LECTURE 11.

merit of discourse and writing. which is always appealed to in disquisitions concerning the gm with some inquiries concerning taste, as it is this faculty THE nature of the present undertaking leads me to be-

whether there be any standard to which we can bring the amine the various fluctuations to which it is liable, and inquire characters of taste in its most perfect state. I shall then exmind. I shall next consider how far it is an improveable explain the nature of taste as a power or faculty in the human from the true. different tastes of men, in order to distinguish the corrupted faculty. I shall show the sources of its improvement, and the on the subject shall be in the following order. I shall first plain with precision; and none which in this course of Lectures will appear more dry or abstract. What I have to say indistinctly than on taste; few which it is more difficult to ex-There are few subjects on which men talk more loosely and

pleasures of food having, in several languages, given rise to the ing; and accordingly, from an external sense it has borrowed allied to a feeling of sense, than to a process of the understand the faculty by which we relish such beauties, seems more nearly Such objects often strike us intuitively, and make a strong receives pleasure from a heautiful prospect or a fine poem. very general term; but if we understand by it that power of the its name; that sense by which we receive and distinguish the the philosopher and the peasant; the boy and the man. Hence being pleased. impression, when we are unable to assign the reasons of our the understanding, or a deduction of argument, that the mind operation of reason. It is not merely through a discovery of hend the question may be easily answered. For nothing can tical matters judges of the fitness of means to an end, I appremind which in speculative matters discovers truth, and in pracan internal sense, or as an exertion of reason? Reason is a be more clear, than that taste is not resolvable into any such that occurs concerning it is, whether it is to be considered as from the beauties of nature and of art." The first question Taste may be defined, "The power of receiving pleasure They sometimes strike in the same manner

> and serves to enlarge its power.* operations of the mind, the inaccurate use of words is to be said; that reason is entirely excluded from the exertions of taste. carefully avoided, it must not be inferred, from what I have consider it. However, as, in all subjects which regard the word taste in the metaphorical meaning under which we now I shall show hereafter, assists taste in many of its operations, tain natural and instinctive sensibility to beauty, yet reason, as Though taste, beyond doubt, be ultimately founded on a cer-

speech. beauty, than it is to possess the attributes of reason and of principles of taste to be deeply founded in the human mind. their ornaments of dress, their war and their death songs, their shows itself in its most uncultivated state, the savages have this no less essential to man to have some discernment of harangues and their orators. We must therefore conclude the heavens. Even in the deserts of America, where human nature struck with the beautiful appearance of nature in the earth and rant peasants are delighted with ballads and tales, and are attachment to whatever is new or marvellous. The most ignokind or other; of what is orderly, proportioned, grand, harcommon in some degree to all men. Nothing that belongs to and statues, and imitations of all kinds; and their strong in their fondness for regular bodies, their admiration of pictures taste discover themselves very early in a thousand instances; monious, new, or sprightly. In children, the rudiments of human nature is more general than the relish of beauty of one *** Taste, in the sense in which I have explained it, is a faculty

See Dr. Gerrard's Essay on Taste,—D'Alembert's Reflections on the Use diques sur la Poesie et sur la Peinture, tome ii. ch. 22-31. - Elements of Critiand Abuse of Philosophy in Matters which relate to Taste.—Reflections Cricism ch 25,--Mr. Hume's Essay on the Standard of Taste.--Introduction the Essay on the Sublime and Beautiful.

signis et in aliis operibus, ad quorum intelligentiam a natura minus habent quenquam finditus natura voluit esse expertem." Cic. de Orat. lib. iii. cap. 50. acciationibus recta et prava dijudicant: idque cum faciunt in picturis, much less is to be found among the ancient, than among the modern rhetorical Omnes enim tacito quodam sensu, sine alla arte aut ratione, quæ sint in artibus and crifical writers. The following remarkable passage in Cicero serves however to show that his ideas on this subject agree perfectly with what has been sald edit. Gruteri.---Quintilian seems to include taste, (for which, in the sense Judico guod ea sunt in communibus infixa sensibus; neque earum rerum On the subject of Taste, considered as a power or faculty of the mind, in omni genere, tum in hoc ipso, magna quædam est vis, incredibilisque naturæ, nequis admiretur quonam modo hæc vulgus imperitorum in audiendo, notet ; cum above. He is speaking of the beauties of style and numbers. "Illud antem ustramenti, tum multo ostendunt magis in verborum, numerorum, vocumque

sense, reason, and judgment. The constitution of our nature which they relish are of the coarsest kind; and of these they men only the feeble glimmerings of taste appear; the beauties the powers and pleasures of taste, there is a more remarkable taste rises to an acute discernment, and a lively enjoyment of degrees in which it is possessed are widely different. In some them to perfection. sparingly, and fendered a higher culture requisite for bringing children. But in the distribution of those which belong only man's well-being, Nature hath made less distinction among her in this, as in all other respects, discovers admirable wisdom. the most refined beauties. In general, we may observe, that in have but a weak and confused impression: while, in others, with more frugality. She hath both sown the seeds more to the ornamental part of life, she hath bestowed her favours In the distribution of those talents which are necessary for inequality among men, than is usually found in point of common But although none be wholly devoid of this faculty, yet the

can be assigned, but culture and education.-I shall now protaste; and assuredly for this difference no other general cause each other, as in respect of the powers and the pleasures of have studied the liberal arts, above the rude and untaught vulgar the superiority which they give in the same nation to those whe civilized, above barbarous nations, in refinement of taste; and on mense superiority which education and improvement give to tion we may easily be convinced, by only reflecting on that imas we are now proposing to pursue. Of the truth of this assermark which gives great encouragement to such a course of study proveable faculty, if there be any such in human nature; a reto my next remark on this subject, that taste is a most imeducation and culture still more. The illustration of this leads and finer internal powers, with which some are endowed beyond in part, to the different frame of their natures; to nicer organs, lar in which these two classes of men are so far removed from The difference is so great, that there is perhaps no one particular This inequality of taste among men is owing, without doubts But, if it be owing in part to nature, it is owing to

which we now give to that word, the ancients appear to have had no distinct name, under what he calls 'Judicium.' "Locus de judicio, qui mes quidem opinione adeo partibus hujus operis omnibus connectus ac mistus est, ut ne à sententifiquidem ant verbis saltem singulis possit separari, nec magis arte traditur quam gustus aut odor.—Ut contraria vitemus et communia, ne quid in eloquendo corvuptum obscurumque sit, referatur oportet ad sensus qui non docentur Institut. lib. vi. cap. 3. edit. Obrechti.

ceed to show what the means are, by which taste becomes so remarkably susceptible of cultivation and progress.

versant among pictures, and studying the works of the best enable us to enter into the intricate and compounded pleasures of harmony. So an eve for the harmonic of harmony. our pleasure, teach us to relish finer melody, and by degrees compositions are relished at first: use and practice extend which is called an ear for music. Experience every day shows that nothing is more improveable. Only the simplest and plainest masters. all at once acquired. It is gradually formed by being conof harmony. So an eye for the beauties of painting is never power Of this we have one clear proof in that part of taste, curious attention to its proper objects, must greatly heighten its a simple sense, it cannot be doubted that frequent exercise, and composition | Placing internal taste therefore on the footing of proves the power of distinguishing them, and of tracing their ing to different flavours and tastes of liquors, wonderfully insight in discerning the minutest objects; and practice in attendto engrave on precious stones, acquire surprising accuracy of They who deal in microscopical observations, or are accustomed of cultivation than any of our other faculties. We see how even in our external senses; although these be less the subject them to examine the polish of bodies, than it is in others. infinitely more exquisite in men whose employment requires acute the senses become in persons whose trade or business leads to nice exertions of them. Touch, for instance, becomes holds both in our bodily and in our mental powers. It holds is the chief source of improvement in all our faculties. Reflect first upon that great law of our nature, that exercise

Precisely in the same manner, with respect to the beauty of composition and discourse, attention to the most approved models, study of the best authors, comparisons of lower and higher degrees of the same beauties, operate towards the refinement of taste. When one is only beginning his acquaint-nince with works of genius, the sentiment which attends them is obscure and confused. He cannot point out the several excellences or blemishes of a performance which he peruses; be is at a loss on what to rest his judgment; all that can be expected is, that he should tell in general whether he be pleased or not. But allow him more experience in works of this kind, and his taste becomes by degrees more exact and enlightened. He begins to perceive not only the character of the whole, but the beauties and defects of each part; and is

able to describe the peculiar qualities which he praises or blames. The mist is dissipated which seemed formerly to hang over the object; and he can at length pronounce firmly, and without hesitation, concerning it. Thus, in taste, considered as mere sensibility, exercise opens a great source of improvement.

But although taste be ultimately founded on sensibility, it must not be considered as instinctive sensibility alone. Reason and good sense, as I before hinted, have so extensive an influence on all the operations and decisions of taste, that a thorough good taste may well be considered as a power compounded of natural sensibility to beauty, and of improved understanding. In order to be satisfied of this, let us observe, that the greater part of the productions of genius are no other than imitations of nature; representations of the characters, actions, or manners of men. [The pleasure we receive from such imitations or representations is founded on mere taste; but to judge whether they be properly executed, belongs to the understanding, which compares the copy with the original.]

conducted, is felt or enjoyed by taste as an internal sense: bu the sentiments. The pleasure which arises from a poem so the sentiments being suited to the characters, and the style to conducted, and all the parts joined together with probability and part of our pleasure arises from the plan or story being well end, as there is indeed in almost every writing and discourse and the more that reason enables us to discover such propriet the discovery of this conduct in the poem is owing to reason due connexion; from the characters being taken from nature, there the understanding must always have a great part t there is any reference of parts to a whole, or of means to a works of taste, any resemblance to nature is aimed at; wherever why and upon what grounds we are pleased. Wherever, i pleased, through our natural sense of beauty. Reason shows us in the conduct, the greater will be our pleasure. In reading, for instance, such a poem as the Æneid, a great

Here then is a wide field for reason's exerting its powers in relation to the objects of taste, particularly with respect composition, and works of genius; and hence arises a second and a very considerable source of the improvement of tasts from the application of reason and good sense to such productions of genius. Spurious beauties, such as unnatural characters, forced sentiments, affected style, may please for a little

but they please only because their opposition to nature and to good sense has not been examined or attended to. Once, show how nature might have been more justly imitated or represented; how the writer might have managed his subject to greater advantage; the illusion will presently be dissipated, and these false beauties will please no more.

taste, and next, the application of good sense and reason to the objects of taste, taste, as a power of the mind, receives its unprovement. In its perfect state it is undoubtedly the result both of nature and of art. It supposes our natural sense of beauty to be refined by frequent attention to the most beautiful light of the understanding.

I must be allowed to add, that as a sound head, so likewise a good heart, is a very material requisite to just taste. The moral beauties are not only in themselves superior to all others, but they exert an influence, either more near or more remote, on a great variety of other objects of taste. Wherever the affections, characters, or actions of men, are concerned, (and these certainly afford the hoblest subjects to genius,) there can be neither any just or affecting description of them, nor any thorough feeling of the beauty of that description, without our possessing the wirtuous affections. He whose heart is indelicate pressworthy, nor the proper sympathetic sense of what is soft beauties of eloquence and poetry.

The characters of taste, when brought to its most improved state, are all reducible to two-delicacy and correctness.

natural sensibility on which taste is founded. It implies those finer organs or powers which enable us to discover beauties that lie hid from a vulgar eye. One may have strong sensibility, and yet be deficient in delicate taste. He may be deeply impressed by such beauties as he perceives; but he perceives only what is in some degree coarse, what is bold and palpable; this state taste generally exists among rude and unrefined nations. But a person of delicate taste both feels strongly and feels accurately. He sees distinctions and differences where others see none; the most latent beauty does not escape him, and the is sensible of the smallest blemish. Delicacy of taste

is judged of by the same marks that we use in judging of the delicacy of an external sense. As the goodness of the palate is not tried by strong flavours, but by a mixture of ingredients where, notwithstanding the confusion, we remain sensible each; in like manner delicacy of internal taste appears, but the confusion of the palate is and lively sensibility to its finest, most compounded, most latent objects.

that faculty receives through its connexion with the understanding. A man of correct taste is one who is never imposed on by counterfeit beauties; who carries always in his mind that standard of good sense which he employs in judging of every thing. He estimates with propriety the comparative merit of the several beauties which he meets with in any work of genius; refers them to their proper classes; assigns the principles, as far as they can be traced, whence their power of pleasing flows; and is pleased himself precisely in that degree in which he ought, and no more.

It is true that these two qualities of taste, delicacy and correctness, mutually imply each other. No taste can be exquisitely delicate without being correct; nor can be thoroughly correct without being delicate. But still a predominancy of one or other quality in the mixture is often visible. The power of delicacy is chiefly seen in discerning the true merit of a work; the power of correctness, in rejecting false pretensions to merit. Delicacy leans more to feeling; correctness more the reason and judgment. The former is more the gift of nature, the latter, more the product of culture and art. Among the ancient critics, Longinus possessed most delicacy; Aristotic most correctness. Among the moderns, Mr. Addison is a high example of delicate taste; Dean Swift, had he written on the subject of criticism, would perhaps have afforded the example of a correct one.

Having viewed taste in its most improved and perfect state. I come next to consider its deviations from that state; the fluctuations and changes to which it is liable, and to inquire whether, in the midst of these, there be any means of distinguishing a true from a corrupted taste. This brings us to the most difficult part of our task. For it must be acknowledged, the no principle of the human mind is, in its operations, more fluctuating and capricious than taste. Its variations have been so great and frequent, as to create a suspicion with some, of its period of the capricious than taste.

as Suckling and Etheridge were held in esteem for dramatic mistaken for the tender spirit of love poetry; and such writers Cowley's laboured and unnatural conceits were admired as the Augustan age; when nothing was in vogue but an affected brilvery quintessence of genius; Waller's gay sprightliness was king Charles II., which the authors too of that time deemed an now, from what prevailed there no longer ago than the reign of different is the taste of poetry which prevails in Great Britain liancy of wit; when the simple majesty of Milton was overoblivion! Without going back to remote instances, how very looked, and Paradise Lost almost entirely unknown; when three centuries ago, are now fallen into entire disrepute and country, how many writings that were greatly extolled two or beauties, and despised the Asiatic ostentation. In our own gaudy: whilst the Greeks admired only chaste and simple soure, the Grecian models were long esteemed the most peradulties concerning the objects of taste were vain. ornament, and splendid in a degree that we should denominate and engrossed the public admiration. In eloquence and poetry, vailed, and afterwards the Grecian taste revived in all its vigour, with In succeeding ages, the Gothic architecture alone prethe Asiatics at no time relished any thing but what was full of the consequence of which would be, that all studies or regular able by no standard, but wholly dependent on changing fancy;

instances as these? Is there any thing that can be called a standard of taste, by appealing to which we may distinguish between a good and a bad taste? Or, is there in truth no such distinction; and are we to hold that, according to the proverb, there is no disputing of tastes: but that whatever pleases is and a very nice and subtle one it is, which we are now to discoss.

standard of taste, this consequence must immediately follow, that all tastes are equally good; a position which, though it may pass unnoticed in slight matters, and when we speak of apply it to the extremes, presently shows its absurdity. For is the extremes, presently shows its absurdity. For is the extremes, presently shows its absurdity absurded that the taste of a total taste of a Laplander is as delicate and as correct as that

preference of one man's taste to that of another; or that there ably to this conclusion, that there is some foundation for the right extravagance to talk in this manner, we are led unavoidexcellent an historian as Tacitus? As it would be held downno detect or incapacity who thinks a common news-writer as of a Longinus or an Addison? or, that he can be charged with is a good and a bad, a right and a wrong in taste, as in other

admires the simple; another the ornamented style. The young are amused with gay and sprightly compositions. The elderly oblige us to seek for some standard in order to determine who elegance both in description and sentiment. Though all differ, ably as to their object, and yet none of them be wrong. One are in the right. The tastes of men may differ very considermankind, does not in every case infer corruption of taste, or observe next, that the diversity of tastes which prevails among son, where there is but one conclusion that can be true, and all rest. It is not in matters of taste, as in questions of mere reayet all pitch upon some one beauty which peculiarly suits their ations of passion. Others incline to more correct and regular tions delight in bold pictures of manners, and strong representare more entertained with those of a graver cast. Some nabut history. One prefers comedy; another, tragedy. One man relishes poetry most; another takes pleasure in nothing therefore admits of latitude and diversity of objects, in sufficient is one; beauty, which is the object of taste, is manifold. Taste the rest are erroneous. (Truth, which is the object of reason, turn of mind; and therefore no one has a title to condemn the But, to prevent mistakes on this subject, it is necessary to

consistency with goodness or justness of taste.]
But then, to explain this matter thoroughly, I must observe condemns that as ugly, which another admires as highly beautiplace where the objects of taste are different. Where it is another in the wrong, unless that absurd paradox were allowed ful; then it is no longer diversity, but direct opposition of taste with respect to the same object that men disagree, when one further, that this admissible diversity of tastes can only have admire Homer more than Virgil, I have as yet no reason to say that takes place; and therefore one must be in the right and to hold, that all tastes are equally good and true. One man struck with the elegance and tenderness which are the characthat our tastes are contradictory. The other person is more prefers Virgil to Homer. Suppose that I, on the other hand

> miserable degree; and I appeal to whatever I think the standard of taste, to show him that he is in the wrong. either is void of all taste, or that his taste is corrupted in a writer, and that he would as soon peruse any old legend of beauties whatever; that he holds him to be a dull and spiritless knight-errantry as the Iliad; then I exclaim, that my antagonist able. But if the other man shall assert that Homer has no diversity of tastes, which I have shown to be natural and allowtenistics of Virgil: I, with the simplicity and fire of Homer. As great beauties, our difference falls within the compass of that long as neither of us deny that both Homer and Virgil have

authority as to be the test of other things of the same kind. Thus a standard weight, or measure, is that which is appointed court is said to be the standard of good breeding; and the by law to regulate all other measures and weights. Thus the Scripture of theological truth. A standard properly signifies that which is of such undoubted tastes, we are obliged to have recourse, remains to be traced. What that standard is, to which, in such opposition of

be rendered more clear and precise, to be the standard of meaning. We must therefore search for somewhat that can pression frequently used, without any distinct or determinate approving or condemning, by comparing the copy with the original. But there are innumerable cases in which this rule cannot be at all applied; and conformity to nature is an exhath in such cases full scope for exerting its authority, for full and distinct criterion of what is truly beautiful. Reason human characters or actions, conformity to nature affords a tended of some object that exists in nature, as in representing There is no doubt, that in all cases where an imitation is indown a principle very true and just, as far as it can be applied. When we say that nature is the standard of taste, we lay-

Wherever their taste differed from his, it could be imputed only beyond doubt, be a perfect standard for the taste of all others. exquisite and just, and whose reason was unerring and sure, of human nature, whose internal senses were in every instance anyone person who possessed in full perfection all the powers being guided and enlightened by reason. Now, were there which, in its application to particular objects, is capable of an internal sense of beauty, which is natural to men, and the determination of such a person concerning beauty would, Taste, as I before explained it, is ultimately founded on

will allow such submission to be due, what is there of sufficient no such living standard, no one person to whom all mankind to some imperfection in their natural powers. But as there is authority to be the standard of the various and opposite tastes must be esteemed just and true, which coincides with the the most in admiring, must be held to be beautiful. His taste it can be gathered, of human nature. That which men concur of men? Most certainly there is nothing but the taste, as far as To the sense of mankind the ultimate appeal must ever lie, in all general sentiments of men. In this standard we must rest. works of taste. If any one should maintain that sugar was bitter and tobacco was sweet, no reasonings could avail to regard to the objects of sentiment or internal taste, the comtaste of the species to which he belongs. In like manner, with to be diseased, merely because it differed so widely from the prove it. The taste of such a person would infallibly be held mon feelings of men carry the same authority, and have a title to regulate the taste of every individual.

But have we then, it will be said, no other criterion of what is beautiful, than the approbation of the majority? Must we collect the voices of others, before we form any judgment for ourselves, of what deserves applause in eloquence or poetry? By no means; there are principles of reason and sound judgment which can be applied to matters of taste as well as to the subjects of science and philosophy. He who admires or censubjects of science and philosophy. He who admires or censures any work of genius, is always ready, if his taste be in any degree improved, to assign some reasons for his decision. He appeals to principles, and points out the grounds on which he proceeds. Taste is a sort of compound power, in which the light of the understanding always mingles more or less, with

But, though reason can carry us a certain length in judging concerning works of taste, it is not to be forgotten that the ultimate conclusions to which our reasonings lead, refer at last to sense and perception. We may speculate and argue concerning propriety of conduct in a tragedy, or an epic poem. Just reasonings on the subject will correct the caprice of unenlightened taste, and establish principles for judging of what deserves praise. But, at the same time, these reasonings appeal always, in the last resort, to feeling. The foundation upon which they rest, is what has been found from experience to please mankind universally. Upon this ground we prefer a

simple and natural, to an artificial and affected style; a regular and well-connected story, to loose and scattered narratives; a catastrophe which is tender and pathetic, to one which leaves us unmoved. It is from consulting our own imagination and heart, and from attending to the feelings of others, that any principles are formed which acquire authority in matters of taste.*

When we refer to the concurring sentiments of men as the ultimate test of what is to be accounted beautiful in the arts, this is to be always understood of men placed in such situations as are favourable to the proper exertions of taste. Every one must perceive that among rude and uncivilized nations, and during the ages of ignorance and darkness, any loose notions that are entertained concerning such subjects carry no authority. In those states of society, taste has no materials on which to operate. It is either totally suppressed, or appears in its lowest and most imperfect form. We refer to the sentiments of mankind in polished and flourishing nations; when arts are cultivated and manners refined; when works of genius are subjected to free discussion, and taste is improved by science and philosophy.

that accidental causes may occasionally warp the proper operations of taste: sometimes the state of religion, sometimes the form of government, may for a while pervert it; a licentious court may introduce a taste for false ornaments, and dissolute writings. The usage of one admired genius may procure approbation for his faults, and even render them fashionable. Sometimes envy may have power to bear down, for a little, productions of great merit; while popular humour, or party spirit, may, at other times, exalt to a high, though short-lived, reputa-

the common feelings of human nature ascertained by general approbation, and those who found it upon established principles which can be ascertained by reason, is more an apparent than a real difference. Like many other literary controversies, it turns chiefly on modes of expression. For they who lay the greatest stress on sentiment and feeling, make no scruple of applying argument and reason to matters of taste. They appeal, like other writers, to established principles, in judging of the excellencies of eloquence or poetry; and plainly show, that the general approbation to which they ultimately recur, is an approbation resulting from discussion as well as from sentiment. They, on the other band, who, in order to vindicate taste from any suspicion of being arbitrary, maintain that it is ascertainable by the standard of reason, admit nevertheless, that what pleases universally, must on that account be held to be truly believed any just anthority, if they be found to contradict the general sentiments of men.

tion, what little deserved it. But though such casual circumstances give the appearance of caprice to the judgments of taste, that appearance is easily corrected. In the course of time, the genuine taste of human nature never fails to disclose itself, and to gain the ascendant over any fantastic and corrupted modes of taste which may chance to have been introduced. These may have currency for a while, and mislead superficial judges; but being subjected to examination, by degrees they pass away; while that alone remains which is founded on sound reason, and the native feelings of men.

to which, in every particular instance, we can resort for clear and immediate determination. Where, indeed, is such a standard to be found for deciding any of those great controversies in reason and philosophy, which perpetually divide mankind? In the present case, there was plainly no occasion for any such strict and absolute provision to be made. In order to judge of what is morally good or evil, of what man ought, or ought not in duty to do, it was fit that the means of clear and precise determination should be afforded us. But to ascertain in every case with the utmost exactness what is beautiful or elegant, was not at all necessary to the happiness of man. And therefore some diversity in feeling was here allowed to take place; and room was left for discussion and debate, concerning the degree of approbation to which any work of genius is entitled.

criterion for determining whether it be false or true. Its founject to the fancy of every individual, and which admits of no lectual principles. When these sentiments are perverted by ments and perceptions which belong to our nature; and which dation is the same in all human minds. It is built upon sentithat taste is far from being an arbitrary principle, which is subignorance or prejudice, they are capable of being rectified by in general, operate with the same uniformity as our other intelcomposition, what interests the imagination, and touches the power to command lasting and general admiration. In every are beauties, which, if they be displayed in a proper light, have the uncertainty of taste, it is found by experience, that there men declaim as much as they please concerning the caprice and by comparing them with the general taste of mankind. Let reason. Their sound and natural state is ultimately determined, heart, pleases all ages and all nations. There is a certain The conclusion, which it is sufficient for us to rest upon, is,

string to which, when properly struck, the human heart is so made as to answer.

Hence the universal testimony which the most improved nations of the earth have conspired, throughout a long tract of ages, to give to some few works of genius; such as the Iliad of Homer, and the Æneid of Virgil. Hence the authority which such works have acquired as standards, in some degree, of poetical composition; since from them we are enabled to collect what the sense of mankind is, concerning those beauties which ought to exhibit. Authority or prejudice may, in one age or bad artist: but when foreigners, or when posterity examine his nature appears. "Opinionum commenta delet dies; nature judicia confirmat." Time overthrows the illusions of opinion, but establishes the decisions of nature.

LECTURE III

CRITICISM.—GENIUS.—PLEASURES OF TASTE.—SÜBLIMITY IN OBJECTS.

ployed, without distinct ideas annexed to them. In beginning a course of lectures where such words must often occur, it is necessary to ascertain their meaning with some precision. Having in the last lecture treated of taste, I proceed to explain application of taste and of good sense to the several fine arts. The object which it proposes is, to distinguish what is beautiful stances to ascend to general principles; and so to form rules or conclusions concerning the several kinds of beauty in works of genius.

priori, as it is called; that is, they are not formed by any induction a abstract reasoning, independent of facts and observations. Criticism is an art founded wholly on experience; on the observations of such beauties as have come nearest to the standard which I before established; that is, of such beauties as have