Observation & Measurement II: Observational Methods Interobserver Agreement Treatment Fidelity

Class 6 SPCD 619





SIMPLIFIED HABIT REVERSAL TREATMENT FOR CHRONIC HAIR PULLING IN THREE ADOLESCENTS: A CLINICAL REPLICATION WITH DIRECT OBSERVATION JOHN T. RAPP, RAYMOND G. MILTENBERGER, ETHAN S. LONG, AMY J. ELIOTI, AND VICKI A. LUMLEY

 Target Behavior and Data Collection
"Hair pulling was defined as touching the fingers to the scalp, eyebrow, or eyelashes for all 3 participants."



lehavi	ors
	Operational definitions
	3 examples and near nonexamples
	Symbols/codes used
	system
Coding	Procedures
	General guidelines
	What to do to prepare for observation
	" during the observation
	" " after the observation
	Sample data forms or screen shots of computerized systems
Reliabi	lity
	Plan for conducting IOA checks
	Plan for conducting procedural reliability checks



TABLE 1 Quality Indicators Within Single-Subject Research

Dependent Variable

- Dependent variables are described with operational precision.
- Each dependent variable is measured with a procedure that generates a quantifiable index.
- Measurement of the dependent variable is valid and described with replicable precision.
- Dependent variables are measured repeatedly over time.
- Data are collected on the reliability or interobserver agreement associated with each dependent variable, and IOA levels meet minimal standards (e.g., IOA = 80%; Kappa = 60%).

Selecting a Measurement **Behavior Sampling** $System_{(Yoder \ \& \ Symons, \ 2010)}$ System has Continuous Behavior sampling method Code entire observation session □ Most complete but most expensive method Participant sampling method Can use a computer system to measure Coding decision recording onset/offset {timed event} or use a method simple tally system {event recording} □ Intermittent Interval

Ever	t Recording
🗆 Adv	antages:
	Useful across many topographies of discrete behaviors (movement cycles)
	Easy to use – most direct and accurate measure of the number of times a behavior occurs
🗖 Disa	advantages
	Requires continuous observation
	Can't be used with continuous (i.e., non- discrete) data
	Inaccurate with high frequency behaviors
	Confounds frequency with duration of responding









nole	Πn	ter	val R	eco	rdi	na	
Student	• Alice	cer					
Start tin	ne: 8:0	5 E	nd time: 8:	20 S	etting	: morni	ig reces
Definitio	on of b	ehavior					-g
S	Social In	nteractio	n: within 2	eet of ano	ther cl	nild with	interacti
P	Parallel	Play wit	hin 2 feet of	another c	hild, n	o interac	tions
A	Alone: f	urther th	an 2 feet fro	om anyone			
0	Organiz	ed Play:	interacting	with other	s in an	organize	ed game
N	lo Cod	e: none o	of the above				
Observe	er: Mr.	Fables	(paraprofess	ional)			
Time	Se	ocial	Parallel	Alone	Org	ganized	No
Time	Sc Inter	ocial raction	Parallel Play	Alone	Or	ganized Play	No Code
Time	So Inter +	cial raction -	Parallel Play	Alone	Org +	ganized Play -	No Code
Time	So Inter + x	cial action -	Parallel Play	Alone	Org +	ganized Play -	No Code
Time 10" 20"	So Inter + x	cial raction - x	Parallel Play	Alone	Org +	ganized Play -	No Code
Time 10" 20" 30"	So Inter + X	cial raction - x x	Parallel Play	Alone	Or:	ganized Play -	No Code
Time 10" 20" 30" 40"	So Inter + x	raction - x x x	Parallel Play	Alone	+	ganized Play -	No Code
Time 10" 20" 30" 40" 50"	Sc Inter + x	raction - x x	Parallel Play	Alone	+	ganized Play -	No Code
Time 10" 20" 30" 40" 50" 60" 70"	Sc Inter + x	raction - x x	Parallel Play 	Alone		zanized Play -	No Code
Time 10" 20" 30" 40" 50" 60" 70" 80"	So Inter + x	cial raction - - x x	Parallel Play X X	Alone	+	ganized Play	No Code
Time 10" 20" 30" 40" 50" 60" 70" 80" 90"	So Inter + x	cial raction - - x x	Parallel Play 	Alone	Ors +	anized Play 	No Code

Who	le Interval Recording
🗆 Ad	vantages
C	Provides an estimate of behavior that occurs continuously
C	Can be converted to percentage
🗆 Dis	advantages
E	Tends to underestimate overall occurrence of responding
Γ	Requires continuous observation (fatigue, time)

Мо	me	enta	ary	′ Ir	ntei	rva	l R	eco	orc	ling]
Doo per	es ti riod	ne b at f	eha the	avio enc	r oc I of	cur the	dur inte	ing erval	a fi ?	ixed	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Momentary	Х			х	Х	Х	Х				50%
Behavior					J L				L	J	

		Obser Date Class Targe	rer t Student		N	DA tart ti zhool 'arget	me_ Peer			_	. (4	ircle the	primary observer)				
5	Cont Cons class Form group Com	tact: Stud sistent: Ir room cun nat: Type p; >8) ment: De	ent is (+) formatio iculum o of work scribe th	or is not (0) en n provided to s or activities that is occurrin that processing that is occurring that is occurring that	ngaged with o tudent is rela ng (indep., 1: at (i.e., coop	ted b l, sm erativ	e ma o (+) all g re le:	tterials) or is r roup: 1 aming,	iot related t 2-8 student 1ecture)	1 to (0) s, lar	Legend Soc phy TP S ^e		action: includes tact, gestures, sig er, OT= other p live or unre neutral, 4= is	verbal and nonve gns, pointing) eer, SD= student · ciprocated, 2=infr itermittent and po	rbal intera with disab equent, bri sitive 5= s	ctions (e. lities ief and n ustained	g., eutral 3= and
t				Studen	with a Die	abili	hv:				\sim			Peer Sunnort			
H	Min.	contact	consis	format	Comment	T	ЙТ	OT	que	1	\sim	consis	format	Comment	\$D	TO	quality
F	1	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm lg		+	0	+ 0	17	.0		+ 0	Inde 1 am 1g		+ 0	+ 0	1234
ŀ	2	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm lg		+	0	+ 0	1	\sim	·/-	+ 0	Inde 1 sm lg		+ 0	+ 0	1234
Γ	3	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm 1g		+	0	+ /		U.	0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm 1g		+ 0	+ 0	1234
F	•	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm lg		+	0	7	バ	1	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm 1g		+ 0	+ 0	1234
F	5	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm 1g		+	0			5	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm 1g		+ 0	+ 0	1234
ľ	6	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm 1g		+	0	+ 0	3.4	5	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 am 1g		+ 0	+ 0	1234
Γ	7	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 em 1g		+	0	+ 0	1234	5	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 om 1g		+ 0	+ 0	1234
Г	8	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 am 1g		÷	0	+ 0	1234	5	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 em 1g		+ 0	+ 0	1234
F	9	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm 1g		+	0	+ 0	1 2 3 4	5	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm 1g		+ 0	+ 0	1 2 3 4
Γ	10	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm 1g		+	0	+ 0	1 2 3 4	5	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm 1g		+ 0	+ 0	1234
Γ	11	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm 1g		+	0	+ 0	1234	- 5	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm 1g		+ 0	+ 0	1234
Γ	12	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm 1g		+	0	+ 0	1234	- 5	+ 0	+ 0	Indel sm ig		+ 0	+ 0	1234
t	13	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm lg		+	0	+ 0	1234	- 5	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm 1g		+ 0	+ 0	1234
t	14	+ 0	+ 0	Inde 1 sm lg		+	0	+ 0	1234	- 5	+ 0	+ 0	Indel sm ig		+ 0	+ 0	1234
	15	+ 0	+ 0	Inda 1 am 1a	1	-	0	+ 0	1234	5	± 0	+ 0	Toda 1 am 1r		+ 0	+ 0	1234

Momentary Interval Recording

Advantages

- Very efficient for observers
- Can observe multiple students
- Does not require continuous observation

Disadvantages

- Can underestimate responding
- $\hfill\square$ Requires some type of cueing device



Student: Start tin	John						
Start un	0.05	End de		6		de Eur	-11-11
Dofinitio	e: 8:05	End tir	ne: 8:15 ook bahavii	Settin or is define	ig: Seventi	n-grade Er	riting readin
talking al	n of bena	signment	or waiting	to ask the	teacher a c	priatery w	aarding the
assignme	nt	significant,	or waiting	to ask the	teacher a c	fuestion re	garang the
Observe	n. r• Ms Ge	ralds (rece	ss monitor)			
Observe	· WIS. GC	iaius (iece	ss monitor	,			
minute	10"	20"	30"	40"	50"	60"	1
		-		*/		1 .	
1	0	0		A		0	1
2	0 X	0	X 0	<u> </u>		0	-
1 2 3		0 0 0				0 0 X	-
1 2 3 4	0 X X X	0 0 0 X	X 0 0 X	X 0 X 0	X X 0 0	0 0 X X	-
1 2 3 4 5	0 X X X 0	0 0 0 X 0	X 0 0 X X	X 0 X 0 0	X X 0 0 X	0 0 X X 0	-
1 2 3 4 5 6	0 X X X 0 0	0 0 X 0 X	X 0 0 X X X X	X 0 X 0 0 X	X X 0 0 X X X	0 0 X 0 X	-
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	0 X X 0 0 X	0 0 X 0 X 0	X 0 X X X X 0	X 0 X 0 0 X 0	X X 0 0 X X 0 0	0 0 X X 0 X 0	-
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	0 X X 0 0 X 0 X	0 0 X 0 X 0 X X	X 0 X X X X 0 X	X 0 X 0 X 0 X X	X X 0 0 X X 0 X X	0 0 X 0 X 0 0 0 0	- - - - -
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	0 X X X 0 0 X 0 X X	0 0 X 0 X 0 X 0 X	X 0 X X X 0 X 0 X 0	X 0 X 0 X 0 X 0 X	X X 0 0 X X 0 X X X	0 0 X 0 X 0 0 0 X	









Systems

Coding Decision Recording Method

- Direct, real-time observation
- Recording for later coding (audio or video)
- Paper/Pencil
- Computer-based program

Horner et al., 2005, pl. 174















How Frequently to Conduct Reliability Checks

- Collect IOA in a minimum of 20 -33% of observational sessions <u>per</u> <u>condition</u>, for each participant for each dependent variable
 - Use higher percentage if situation calls for this



THE TREATMENT OF SEVERE BEHAVIOR PROBLEMS IN SCHOOL SETTINGS USING ATECHNICAL ASSISTANCE MODEL

Northup et al., 1994)

<u>Treatment integrity</u>. The five teacher responses to student target behaviors were scored to assess the integrity of all assessment and intervention procedures. Each assessment and intervention procedure always specified a particular consequence that was to follow any occurrence of target behavior immediately. The percentage of student target behaviors that were followed (within two 10-s intervals) by the specified consequence served as an index of treatment integrity and provided a measure of the accuracy with which assessment and intervention procedures were implemented. Treatment integrity was scored only when the behavior for which a consequence was prescribed occurred.

Thinking Ahead on the Research Proposal: At this point you should

- □ Have identified a general topic
- Begun locating and reading relevant literature so that you can
 - More clearly focus the specific research question you are asking
 - Begin to define possible targets (DVs) and develop possible interventions (IV)

