \right|^2}{n - m} \right] \\ &= \frac{(\mathcal{E}q)^2}{2\mu \omega^2} \left[\left(\frac{n + 1}{-1} \right) + \left(\frac{n}{+1} \right) \right] = -\frac{(\mathcal{E}q)^2}{2\mu \omega^2} \end{split}$$

$$E_n \approx \left(\frac{1}{2} + n\right) \hbar \omega - \frac{\left(\mathcal{E}q\right)^2}{2m\omega^2}$$

Exact solution:

$$V = \frac{k}{2} \left(x^2 - \frac{2\mathcal{E}q}{k} x \right) = \frac{k}{2} \left(x - \frac{\mathcal{E}q}{k} \right)^2 - \frac{k}{2} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}q}{k} \right)^2$$

$$E_n = \left(\frac{1}{2} + n\right)\hbar\omega - \frac{\left(\mathcal{E}q\right)^2}{2\mu\omega^2}$$

Perturbation involving degenerate states

Degeneracy could cause problems with the denominator in above expressions.

Suppose the *n*th states are *L*-fold degenerate for

$$\hat{H}_0 \left| \phi_{n,l}^0 \right\rangle = E_n^0 \left| \phi_{n,l}^0 \right\rangle \qquad l=1,2,\ldots,L$$

One can always recombine the degenerate states to form a new set of eigenfunctions:

$$\left|\chi_{i}^{0}\right\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{L} c_{il} \left|\phi_{n,l}^{0}\right\rangle$$

such that they become non-degenerate with the perturbation.

How to determine c_{il} ? We start by doing the same perturbative expansions:

$$\begin{split} \left| \psi_i \right\rangle &= \left| \chi_i^0 \right\rangle + \lambda \left| \chi_i^{(1)} \right\rangle + \lambda^2 \left| \chi_i^{(2)} \right\rangle + \dots \\ E_i &= E_n^0 + \lambda E_i^{(1)} + \lambda^2 E_i^{(2)} + \dots \end{split}$$

Following the same procedure, we have 0^{th} order:

$$\hat{H}_0 \left| \chi_i^0 \right\rangle = E_n^0 \left| \chi_i^0 \right\rangle$$
 $i=1,2,\ldots,L$

1st order:

• • •

$$(\hat{H}_0 - E_n^0) |\chi_i^{(1)}\rangle = (E_i^{(1)} - \hat{H}') |\chi_i^0\rangle$$

Expand the 1^{st} order wavefunction in terms of the 0^{th} order ones

$$\left|\chi_{i}^{(1)}\right\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{L} a_{il} \left|\phi_{n,l}^{0}\right\rangle + \sum_{k} b_{ik} \left|\phi_{k}^{0}\right\rangle$$

where $\left|\phi_{k}^{0}\right\rangle$ are all the non-degenerate states of \hat{H}_{0} .

Substitute it back to the 1st order equation, we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{L} a_{il} (E_n^0 - E_n^0) |\phi_{n,l}^0\rangle + \sum_k b_{ik} (E_k^0 - E_n^0) |\phi_k^0\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{L} c_{il} (E_i^{(1)} - \hat{H}') |\phi_{n,l}^0\rangle$$

Multiplying on the left with $\left\langle \phi_{n,l'}^0 \right|$ and integrate

$$\sum_{l=1}^{L} c_{il} \left(E_i^{(1)} \left\langle \phi_{n,l'}^0 \middle| \phi_{n,l}^0 \right\rangle - \left\langle \phi_{n,l'}^0 \middle| \hat{H}' \middle| \phi_{n,l}^0 \right\rangle \right) = 0$$

noting orthogonality $\left\langle \phi_{n,l}^{0} \middle| \phi_{k}^{0} \right\rangle = 0$.

Finally, we have the secular equations:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{L} c_{il} \left(E_i^{(1)} S_{l'l} - H_{l'l}' \right) = 0$$

where S and H' are the overlap and Hamiltonian matrices.

In order for the above simultaneous linear equation to have nontrivial solutions, the corresponding determinant has to be zero:

$$\det \left| H'_{l'l} - E^{(1)}_i S_{l'l} \right| = 0 \qquad (\underline{\text{secular determinant}})$$

In other words, the coefficients (c_{il}) and the 1st order energy correction $(E_i^{(1)})$ can be obtained at the same time.

II. Variation theory

The expectation value of an operator cannot be less than the lowest eigenvalue:

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{\left\langle \psi \middle| \hat{H} \middle| \psi \right\rangle}{\left\langle \psi \middle| \psi \right\rangle} \ge E_0$$

 $|\psi\rangle$: trial wave function.

Proof:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n} c_{n} |\phi_{n}\rangle$$

where $\left|\phi_{n}
ight
angle$ are eigenstates of \hat{H} .

$$\left\langle \psi \left| \hat{H} \right| \psi \right\rangle = \sum_{n} \sum_{m} c_{n}^{*} c_{m} \left\langle \phi_{n} \left| \hat{H} \right| \phi_{m} \right\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{n} \sum_{n} c_{n}^{*} c_{m} E_{m} \delta_{nm}$$
$$= \sum_{n} |c_{n}|^{2} E_{n}$$

Assuming normalization, $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \sum |c_n|^2 = 1$, we have

$$\left\langle \psi \left| \hat{H} \right| \psi \right\rangle - E_0 = \sum_n \left| c_n \right|^2 (E_n - E_0) \ge 0$$

If trial wave function depends on an adjustable parameter, $\psi(c)$, one can vary *c* to achieve best results:

$$\frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial c} = 0$$

Example: ground state wavefunction of a harmonic oscillator.

Trial wave function: $\psi = e^{-cx^2}$

$$\left\langle \psi \left| \hat{H} \right| \psi \right\rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-cx^2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} e^{-cx^2} dx + \frac{k}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-cx^2} x^2 e^{-cx^2} dx \\ = \frac{\hbar^2}{m} \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)^{1/2} c^{1/2} + \frac{k}{4} \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)^{1/2} c^{-3/2}$$

$$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2cx^2} dx = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} c^{-1/2}$$

SO

$$\frac{\left\langle \psi \middle| \hat{H} \middle| \psi \right\rangle}{\left\langle \psi \middle| \psi \right\rangle} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}c + \frac{k}{8}c^{-1}$$
$$\frac{\partial \left\langle E \right\rangle}{\partial c} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} - \frac{k}{8}c^{-2} = 0$$

solution:

$$c = \frac{\sqrt{km}}{2\hbar}$$

Substituting back to $\langle E \rangle$

$$\left\langle E\right\rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\sqrt{km}}{2\hbar} + \frac{k}{8} \frac{2\hbar}{\sqrt{km}} = \frac{\hbar}{4} \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} + \frac{\hbar}{4} \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega = E_0$$

Linear variation:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i} c_{i} |\varphi_{i}\rangle$$
 with $|\varphi_{i}\rangle$ as arbitrary basis

Substituting back to $\langle E
angle$

$$E = \frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} c_{i} c_{j} \langle \varphi_{i} | \hat{H} | \varphi_{j} \rangle}{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} c_{i} c_{j} \langle \varphi_{i} | \varphi_{j} \rangle} = \frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} c_{i} c_{j} H_{ij}}{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} c_{i} c_{j} S_{ij}}$$

It can be proven to lead to the secular equations:

$$\sum_{i} c_i (H_{ik} - ES_{ik}) = 0$$

In matrix form:

Hc = **ScE** (generalized eigenequation)

Hamiltonian matrix:

$$H_{ij} = \left\langle \varphi_i \middle| \hat{H} \middle| \varphi_j \right\rangle$$

Overlap matrix:

$$S_{ij} = \left\langle \varphi_i \left| \varphi_j \right\rangle \right.$$

Solution can be obtained from the secular determinant:

$$\det |H_{ik} - ES_{ik}| = 0$$

If basis functions are orthonormal, $\langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, the secular equation becomes simply an eigenequation:

Hc = cE

in which \mathbf{E} is a diagonal matrix containing all the eigenvalues and \mathbf{c} are the corresponding eigenvectors.

Pros and cons of two approximation methods:

- Perturbation methods are good for small deviations from simple systems, not good for high-order corrections.
- Variation methods have lower limits, need no reference systems, but numerically more involved.