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#### Abstract

A tensor invariant is defined on a quaternionic contact manifold in terms of the curvature and torsion of the Biquard connection involving derivatives up to third order of the contact form. This tensor, called quaternionic contact conformal curvature, is similar to the Weyl conformal curvature in Riemannian geometry and to the Chern-Moser tensor in CR geometry. It is shown that a quaternionic contact manifold is locally quaternionic contact conformal to the standard flat quaternionic contact structure on the quaternionic Heisenberg group, or equivalently, to the standard 3 -sasakian structure on the sphere iff the quaternionic contact conformal curvature vanishes.

Un tenseur est défini sur une variété avec une structure de contact quaternionienne en utilisant la courbure et la torsion de la connexion de Biquard. Ce tenseur, appelée la courbure conforme d'une structure de contact quaternionienne, ne dependent que des dérivés de la troisième ordre de form de contact et qui est similaire à la courbure de Weyl dans le cas riemannienne et à le tenseur de Chern-Moser dans la géométrie CR. Il est démontré que une structure de contact quaternionienne est localement conforme à la structure de contact quaternionienne plate sur le groupe de Heisenberg, ou encore, à la structure 3 -sasakienne sur la sphère quaternionic si et seulement si la courbure conforme de contact quaternionienne est nulle.
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## 1. Introduction

It is well known that the sphere at infinity of a non-compact symmetric space $M$ of rank one carries a natural Carnot-Carathéodory structure, see [M, P]. A quaternionic contact (qc) structure, introduced in [Biq1, Biq2], appears naturally as the conformal boundary at infinity of the quaternionic hyperbolic space. Such structures have been considered in connection with the quaternionic contact Yamabe problem, [GV1, Wei, IMV1, IMV2]. A particular case of this problem amounts to find the extremals and the best constant in the $L^{2}$ Folland-Stein Sobolev-type embedding, [Fo] and [FSt]. A complete description of the extremals and the best constant on the seven dimensional quaternionic Heisenberg group was given in [IMV2].

A qc structure on a real $(4 \mathrm{n}+3)$-dimensional manifold $M$ is a codimension three distribution $H$ locally given as the kernel of 1 -form $\eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}\right)$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and the three 2 -forms $\left.d \eta_{i}\right|_{H}$ are the fundamental 2-forms of a quaternionic structure on $H$, i.e., there exists a Riemannian metric $g$ on $H$ and three local almost complex structures $I_{i}$ on $H$ satisfying the commutation relations of the imaginary quaternions, $I_{1} I_{2} I_{3}=-1$, such that, $\left.d \eta_{i}\right|_{H}=2 g\left(I_{i} .,.\right)$. The 1-form $\eta$ is determined up to a conformal factor and the action of $S O(3)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, and therefore $H$ is equipped with a conformal class $[g]$ of Riemannian metrics and a 2 -sphere bundle of almost complex structures, the quaternionic bundle $\mathbb{Q}$. The 2 -sphere bundle of one forms determines uniquely the associated metric and a conformal change of the metric is equivalent to a conformal change of the one forms. To every metric in the fixed conformal class one can associate a linear connection $\nabla$ preserving the qc structure, see [Biq1], which we shall call the Biquard connection.

The transformations preserving a given quaternionic contact structure $\eta$, i.e. $\bar{\eta}=\mu \Psi \eta$ for a positive smooth function $\mu$ and a $S O(3)$ matrix $\Psi$ with smooth functions as entries, are called quaternionic contact conformal (qc conformal for short) transformations.

Examples of QC manifolds are given in [Biq1, Biq2, IMV1, D1, AFIV]. In particular, any totally umbilic hypersurface of a quaternionic Kähler or hyper Kähler manifold carries such a structure [IMV1]. A basic example is provided by any 3 -Sasakian manifold which can be defined as a $(4 n+3)$ dimensional Riemannian manifold whose Riemannian cone is a hyper Kähler manifold. It was shown in [IMV1] that when the horizontal scalar curvature Scal of the Biquard connection (qc scalar curvature for short) is positive the torsion endomorphism of the Biquard connection is the obstruction for a given qc-structure to be locally 3-Sasakian.

The quaternionic Heisenberg group $\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H})$ with its "standard" left-invariant qc structure is the unique (up to a $S O(3)$-action) example of a qc structure with flat Biquard connection [IMV1]. As a manifold $\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H})=\mathbb{H}^{n} \times \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}$, while the group multiplication is given by

$$
\left(q^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right)=\left(q_{o}, \omega_{o}\right) \circ(q, \omega)=\left(q_{o}+q, \omega+\omega_{o}+2 \operatorname{Im} q_{o} \bar{q}\right)
$$

where $q, q_{o} \in \mathbb{H}^{n}$ and $\omega, \omega_{o} \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}$. The standard flat quaternionic contact structure is defined by the left-invariant quaternionic contact form $\tilde{\Theta}=\left(\tilde{\Theta}_{1}, \tilde{\Theta}_{2}, \tilde{\Theta}_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(d \omega-q^{\prime} \cdot d \bar{q}^{\prime}+d q^{\prime} \cdot \bar{q}^{\prime}\right)$, where . denotes the quaternion multiplication.

The aim of this paper is to find a tensor invariant on the tangent bundle characterizing locally the qc structures which are quaternionic contact conformally equivalent to the flat qc-structure.

With this goal in mind, we describe a curvature-type tensor $W^{q c}$ defined in terms of the curvature and torsion of the Biquard connection by (4.14) involving derivatives up to second order of the horizontal metric, whose form is similar to the Weyl conformal curvature in Riemannian geometry (see e.g. [E]) and to the Chern-Moser invariant in CR geometry [ChM], see also [W1]. We call $W^{q c}$ the quaternionic contact conformal curvature, qc conformal curvature for short. The main purpose of this article is to prove the following two facts.

Theorem 1.1. The qc conformal curvature $W^{q c}$ is invariant under quaternionic contact conformal transformations.

Theorem 1.2. A qc structure on a (4n+3)-dimensional smooth manifold is locally quaternionic contact conformal to the standard flat qc structure on the quaternionic Heisenberg group $\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H})$ if and only if the qc conformal curvature vanishes, $W^{q c}=0$.

The quaternionic Cayley transform establishes a conformal quaternionic contact automorphism between the standard 3-Sasaki structure on the quaternionic sphere $S^{4 n+3}$ and the standard qc structure on $\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H})$ [IMV1]. As a consequence of Theorem 1.2 and the fact that the quaternionic Cayley transform is a quaternionic contact conformal equivalence between the 3 -sasakian structure on the sphere and the flat qc structure on $\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H})$, we obtain

Corollary 1.3. A QC manifold is locally quaternionic contact conformal to the quaternionic sphere $S^{4 n+3}$ if and only if the qc conformal curvature vanishes, $W^{q c}=0$.

We note that for locally 3-Sasakian manifolds a curvature invariant under very special quaternionic contact conformal transformations, which preserve the 3-Sasakian condition, is defined in [AK]. It is shown that the vanishing of this invariant is equivalent to the structure being locally isometric to the 3-Sasaki structure on the sphere. In particular, this shows that the standard 3-Sasakian structure on the sphere is locally rigid with respect to qc conformal transformations preserving the 3-Sasakian condition.

We consider the question of local flatness in its full generality following the classical approach used by H.Weyl, see e.g. [E], while [ChM], [W1] and [AK] followed the Cartan method of equivalence. Indeed, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 could be deduced following the Cartan method of equivalence as in [ChM]. Vice versa, the Chern-Moser tensor [ChM] can be determined (c.f. [IVZ]) as an obstruction to the pseudohermitian flatness following the approach used in the present paper.

Remark 1.4. Following the work of Cartan and Tanaka, a qc structure can be considered as an example of what has become known as a parabolic geometry. The quaternionic Heisenberg group, as well as, the quaternionic sphere, due to the Cayley transform, provide the flat models of such a geometry. It is well known that the curvature of the corresponding regular Cartan connection is the obstruction for the local flatness. However, the Cartan curvature is not a tensor field on the tangent bundle and it is highly nontrivial to extract a tensor field involving the lowest order derivatives of the structure which implies the vanishing of the obstruction. Theorem 1.2 suggests that a necessary and sufficient condition for the vanishing of the Cartan curvature of a qc structure is the vanishing of the qc-conformal curvature tensor, $W^{q c}=0$.

In the concluding section of the paper we apply our results in a standard way to show a FerrandObata type theorem concerning the conformal quaternionic contact automorphism group. Such result was proved in the general context of parabolic geometries admitting regular Cartan connection in [F].

It is expected that the conformal quaternionic contact curvature tensor will be a useful tool in the analysis of the quaternionic contact Yamabe problem, see [Biq1], [Wei], [IMV1] and [IMV2].

According to [Wei] the qc Yamabe constant of a compact qc manifold is less or equal than that of the sphere. Furthermore, if the constant is strictly less than that of the sphere the qc Yamabe problem has a solution, i.e., there is a global qc conformal transformation sending the given qc structure to a qc structure with constant qc scalar curvature. A natural conjecture is that the qc Yamabe constant of every compact locally non-flat manifold (in conformal quaternionic contact sense) is strictly less than the qc Yamabe constant of the sphere with its standard qc structure. Recall that the qc Yamabe constant of $(M,[\eta])$ is

$$
\lambda(M,[\eta])=\inf \left\{\Upsilon(u)=\int_{M}\left(4 \frac{Q+2}{Q-2}|\nabla u|^{2}+\operatorname{Scal} u^{2}\right) d v_{g}: \int_{M} u^{2^{*}} d v_{g}=1, u>0\right\}
$$

Here $d v_{g}$ denotes the Riemannian volume form of the Riemannian metric on $M$ obtained by extending in a natural way the horizontal metric associated to $\eta$ and $|$.$| is the horizontal norm. Guided by the$ conformal and CR cases the tensor $W^{q c}$ should be instrumental for the proof of the above conjecture.

Remark 1.5. After the paper was posted on the arXiv, Kunkel $[\mathrm{Ku}]$ constructed quaternionic contact parabolic normal coordinates and showed that the only non-trivial scalar invariant of weight at most four is the square of the horizontal norm of the qc conformal curvature. This fact suggests that a suitable asymptotic expansion of the qc Yamabe functional could give an expression of the qc Yamabe constant in terms of the qc Yamabe constant of the sphere and the horizontal norm of the qc conformal curvature leading to a proof of the above conjecture.

Two examples where Theorem 1.2 applies are given in [AFIV].
Consider the simply connected Lie group $G_{1}$ with structure equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d e^{1}=0 \quad d e^{2}=-e^{12}-2 e^{34}-\frac{1}{2} e^{37}+\frac{1}{2} e^{46} \\
& d e^{3}=-e^{13}+2 e^{24}+\frac{1}{2} e^{27}-\frac{1}{2} e^{45} \quad d e^{4}=-e^{14}-2 e^{23}-\frac{1}{2} e^{26}+\frac{1}{2} e^{35} \\
& d e^{5}=2 e^{12}+2 e^{34}-\frac{1}{2} e^{67}, \quad d e^{6}=2 e^{13}+2 e^{42}+\frac{1}{2} e^{57} \quad d e^{7}=2 e^{14}+2 e^{23}-\frac{1}{2} e^{56}
\end{aligned}
$$

where, as usual, $e^{i j}$ denotes $e^{i} \wedge e^{j}$. It is shown in [AFIV] that $H=\operatorname{span}\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{4}\right\}, \eta_{1}=e^{5}, \quad \eta_{2}=$ $e^{6}, \quad \eta_{3}=e^{7}, \quad \omega_{1}=e^{12}+e^{34}, \quad \omega_{2}=e^{13}+e^{42}, \quad \omega_{3}=e^{14}+e^{23}$ determine a (global) qc structure on $G_{1}$, for which the torsion endomorphism of the Biquard connection vanishes, but the qc-structure is not locally 3 -Sasakian since the qc scalar curvature is negative. Furthermore, the qc conformal curvature vanishes, $W^{q c}=0$, hence due to Theorem 1.2, the considered qc structure on $G_{1}$ is locally qc conformally equivalent to the flat qc structure on the 7 -dimensional quaternionic Heisenberg group.

Another example is provided by the simply connected Lie group $G_{3}$ with structure equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d e^{1}=-\frac{3}{2} e^{13}+\frac{3}{2} e^{24}-\frac{3}{4} e^{25}+\frac{1}{4} e^{36}-\frac{1}{4} e^{47}+\frac{1}{8} e^{57} \\
& d e^{2}=-\frac{3}{2} e^{14}-\frac{3}{2} e^{23}+\frac{3}{4} e^{15}+\frac{1}{4} e^{37}+\frac{1}{4} e^{46}-\frac{1}{8} e^{56} \quad d e^{3}=0 \\
& d e^{4}=e^{12}+e^{34}+\frac{1}{2} e^{17}-\frac{1}{2} e^{26}+\frac{1}{4} e^{67} \quad d e^{5}=2 e^{12}+2 e^{34}+e^{17}-e^{26}+\frac{1}{2} e^{67} \\
& d e^{6}=2 e^{13}+2 e^{42}+e^{25}, \quad d e^{7}=2 e^{14}+2 e^{23}-e^{15} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A global qc structure is determined by $H=\operatorname{span}\left\{e^{1}, \ldots, e^{4}\right\}, \eta_{1}=e^{5}, \quad \eta_{2}=e^{6}, \quad \eta_{3}=e^{7}, \quad \omega_{1}=$ $e^{12}+e^{34}, \quad \omega_{2}=e^{13}+e^{42}, \quad \omega_{3}=e^{14}+e^{23}$. In this case, by [AFIV], the torsion endomorphism and the qc conformal curvature do not vanish. In particular, this qc structure on $G_{3}$ is not locally qc conformally flat according to Theorem 1.2.

Organization of the paper. The paper relies heavily on the Biquard connection introduced in [Biq1] and the properties of its torsion and curvature discovered in [IMV1]. In order to make the present paper self-contained, in Section 2 we give a review of the notion of a quaternionic contact structure and collect formulas and results from [Biq1] and [IMV1] that will be used in the subsequent sections.

Convention 1.6. We use the following conventions:
a) We shall use $X, Y, Z, U$ to denote horizontal vector fields, i.e. $X, Y, Z, U \in H$;
b) $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{4 n}\right\}$ denotes an orthonormal basis of the horizontal space $H$;
c) The summation convention over repeated vectors from the basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{4 n}\right\}$ will be used. For example, for a (0,4)-tensor $P$, the formula $k=P\left(e_{b}, e_{a}, e_{a}, e_{b}\right)$ means $k=\sum_{a, b=1}^{4 n} P\left(e_{b}, e_{a}, e_{a}, e_{b}\right)$;
d) The triple $(i, j, k)$ denotes any cyclic permutation of $(1,2,3)$. In particular, any equation involving $i, j, k$ holds for any such permutation.
e) $s$ and $t$ will be any numbers from the set $\{1,2,3\}, \quad s, t \in\{1,2,3\}$.
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## 2. QUATERNIONIC CONTACT MANIFOLDS

In this section we will briefly review the basic notions of quaternionic contact geometry and recall some results from [Biq1] and [IMV1].

For the purposes of this paper, a quaternionic contact (QC) manifold $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$ is a $4 n+3$ dimensional manifold $M$ with a codimension three distribution $H$ equipped with a metric $g$ and an $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ structure, i.e., we have
i) a 2 -sphere bundle $\mathbb{Q}$ over $M$ of almost complex structures $I_{s}: H \rightarrow H, \quad I_{s}^{2}=-1$, satisfying the commutation relations of the imaginary quaternions $I_{1} I_{2}=-I_{2} I_{1}=I_{3}$ and $\mathbb{Q}=\left\{a I_{1}+b I_{2}+c I_{3}: a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}=1\right\} ;$
ii) $H$ is locally the kernel of a 1 -form $\eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}\right)$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ satisfying the compatibility condition $2 g\left(I_{s} X, Y\right)=d \eta_{s}(X, Y)$.
A QC manifold $(M, \bar{g}, \mathbb{Q})$ is called quaternionic contact conformal (qc-conformal for short) to $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$ if $\bar{g} \in[g]$. In that case, if $\bar{\eta}$ is a corresponding associated one-form with complex structures $\bar{I}_{s}$, we have $\bar{\eta}=\mu \Psi \eta$ for some $\Psi \in S O(3)$ and a positive function $\mu$. In particular, starting with a QC manifold $(M, \eta)$ and defining $\bar{\eta}=\mu \eta$ we obtain a QC manifold ( $M, \bar{\eta}$ ) qc-conformal to the original one.

On a quaternionic contact manifold there exists a canonical connection defined in [Biq1] when the dimension $(4 n+3)>7$, and in [D] in the 7-dimensional case.

Theorem 2.1. [Biq1] Let $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$ be a quaternionic contact manifold of dimension $4 n+3>7$ and a fixed metric $g$ on $H$ in the conformal class $[g]$. Then there exists a unique connection $\nabla$ with torsion $T$ on $M^{4 n+3}$ and a unique supplementary subspace $V$ to $H$ in $T M$, such that:
i) $\nabla$ preserves the decomposition $H \oplus V$ and the $S p(n) S p(1)$-structure on $H, \nabla g=0, \nabla \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}$;
ii) for $X, Y \in H$, one has $T(X, Y)=-[X, Y]_{\mid V}$;
iii) for $\xi \in V$, the endomorphism $T(\xi, .)_{\mid H}$ of $H$ lies in $(s p(n) \oplus \operatorname{sp}(1))^{\perp} \subset g l(4 n)$;

We shall call the above connection the Biquard connection. Biquard [Biq1] also described the supplementary subspace $V$, namely, locally $V$ is generated by vector fields $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right\}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\eta_{s}\left(\xi_{k}\right)=\delta_{s k}, \quad\left(\xi_{s}\right\lrcorner d \eta_{s}\right)_{\mid H}=0, \quad\left(\xi_{s}\right\lrcorner d \eta_{k}\right)_{\mid H}=-\left(\xi_{k}\right\lrcorner d \eta_{s}\right)_{\mid H} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector fields $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}$ are called Reeb vector fields or fundamental vector fields.
If the dimension of $M$ is seven, the conditions (2.1) do not always hold. Duchemin shows in [D] that if we assume, in addition, the existence of Reeb vector fields as in (2.1), then Theorem 2.1 holds. Henceforth, by a qc structure in dimension 7 we shall mean a qc structure satisfying (2.1).

Notice that equations (2.1) are invariant under the natural $S O(3)$ action. Using the triple of Reeb vector fields we extend $g$ to a metric on $M$ by requiring $\operatorname{span}\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right\}=V \perp H$ and $g\left(\xi_{s}, \xi_{k}\right)=\delta_{s k}$. The extended metric does not depend on the action of $S O(3)$ on $V$, but it changes in an obvious manner if $\eta$ is multiplied by a conformal factor. Clearly, the Biquard connection preserves the extended metric on $T M, \nabla g=0$. We shall also extend the quaternionic structure by setting $I_{s \mid V}=0$. The fundamental 2-forms $\omega_{s}$ of the quaternionic structure $Q$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.2 \omega_{s \mid H}=d \eta_{s \mid H}, \quad \xi\right\lrcorner \omega_{s}=0, \quad \xi \in V \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to (2.2), the torsion restricted to $H$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(X, Y)=-[X, Y]_{\mid V}=2 \omega_{1}(X, Y) \xi_{1}+2 \omega_{2}(X, Y) \xi_{2}+2 \omega_{3}(X, Y) \xi_{3} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The properties of the Biquard connection are encoded in the properties of the torsion endomorphism $T_{\xi}=T(\xi,):. H \rightarrow H, \quad \xi \in V$. Recall that any endomorphism $\Psi$ of $H$ can be decomposed with respect to the quaternionic structure $(\mathbb{Q}, g)$ uniquely into $S p(n)$-invariant parts as follows $\Psi=\Psi^{+++}+\Psi^{+--}+\Psi^{-+-}+\Psi^{--+}, \quad$ where $\Psi^{+++}$commutes with all three $I_{i}, \Psi^{+--}$commutes with $I_{1}$ and anti-commutes with the others two and etc. The two $S p(n) S p(1)$-invariant components are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{[3]}=\Psi^{+++}, \quad \Psi_{[-1]}=\Psi^{+--}+\Psi^{-+-}+\Psi^{--+} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting the corresponding $(0,2)$ tensor via $g$ by the same letter one sees that the $S p(n) S p(1)$ invariant components are the projections on the eigenspaces of the Casimir operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dagger=I_{1} \otimes I_{1}+I_{2} \otimes I_{2}+I_{3} \otimes I_{3} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding, respectively, to the eigenvalues 3 and -1 , see [CSal]. If $n=1$ then the space of symmetric endomorphisms commuting with all $I_{i}, i=1,2,3$ is 1 -dimensional, i.e. the [3]-component of any symmetric endomorphism $\Psi$ on $H$ is proportional to the identity, $\Psi_{[3]}=\frac{|\Psi|^{2}}{4} I d_{\mid H}$.

Decomposing the endomorphism $T_{\xi} \in(s p(n)+s p(1))^{\perp}$ into symmetric part $T_{\xi}^{0}$ and skewsymmetric part $b_{\xi}, T_{\xi}=T_{\xi}^{0}+b_{\xi}$, we summarize the description of the torsion due to O. Biquard in the following Proposition.

Proposition 2.2. [Biq1] The torsion $T_{\xi}$ is completely trace-free,

$$
\operatorname{tr} T_{\xi}=g\left(T_{\xi}\left(e_{a}\right), e_{a}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{tr} T_{\xi} \circ I=g\left(T_{\xi}\left(e_{a}\right), I e_{a}\right)=0, \quad I \in Q
$$

The symmetric part of the torsion has the properties:

$$
T_{\xi_{s}}^{0} I_{s}=-I_{s} T_{\xi_{s}}^{0}, \quad I_{2} T_{\xi_{2}}^{0+--}=I_{1} T_{\xi_{1}}^{0-+-}, \quad I_{3} T_{\xi_{3}}^{0-+-}=I_{2} T_{\xi_{2}}^{0--+}, \quad I_{1} T_{\xi_{1}}^{0--+}=I_{3} T_{\xi_{3}}^{0+---}
$$

The skew-symmetric part can be represented as follows $b_{\xi_{s}}=I_{s} u$,where $u$ is a traceless symmetric (1,1)-tensor on $H$ which commutes with $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$.

If $n=1$ then $u$ vanishes identically, $u=0$ and the torsion is a symmetric tensor, $T_{\xi}=T_{\xi}^{0}$.

The $s p(1)$-connection 1-forms are defined by $\nabla I_{i}=-\alpha_{j} \otimes I_{k}+\alpha_{k} \otimes I_{j}$, or equivalently determined with $\nabla \xi_{i}=-\alpha_{j} \otimes \xi_{k}+\alpha_{k} \otimes \xi_{j}$. The vanishing of the $s p(1)$-connection 1-forms on $H$ is equivalent to the vanishing of the torsion endomorphism of the Biquard connection [IMV1].
2.1. The Ricci type tensors. Let $R=[\nabla, \nabla]-\nabla_{[,]}$be the curvature tensor of $\nabla$. We shall denote the curvature tensor of type $(0,4)$ by the same letter, $R(A, B, C, D):=g(R(A, B) C, D), A, B, C, D \in$ $\Gamma(T M)$. The Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature $S c a l$ of the Biquard connection, called qc-Ricci tensor and qc-sacalr curvature, respectively, are defined by

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(X, Y)=R\left(e_{a}, X, Y, e_{a}\right), \quad S c a l=\operatorname{Ric}\left(e_{a}, e_{a}\right)=R\left(e_{b}, e_{a}, e_{a}, e_{b}\right)
$$

The curvature of the Biquard connection admits also several horizontal traces, defined in [IMV1] by

$$
4 n \rho_{s}(X, Y)=R\left(X, Y, e_{a}, I_{s} e_{a}\right), 4 n \tau_{s}(X, Y)=R\left(e_{a}, I_{s} e_{a}, X, Y\right), 4 n \zeta_{s}(X, Y)=R\left(e_{a}, X, Y, I_{s} e_{a}\right)
$$

The $s p(1)$-part of $R$ is determined by the Ricci 2 -forms by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(A, B, \xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)=2 \rho_{k}(A, B), \quad A, B \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to [Biq1] the Ricci tensor restricted to $H$ is a symmetric tensor. If the trace-free part of the qc-Ricci tensor is zero we call the quaternionic structure a qc-Einstein manifold [IMV1]. It is shown in [IMV1] that the trace part of these Ricci type contractions is proportional to the qc-scalar curvature and the trace-free part of $\rho_{s}, \tau_{s}, \zeta_{s}$ vanish for exactly when the manifold is qc-Einstein (see also Theorem 2.4 below).

With the help of the operator $\dagger$ we introduced in [IMV1] two $S p(n) S p(1)$-invariant trace-free symmetric 2-tensors $T^{0}, U$ on $H$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{0}(X, Y) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} g\left(\left(T_{\xi_{1}}^{0} I_{1}+T_{\xi_{2}}^{0} I_{2}+T_{\xi_{3}}^{0} I_{3}\right) X, Y\right), \quad U(X, Y) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} g(u X, Y) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tensor $T^{0}$ belongs to the [-1]-eigenspace while $U$ is in the [3]-eigenspace of the operator $\dagger$, i.e., they have the properties:

$$
\begin{gather*}
T^{0}(X, Y)+T^{0}\left(I_{1} X, I_{1} Y\right)+T^{0}\left(I_{2} X, I_{2} Y\right)+T^{0}\left(I_{3} X, I_{3} Y\right)=0  \tag{2.8}\\
3 U(X, Y)-U\left(I_{1} X, I_{1} Y\right)-U\left(I_{2} X, I_{2} Y\right)-U\left(I_{3} X, I_{3} Y\right)=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying Proposition 2.2 and equation (2.8), we obtain the following
Proposition 2.3. The torsion components of the symmetric part of torsion endomorphism of Biquard connection satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 T^{0}\left(\xi_{s}, I_{s} X, Y\right)=T^{0}(X, Y)-T^{0}\left(I_{s} X, I_{s} Y\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is shown in [IMV1] that all horizontal Ricci type contractions of the curvature of the Biquard connection can be expressed in terms of the torsion of the Biquard connection. With slight modification based on Proposition 2.3 we collect some facts from [IMV1, Theorem 1.3, Theorem 3.12, Corollary 3.14 and Proposition 4.3] in the next

Theorem 2.4. [IMV1] On a $(4 n+3)$-dimensional $Q C$ manifold, $n>1$ the next formulas hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}(X, Y) & =(2 n+2) T^{0}(X, Y)+(4 n+10) U(X, Y)+\frac{S c a l}{4 n} g(X, Y) \\
\rho_{s}\left(X, I_{s} Y\right) & =-\frac{1}{2}\left[T^{0}(X, Y)+T^{0}\left(I_{s} X, I_{s} Y\right)\right]-2 U(X, Y)-\frac{S c a l}{8 n(n+2)} g(X, Y), \\
\tau_{s}\left(X, I_{s} Y\right) & =-\frac{n+2}{2 n}\left[T^{0}(X, Y)+T^{0}\left(I_{s} X, I_{s} Y\right)\right]-\frac{S c a l}{8 n(n+2)} g(X, Y), \\
\zeta_{s}\left(X, I_{s} Y\right) & =\frac{2 n+1}{4 n} T^{0}(X, Y)+\frac{1}{4 n} T^{0}\left(I_{s} X, I_{s} Y\right)+\frac{2 n+1}{2 n} U(X, Y)+\frac{S c a l}{16 n(n+2)} g(X, Y) \\
T\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right) & =-\frac{S c a l}{8 n(n+2)} \xi_{k}-\left[\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right]_{H} \quad S c a l=-8 n(n+2) g\left(T\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right), \xi_{3}\right) \\
T\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}, X\right) & =-\rho_{k}\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{i}\right)=-\rho_{k}\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{j}\right) \\
\rho_{i}\left(X, \xi_{i}\right) & =-\frac{X(S c a l)}{32 n(n+2))}+\frac{1}{2}\left(-\rho_{i}\left(\xi_{j}, I_{k} X\right)+\rho_{j}\left(\xi_{k}, I_{i} X\right)+\rho_{k}\left(\xi_{i}, I_{j} X\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n=1$ the above formulas hold with $U=0$.
In particular, the qc-Einstein condition is equivalent to the vanishing of the torsion endomorphism of the Biquard connection. If Scal $>0$ the latter holds exactly when the qc-structure is 3-sasakian up to a multiplication by a constant and an $S O(3)$-matrix with smooth entries.

We derive from [[IMV1], Theorem 4.8]
Proposition 2.5. [IMV1] On a qc manifold the following formula holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(n-1)\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} T^{0}\right)\left(e_{a}, X\right)+2(n+2) \nabla_{e_{a}} U\right)\left(e_{a}, X\right)-\frac{(n-1)(2 n+1)}{8 n(n+2)} d(S c a l)(X)=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Quaternionic Heisenberg group and the quaternionic Cayley transform. Since our goal is to classify quaternionic contact manifolds locally conformal to the quaternionic Heisenberg group we recall briefly its definition together with the definition of the quaternionic Cayley transform as described in [IMV1, Section 5.2]. As a manifold the quaternionic Heisenberg group of topological dimension $4 n+3$ is $\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H})=\mathbb{H}^{n} \times \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}$. The group law is given by $\quad\left(q^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right)=\left(q_{o}, \omega_{o}\right) \circ(q, \omega)=$ $\left(q_{o}+q, \omega+\omega_{o}+2 \operatorname{Im} q_{o} \bar{q}\right)$, where $q, q_{o} \in \mathbb{H}^{n}$ and $\omega, \omega_{o} \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}$. We can identify the group $\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H})$ with the boundary $\Sigma$ of a Siegel domain in $\mathbb{H}^{n} \times \mathbb{H}, \Sigma=\left\{\left(q^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{n} \times \mathbb{H}: \Re p^{\prime}=\left|q^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right\}$. The Siegel domain $\Sigma$ carries a natural group structure and the map $(q, \omega) \mapsto\left(q,|q|^{2}-\omega\right) \in \Sigma$ is an isomorphism between $\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H})$ and $\Sigma$.

On the group $\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H})$, the standard contact form, written as a purely imaginary quaternion valued form, is given by $2 \tilde{\Theta}=(d \omega-q \cdot d \bar{q}+d q \cdot \bar{q}), \quad$ where $\cdot$ denotes the quaternion multiplication,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\Theta}_{1}=\frac{1}{2} d x-x^{a} d t^{a}+t^{a} d x^{a}-z^{a} d y^{a}+y^{a} d z^{a} \\
& \tilde{\Theta}_{2}=\frac{1}{2} d y-y^{a} d t^{a}+z^{a} d x^{a}+t^{a} d y^{a}-x^{a} d z^{a}  \tag{2.12}\\
& \tilde{\Theta}_{2}=\frac{1}{2} d z-z^{a} d t^{a}-y^{a} d x^{a}+x^{a} d y^{a}+t^{a} d z^{a}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\quad d p=q \cdot d \bar{q}+d q \cdot \bar{q}-d \omega, \quad$ under the identification of $\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H})$ with $\Sigma$ we also have $2 \tilde{\Theta}=-d p^{\prime}+2 d q^{\prime} \cdot \bar{q}^{\prime}$.

The left invariant flat connection on $\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H})$ coincidies with the Biquard connection of the qc manifold $(\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H}), \tilde{\Theta})$ and, conversely, any qc manifold with flat Biquard connection is locally isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H})$ [IMV1].

The Cayley transform is the map $\mathcal{C}: S \mapsto \Sigma$ from the sphere $S=\left\{|q|^{2}+|p|^{2}=1\right\} \subset \mathbb{H}^{n} \times \mathbb{H}$ minus a point to the Heisenberg group $\Sigma$, with $\mathcal{C}$ defined by

$$
\left(q^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{C}((q, p)), \quad q^{\prime}=(1+p)^{-1} q, \quad p^{\prime}=(1+p)^{-1}(1-p)
$$

and with an inverse $\operatorname{map}(q, p)=\mathcal{C}^{-1}\left(\left(q^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)\right)$ given by

$$
q=2\left(1+p^{\prime}\right)^{-1} q^{\prime}, \quad p=\left(1-p^{\prime}\right)\left(1+p^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
$$

The unit sphere $S$ carries a natural qc structure $\tilde{\eta}=d q \cdot \bar{q}+d p \cdot \bar{p}-q \cdot d \bar{q}-p \cdot d \bar{p}$ which has zero torsion and is 3-Sasakian up to a constant factor. In [IMV1] it was noted that the Cayley transform is a quaternionic contact conformal diffeomorphism between the quaternionic Heisenberg group with its standard quaternionic contact structure $\tilde{\Theta}$ and $S \backslash\{(-1,0)\}$ with the structure $\tilde{\eta}$

$$
\lambda \cdot\left(\mathcal{C}_{*} \tilde{\eta}\right) \cdot \bar{\lambda}=\frac{8}{\left|1+p^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \tilde{\Theta}
$$

where $\lambda=\frac{1+p^{\prime}}{\left|1+p^{\prime}\right|}$ is a unit quaternion.

## 3. Curvature and the Bianchi identities

Recall that an orthonormal frame

$$
\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}=I_{1} e_{1}, e_{3}=I_{2} e_{1}, e_{4}=I_{3} e_{1} \ldots, e_{4 n}=I_{3} e_{4 n-3}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right\}
$$

is a qc-normal frame (at a point) if the connection 1-forms of the Biquard connection vanish (at that point). Lemma 4.5 in [IMV1] asserts that a qc-normal frame exists at each point of a QC manifold.

In general, to verify any $S p(n) S p(1)$-invariant tensor identity at a point it is sufficient to check it in a qc-normal frame at that point. Further, we work in a qc-normal frame.

Let $b(A, B, C)$ denote the Bianchi projector,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(A, B, C):=\sum_{(A, B, C)}\left\{\left(\nabla_{A} T\right)(B, C)+T(T(A, B), C)\right\}, \quad A, B, C \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sum_{(A, B, C)}$ denotes the cyclic sum over the three tangent vectors. With this notation the first Bianchi identity reads as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(A, B, C)}\{R(A, B, C, D)\}=g(b(A, B, C), D) \quad A, B, C, D \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. On a QC manifold the curvature of the Biquard connection satisfies the equalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, Y, Z, V)-R(Z, V, X, Y)=2 \sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\omega_{s}(X, Y) U\left(I_{s} Z, V\right)-\omega_{s}(Z, V) U\left(I_{s} X, Y\right)\right] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
-2 \sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\omega_{s}(X, Z) T^{0}\left(\xi_{s}, Y, V\right)+\omega_{s}(Y, V) T^{0}\left(\xi_{s}, Z, X\right)-\omega_{s}(Y, Z) T^{0}\left(\xi_{s} X, V\right)-\omega_{s}(X, V) T^{0}\left(\xi_{s}, Z, Y\right)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 R(X, Y, Z, V)-R\left(I_{1} X, I_{1} Y, Z, V\right)-R\left(I_{2} X, I_{2} Y, Z, V\right)-R\left(I_{3} X, I_{3} Y, Z, V\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=2\left[g(Y, Z) T^{0}(X, V)+g(X, V) T^{0}(Z, Y)-g(Z, X) T^{0}(Y, V)-g(V, Y) T^{0}(Z, X)\right]
$$

$$
-2 \sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\omega_{s}(Y, Z) T^{0}\left(X, I_{s} V\right)+\omega_{s}(X, V) T^{0}\left(Z, I_{s} Y\right)-\omega_{s}(Z, X) T^{0}\left(Y, I_{s} V\right)-\omega_{s}(V, Y) T^{0}\left(Z, I_{s} X\right)\right]
$$

$$
+\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[2 \omega_{s}(X, Y)\left(T^{0}\left(Z, I_{s} V\right)-T^{0}\left(I_{s} Z, V\right)\right)-8 \omega_{s}(Z, V) U\left(I_{s} X, Y\right)-\frac{S c a l}{2 n(n+2)} \omega_{s}(X, Y) \omega_{s}(Z, V)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R\left(\xi_{i}, X, Y, Z\right)=-\left(\nabla_{X} U\right)\left(I_{i} Y, Z\right)+\omega_{j}(X, Y) \rho_{k}\left(I_{i} Z, \xi_{i}\right)-\omega_{k}(X, Y) \rho_{j}\left(I_{i} Z, \xi_{i}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& \quad-\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\nabla_{Y} T^{0}\right)\left(I_{i} Z, X\right)+\left(\nabla_{Y} T^{0}\right)\left(Z, I_{i} X\right)\right]+\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\nabla_{Z} T^{0}\right)\left(I_{i} Y, X\right)+\left(\nabla_{Z} T^{0}\right)\left(Y, I_{i} X\right)\right] \\
& \quad-\omega_{j}(X, Z) \rho_{k}\left(I_{i} Y, \xi_{i}\right)+\omega_{k}(X, Z) \rho_{j}\left(I_{i} Y, \xi_{i}\right)-\omega_{j}(Y, Z) \rho_{k}\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{i}\right)+\omega_{k}(Y, Z) \rho_{j}\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}, X, Y\right)=\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} U\right)\left(I_{j} X, Y\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} U\right)\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& -\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} T^{0}\right)\left(I_{j} X, Y\right)+\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} T^{0}\right)\left(X, I_{j} Y\right)\right]+\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} T^{0}\right)\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)+\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} T^{0}\right)\left(X, I_{i} Y\right)\right] \\
& -\left(\nabla_{X} \rho_{k}\right)\left(I_{i} Y, \xi_{i}\right)-\frac{S c a l}{8 n(n+2)} T\left(\xi_{k}, X, Y\right)-T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right) T\left(\xi_{i}, e_{a}, Y\right)+T\left(\xi_{j}, e_{a}, Y\right) T\left(\xi_{i}, X, e_{a}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where the Ricci 2-forms are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
3(2 n+1) \rho_{i}\left(\xi_{i}, X\right)= & \frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} T^{0}\right)\left[\left(e_{a}, X\right)-3\left(I_{i} e_{a}, I_{i} X\right)\right]-\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} U\right)\left(X, e_{a}\right) \\
& +\frac{2 n+1}{16 n(n+2)} X(S c a l) \\
3(2 n+1) \rho_{i}\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{j}\right) & =-3(2 n+1) \rho_{i}\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{k}\right)-\frac{(2 n+1)(2 n-1)}{16 n(n+2)} X(S c a l)  \tag{3.7}\\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} T^{0}\right)\left[(4 n+1)\left(e_{a}, X\right)+3\left(I_{i} e_{a}, I_{i} X\right)\right]+2(n+1)\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} U\right)\left(X, e_{a}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The first Bianchi identity (3.2) yields [Biq1]

$$
\begin{align*}
R(A, B, C, D)-R(C, D, A, B) & =\frac{1}{2} g(b(A, B, C), D)+\frac{1}{2} g(b(B, C, D), A)  \tag{3.8}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} g(b(A, C, D), B)-\frac{1}{2}(b(A, B, D), C), \quad A, B, C, D \in \Gamma(T M)
\end{align*}
$$

With the help of Proposition 2.2 and equation (2.3) the identity (3.3) follows.
Recall the following equality [IMV1, Lemma 3.8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(X, Y, I_{i} Z, I_{i} V\right)=R(X, Y, Z, V)-2 \rho_{j}(X, Y) \omega_{j}(Z, V)-2 \rho_{k}(X, Y) \omega_{k}(Z, V) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (3.3) and (3.9), the properties of the torsion listed in Propositions 2.2 and 2.3, together with equations (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (3.10) } \quad R(X, Y, Z, V)-R\left(I_{i} X, I_{i} Y, Z, V\right)=2 \omega_{j}(X, Y) \rho_{j}(Z, V)+2 \omega_{k}(X, Y) \rho_{k}(Z, V) \\
& \quad 4 \omega_{j}(X, Y) U\left(I_{j} Z, V\right)+4 \omega_{k}(X, Y) U\left(I_{k} Z, V\right)-4 \omega_{j}(Z, V) U\left(I_{j} X, Y\right)-4 \omega_{k}(Z, V) U\left(I_{k} X, Y\right) \\
& +2\left[g(Y, Z) T^{0}\left(\xi_{i}, I_{i} X, V\right)+g(X, V) T^{0}\left(\xi_{i}, I_{i} Z, Y\right)-g(Z, X) T^{0}\left(\xi_{i}, I_{i} Y, V\right)-g(V, Y) T^{0}\left(\xi_{i}, I_{i} Z, X\right)\right] \\
& +2\left[\omega_{i}(Y, Z) T^{0}\left(\xi_{i}, X, V\right)+\omega_{i}(X, V) T^{0}\left(\xi_{i}, Z, Y\right)-\omega_{i}(X, Z) T^{0}\left(\xi_{i}, Y, V\right)-\omega_{i}(Y, V) T^{0}\left(\xi_{i}, Z, X\right)\right] \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2}\left[\omega_{j}(Y, Z)\left(T^{0}\left(X, I_{j} V\right)-T^{0}\left(I_{i} X, I_{k} V\right)\right)+\omega_{k}(Y, Z)\left(T^{0}\left(X, I_{k} V\right)+T^{0}\left(I_{i} X, I_{j} V\right)\right)\right] \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2}\left[\omega_{j}(X, V)\left(T^{0}\left(Y, I_{j} Z\right)-T^{0}\left(I_{i} Y, I_{k} Z\right)\right)+\omega_{k}(X, V)\left(T^{0}\left(Y, I_{k} Z\right)+T^{0}\left(I_{i} Y, I_{j} Z\right)\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2}\left[\omega_{j}(X, Z)\left(T^{0}\left(Y, I_{j} V\right)-T^{0}\left(I_{i} Y, I_{k} V\right)\right)+\omega_{k}(X, Z)\left(T^{0}\left(Y, I_{k} V\right)+T^{0}\left(I_{i} Y, I_{j} V\right)\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2}\left[\omega_{j}(Y, V)\left(T^{0}\left(X, I_{j} Z\right)-T^{0}\left(I_{i} X, I_{k} Z\right)\right)+\omega_{k}(Y, V)\left(T^{0}\left(X, I_{k} Z\right)+T^{0}\left(I_{i} X, I_{j} Z\right)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, equality (3.4) follows from (3.10) and Theorem 2.4.

Invoking (3.1) and applying (2.3) and Theorem 2.4 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
b\left(\xi_{i}, X, Y, Z\right)=-\left(\nabla_{X} T\right)\left(\xi_{i}, Y, Z\right)+\left(\nabla_{Y} T\right) & \left(\xi_{i}, X, Z\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& +2 \omega_{j}(X, Y) \rho_{k}\left(I_{i} Z, \xi_{i}\right)-2 \omega_{k}(X, Y) \rho_{j}\left(I_{i} Z, \xi_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

A substitution of (3.11) in (3.8) implies (3.5).
If we take the trace in (3.5) and apply the sixth formula in Theorem 2.4 we come to

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \rho_{i}\left(\xi_{i}, X\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} T^{0}\right)\left[\left(e_{a}, X\right)-\left(I_{i} e_{a}, I_{i} X\right)\right]-\frac{1}{2} \rho_{k}\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \rho_{j}\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{k}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing (3.12) and the last formula in Theorem 2.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+1) \rho_{i}\left(\xi_{i}, X\right)+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{i}\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} T^{0}\right)\left[\left(e_{a}, X\right)-\left(I_{i} e_{a}, I_{i} X\right)\right]+\frac{X(S c a l)}{32 n(n+2)} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second Bianchi identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(A, B, C)}\left\{\left(\nabla_{A} R\right)(B, C, D, E)+R(T(A, B), C, D, E)\right\}=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (2.3) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(X, Y, Z)}\left[\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)(Y, Z, V, W)+2 \sum_{s=1}^{3} \omega_{s}(X, Y) R\left(\xi_{s}, Z, V, W\right)\right]=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain (3.15) and (2.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} R\right)\left(X, Y, Z, e_{a}\right) & -\left(\nabla_{X} \operatorname{Ric}\right)(Y, Z)+\left(\nabla_{Y} \operatorname{Ric}\right)(X, Z)  \tag{3.16}\\
& -2 \sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[R\left(\xi_{s}, Y, Z, I_{s} X\right)-R\left(\xi_{s}, X, Z, I_{s} Y\right)+\omega_{s}(X, Y) \operatorname{Ric}\left(\xi_{s}, Z\right)=0\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $X=e_{a}, Y=I_{i} e_{a}$ in (3.15) we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{e_{a}} R\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, Z, V, W\right)+2 n\left(\nabla_{Z} \tau_{i}\right)(V, W)  \tag{3.17}\\
& \quad+2(2 n-1) R\left(\xi_{i}, Z, V, W\right)+2 R\left(\xi_{j}, I_{k} Z, V, W\right)-2 R\left(\xi_{k}, I_{j} Z, V, W\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

After taking the trace in (3.17) and applying the formulas in Theorem 2.4 we come to

$$
\begin{align*}
& (2 n-1) \rho_{i}\left(\xi_{i}, X\right)-2 \rho_{i}\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{j}\right)=  \tag{3.18}\\
& \quad-\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} T^{0}\right)\left(e_{a}, X\right)+\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} T^{0}\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, I_{i} X\right)\right]-\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} U\right)\left(X, e_{a}\right)+\frac{2 n-1}{16 n(n+2)} X(\text { Scal })
\end{align*}
$$

Now, (3.13) and (3.18) yield (3.7).
Finally, from (3.1) and an application of (2.3) and Proposition 2.4 we verify that (3.6) holds.
As consequence of Theorem 3.1 we obtain the next important Proposition.
Proposition 3.2. A QC manifold is locally isomorphic to the quaternionic Heisenberg group exactly when the curvature of the Biquard connection restricted to $H$ vanishes, $R_{\left.\right|_{H}}=0$.

Proof. Taking into account [IMV1, Proposition 4.11], in order to see the claim it is sufficient to show that the (full) curvature tensor vanishes. From $R_{\left.\right|_{H}}=0$ we can conclude, cf. [IMV1, Proposition 4.2, Proposition 4.3, Theorem 4.9], that the vertical distribution $V$ is involutive and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\rho_{t_{\left.\right|_{H}}}=\tau_{t_{\left.\right|_{H}}}=\zeta_{t_{\left.\right|_{H}}}=\rho_{t}(\xi, .)_{\left.\right|_{H}}=\zeta_{t}(\xi, .)_{\left.\right|_{H}}=\left.\tau_{t}(\xi, .)\right|_{\left.\right|_{H}}=\operatorname{Ric}(\xi, .)\right)_{\left.\right|_{H}}=T\left(\xi_{s}, .\right)=0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (3.19) to (3.5) and (3.6) allows us to conclude $R(\xi, X, Y, Z)=R\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}, X, Y\right)=0$. Furthermore, (2.6) yields $R\left(X, Y, \xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)=2 \rho_{k}(X, Y)=0, \quad R\left(X, \xi, \xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)=2 \rho_{k}(X, \xi)=0$, and $4 n R\left(\xi_{s}, \xi_{t}, \xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)=8 n \rho_{k}\left(\xi_{s}, \xi_{t}\right)=2 R\left(\xi_{s}, \xi_{t}, e_{a}, I_{k} e_{a}\right)=0$, which ends the proof.

## 4. Quaternionic contact conformal curvature. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we define the quaternionic contact conformal curvature and prove Theorem 1.1.
4.1. Conformal transformations. A conformal quaternionic contact transformation between two quaternionic contact manifold is a diffeomorphism $\Phi$ which satisfies $\Phi^{*} \eta=\mu \Psi \cdot \eta$ for some positive smooth function $\mu$ and some matrix $\Psi \in S O(3)$ with smooth functions as entries, where $\eta=$ $\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}\right)^{t}$ is considered as an element of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The Biquard connection does not change under rotations, i.e., the Biquard connection of $\Psi \cdot \eta$ and $\eta$ coincides. Hence, studying qc conformal transformations we may consider only transformations $\Phi^{*} \eta=\mu \eta$.

We recall the formulas for the conformal change of the corresponding Biquard connections from [IMV1]. Let $h$ be a positive smooth function on a QC manifold ( $M, \eta$ ). Let $\bar{\eta}=\frac{1}{2 h} \eta$ be a conformal deformation of the QC structure $\eta$. We will denote the objects related to $\bar{\eta}$ by over-lining the same object corresponding to $\eta$. Thus, $d \bar{\eta}=-\frac{1}{2 h^{2}} d h \wedge \eta+\frac{1}{2 h} d \eta, \bar{g}=\frac{1}{2 h} g$.

The new triple $\left\{\bar{\xi}_{1}, \bar{\xi}_{2}, \bar{\xi}_{3}\right\}$, determined by the conditions (2.1) defining the Reeb vector fields, is $\bar{\xi}_{s}=2 h \xi_{s}+I_{s} \nabla h$. The horizontal sub-Laplacian and the norm of the horizontal gradient are defined respectively by $\triangle h=\operatorname{tr}_{H}^{g}(\nabla d h)=\nabla d h\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}\right),|\nabla h|^{2}=d h\left(e_{\alpha}\right) d h\left(e_{\alpha}\right)$. The Biquard connections $\nabla$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ are connected by a $(1,2)$ tensor S ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{A} B=\nabla_{A} B+S_{A} B, \quad A, B \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (2.3) yields $g\left(S_{X} Y, Z\right)-g\left(S_{Y} X, Z\right)=-h^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^{3} \omega_{s}(X, Y) d h\left(I_{s} Z\right)$, while $\bar{\nabla} \bar{g}=0$ implies $g\left(S_{X} Y, Z\right)+g\left(S_{X} Z, Y\right)=-h^{-1} d h(X) g(Y, Z)$. The last two equations determine $g\left(S_{X} Y, Z\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(S_{X} Y, Z\right)=-(2 h)^{-1}\left\{d h(X) g(Y, Z)-\sum_{s=1}^{3} d h\left(I_{s} X\right) \omega_{s}(Y, Z)\right.  \tag{4.2}\\
& \left.\quad+d h(Y) g(Z, X)+\sum_{s=1}^{3} d h\left(I_{s} Y\right) \omega_{s}(Z, X)-d h(Z) g(X, Y)+\sum_{s=1}^{3} d h\left(I_{s} Z\right) \omega_{s}(X, Y)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Theorem 2.1 we obtain after some calculations

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(\bar{T}_{\bar{\xi}_{1}} X, Y\right)-2 h g\left(T_{\xi_{1}} X, Y\right) & -g\left(S_{\bar{\xi}_{1}} X, Y\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& =-\nabla d h\left(X, I_{1} Y\right)+h^{-1}\left(d h\left(I_{3} X\right) d h\left(I_{2} Y\right)-d h\left(I_{2} X\right) d h\left(I_{3} Y\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The identity $d^{2}=0$ yields $\nabla d h(X, Y)-\nabla d h(Y, X)=-d h(T(X, Y))$. Applying (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla d h(X, Y)=[\nabla d h]_{[s y m]}(X, Y)-\sum_{s=1}^{3} d h\left(\xi_{s}\right) \omega_{s}(X, Y) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[.]_{[s y m]}$ denotes the symmetric part of the corresponding (0,2)-tensor. Decomposing (4.3) into [3] and [-1] parts according to (2.4), using the properties of the torsion tensor $T_{\xi_{i}}$ and (2.7) we come to the next transformation formula [IMV1]

$$
\begin{gather*}
g\left(S_{\bar{\xi}_{i}} X, Y\right)=-\frac{1}{4}\left[-\nabla d h\left(X, I_{i} Y\right)+\nabla d h\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)-\nabla d h\left(I_{j} X, I_{k} Y\right)+\nabla d h\left(I_{k} X, I_{j} Y\right)\right]  \tag{4.5}\\
\quad-(2 h)^{-1}\left[d h\left(I_{k} X\right) d h\left(I_{j} Y\right)-d h\left(I_{j} X\right) d h\left(I_{k} Y\right)+d h\left(I_{i} X\right) d h(Y)-d h(X) d h\left(I_{i} Y\right)\right] \\
\quad+\frac{1}{4 n}\left(-\triangle h+2 h^{-1}|\nabla h|^{2}\right) \omega_{i}(X, Y)-d h\left(\xi_{k}\right) \omega_{j}(X, Y)+d h\left(\xi_{j}\right) \omega_{k}(X, Y)
\end{gather*}
$$

4.2. Quaternionic contact conformal curvature. Let $(M, g, \mathbb{Q})$ be a ( $4 \mathrm{n}+3$ )-dimensional QC manifold. We consider the symmetric $(0,2)$ tensor $L$ defined on $H$ by the equality

$$
\begin{align*}
L(X, Y)=\left(\frac{1}{4(n+1)} \operatorname{Ric}_{[-1]}+\frac{1}{2(2 n+5)}\right. & \left.\operatorname{Ric}_{[3][0]}+\frac{1}{32 n(n+2)} S c a l g\right)(X, Y)  \tag{4.6}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} T^{0}(X, Y)+U(X, Y)+\frac{S c a l}{32 n(n+2)} g(X, Y)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Ric}_{[-1]}$ is the [-1]-part of the Ricci tensor and $\operatorname{Ric}_{[3][0]}$ is the trace-free [3]-part of Ric and we use the identities in Theorem 2.4 to obtain the second equality.

Let us denote the trace-free part of $L$ with $L_{0}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}=\frac{1}{4(n+1)} R i_{[-1]}+\frac{1}{2(2 n+5)} R i_{[3][0]}=\frac{1}{2} T^{0}+U, \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We employ the notation for the Kulkarni-Nomizu product of two (not necessarily symmetric) tensors, for example,

$$
\left(\omega_{s} \otimes L\right)(X, Y, Z, V):=\omega_{s}(X, Z) L(Y, V)+\omega_{s}(Y, V) L(X, Z)-\omega_{s}(Y, Z) L(X, V)-\omega_{s}(X, V) L(Y, Z)
$$

We also note explicitly that following usual conventions we have

$$
I_{s} L(X, Y)=g\left(I_{s} L X, Y\right)=-L\left(X, I_{s} Y\right)
$$

Now, define the $(0,4)$ tensor $W R$ on $H$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& W R(X, Y, Z, V)=R(X, Y, Z, V)+(g \otimes L)(X, Y, Z, V)+\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left(\omega_{s} \otimes I_{s} L\right)(X, Y, Z, V)  \tag{4.8}\\
&-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i, j, k)} \omega_{i}(X, Y)\left[L\left(Z, I_{i} V\right)-L\left(I_{i} Z, V\right)+L\left(I_{j} Z, I_{k} V\right)-L\left(I_{k} Z, I_{j} V\right)\right] \\
&-\sum_{s=1}^{3} \omega_{s}(Z, V)\left[L\left(X, I_{s} Y\right)-L\left(I_{s} X, Y\right)\right]+\frac{1}{2 n}(\operatorname{tr} L) \sum_{s=1}^{3} \omega_{s}(X, Y) \omega_{s}(Z, V)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sum_{(i, j, k)}$ denotes the cyclic sum.
A substitution of (4.6) and (4.7) in (4.8), invoking also (2.8) and (2.9), gives
(4.9) $W R(X, Y, Z, V)=R(X, Y, Z, V)+\left(g \otimes L_{0}\right)(X, Y, Z, V)+\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left(\omega_{s} \otimes I_{s} L_{0}\right)(X, Y, Z, V)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\omega_{s}(X, Y)\left\{T^{0}\left(Z, I_{s} V\right)-T^{0}\left(I_{s} Z, V\right)\right\}+\omega_{s}(Z, V)\left\{T^{0}\left(X, I_{s} Y\right)-T^{0}\left(I_{s} X, Y\right)-4 U\left(X, I_{s} Y\right)\right\}\right] \\
&+\frac{S c a l}{32 n(n+2)}\left[(g \otimes g)(X, Y, Z, V)+\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left(\left(\omega_{s} \otimes \omega_{s}\right)(X, Y, Z, V)+4 \omega_{s}(X, Y) \omega_{s}(Z, V)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 4.1. The tensor $W R$ is completely trace-free, i.e.

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(W R)=\rho_{s}(W R)=\tau_{s}(W R)=\zeta_{s}(W R)=0
$$

Proof. Proposition 2.3, (2.8), (2.9) and (4.6) imply the following identities

$$
\begin{align*}
& T^{0}\left(\xi_{s}, I_{s} X, Y\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left[L(X, Y)-L\left(I_{s} X, I_{s} Y\right)\right]  \tag{4.10}\\
& U(X, Y)=\frac{1}{4}\left[L(X, Y)+L\left(I_{1} X, I_{1} Y\right)+L\left(I_{2} X, I_{2} Y\right)+L\left(I_{3} X, I_{3} Y\right)-\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} L g(X, Y)\right]  \tag{4.11}\\
& T\left(\xi_{i}, X, Y\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left[L\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)+L\left(X, I_{i} Y\right)\right]+U\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)  \tag{4.12}\\
& =-\frac{1}{4} L\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)-\frac{3}{4} L\left(X, I_{i} Y\right)-\frac{1}{4} L\left(I_{k} X, I_{j} Y\right)+\frac{1}{4} L\left(I_{j} X, I_{k} Y\right)-\frac{1}{4 n}(\operatorname{tr} L) g\left(I_{i} X, Y\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

After a substitution of (4.10) and (4.11) in the first four equations of Theorem 2.4 we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ric}(X, Y)= & \frac{2 n+3}{2 n} \operatorname{tr} L g(X, Y) \\
& \quad+\frac{8 n+11}{2} L(X, Y)+\frac{3}{2}\left[L\left(I_{i} X, I_{i} Y\right)+L\left(I_{j} X, I_{j} Y\right)+L\left(I_{k} X, I_{k} Y\right)\right] \\
\rho_{i}(X, Y)= & L\left(X, I_{i} Y\right)-L\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)-\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{tr} L \omega_{i}(X, Y) \\
\tau_{i}(X, Y)= & -\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} L \omega_{i}(X, Y)  \tag{4.13}\\
& \quad-\frac{n+2}{2 n}\left[L\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)-L\left(X, I_{i} Y\right)+L\left(I_{k} X, I_{j} Y\right)-L\left(I_{j} X, I_{k} Y\right)\right] \\
\zeta_{i}(X, Y)= & \frac{2 n-1}{8 n^{2}} \operatorname{tr} L \omega_{i}(X, Y) \\
+ & \frac{3}{8 n} L\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)-\frac{8 n+3}{8 n} L\left(X, I_{i} Y\right)+\frac{1}{8 n}\left[L\left(I_{k} X, I_{j} Y\right)-L\left(I_{j} X, I_{k} Y\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the corresponding traces in (4.8), using also (4.13), we can verify the claim.
Comparing (4.9) with (3.4) we obtain the next Proposition.
Proposition 4.2. On a QC manifold the [-1]-part with respect to the first two arguments of the tensor $W R$ vanishes identically,

$$
W R_{[-1]}(X, Y, Z, V)=\frac{1}{4}\left[3 W R(X, Y, Z, V)-\sum_{s=1}^{3} W R\left(I_{s} X, I_{s} Y, Z, V\right)\right]=0
$$

The [3]-part with respect to the first two arguments of the tensor $W R$ is determined completely by the torsion and the scalar curvature as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& W R_{[3]}(X, Y, Z, V)=\frac{1}{4}\left[W R(X, Y, Z, V)+\sum_{s=1}^{3} W R\left(I_{s} X, I_{s} Y, Z, V\right)\right]  \tag{4.14}\\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left[R(X, Y, Z, V)+\sum_{s=1}^{3} R\left(I_{s} X, I_{s} Y, Z, V\right)\right]-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{3} \omega_{s}(Z, V)\left[T^{0}\left(X, I_{s} Y\right)-T^{0}\left(I_{s} X, Y\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{S c a l}{32 n(n+2)}\left[(g \otimes g)(X, Y, Z, V)+\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left(\omega_{s} \otimes \omega_{s}\right)(X, Y, Z, V)\right] \\
& \\
& +(g \otimes U)(X, Y, Z, V)+\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left(\omega_{s} \otimes I_{s} U\right)(X, Y, Z, V)
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 4.3. We denote the [3]-part of the tensor $W R$ described in (4.14) by $W^{q c}, W^{q c}:=W R_{[3]}$ and call it the quaternionic contact conformal curvature.
4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. The relevance of $W R$ is partially justified by the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.4. The tensor $W R$ is invariant under qc conformal transformations, i.e. if

$$
\bar{\eta}=(2 h)^{-1} \Psi \eta \quad \text { then } \quad 2 h W R_{\bar{\eta}}=W R_{\eta}
$$

for any smooth positive function $h$ and any $S O(3)$-matrix $\Psi$.
Proof. After a long computation based on (4.1), (4.2), (4.5), and a suitable computer program the relation between the curvature tensors $\bar{R}$ and $R$ was computed by I.Minchev [M] and presented to us in 10 pages. After a careful study of the structure of the equation we put the output in the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 h g(\bar{R}(X, Y) Z, V)-g(R(X, Y) Z, V)  \tag{4.15}\\
& \quad=-g \otimes M(X, Y, Z, V)-\sum_{s=1}^{3} \omega_{s} \otimes\left(I_{s} M\right)(X, Y, Z, V) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i, j, k)} \omega_{i}(X, Y)\left[M\left(Z, I_{i} V\right)-M\left(I_{i} Z, V\right)+M\left(I_{j} Z, I_{k} V\right)-M\left(I_{k} Z, I_{j} V\right)\right] \\
& -g(Z, V)[M(X, Y)-M(Y, X)]+\sum_{s=1}^{3} \omega_{s}(Z, V)\left[M\left(X, I_{s} Y\right)-M\left(Y, I_{s} X\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2 n}(\operatorname{tr} M) \sum_{s=1}^{3} \omega_{s}(X, Y) \omega_{s}(Z, V)+\frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{(i, j, k)} M_{i}\left[\omega_{j}(X, Y) \omega_{k}(Z, V)-\omega_{k}(X, Y) \omega_{j}(Z, V)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where the $(0,2)$ tensor $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2 h}\left(\nabla d h(X, Y)-\frac{1}{2 h}\left[d h(X) d h(Y)+\sum_{s=1}^{3} d h\left(I_{s} X\right) d h\left(I_{s} Y\right)+\frac{1}{2} g(X, Y)|d h|^{2}\right]\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\operatorname{tr} M=M\left(e_{a}, e_{a}\right), M_{s}=M\left(e_{a}, I_{s} e_{a}\right)$ are its traces. Using (4.16) and (4.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} M=(2 h)^{-1}\left(\Delta h-(n+2) h^{-1}|d h|^{2}\right), \quad M_{s}=-2 n h^{-1} d h\left(\xi_{s}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

After taking the traces in (4.15), using (4.16) and the fact that the [3]-component $(\nabla d h)_{[3]}$ of $\nabla d h$ on $H$ is symmetric, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{R i c}-R i c=4(n+1) M_{[s y m]}+6 M_{[3]}+\frac{2 n+3}{2 n} \operatorname{tr} M g, \quad \frac{\overline{S c a l}}{2 h}-S c a l=8(n+2) \operatorname{tr} M . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $S p(n) S p(1)$-invariant, [-1] and [3], parts of (4.18) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\overline{R i c}-R i c)_{[-1]}=(n+1) M_{[s y m][-1]}, \quad(\overline{R i c}-R i c)_{[3]}=\frac{2 n+5}{2} M_{[3]}+\frac{2 n+3}{2 n}(\operatorname{tr} M) g \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identities in Theorem 2.4, equations (4.18) and (4.19) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{[s y m]}=\left(\frac{1}{4(n+1)} \overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{[-1]}\right. & +\frac{1}{2(2 n+5)} \overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{[3]}-\frac{2 n+3}{32 n(n+2)(2 n+5)} \overline{\operatorname{Scal} \bar{g})}  \tag{4.20}\\
-\left(\frac{1}{4(n+1)} \operatorname{Ric}_{[-1]}\right. & +\frac{1}{2(2 n+5)} \operatorname{Ric}_{[3]}-\frac{2 n+3}{32 n(n+2)(2 n+5)} \text { Scal g)} \\
& =\left[\frac{1}{2} \overline{T^{0}}+\bar{U}+\frac{\overline{S c a l}}{32 n(n+2)} \bar{g}\right]-\left[\frac{1}{2} T^{0}+U+\frac{S c a l}{32 n(n+2)} g\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, from (4.16) and (4.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(X, Y)=M_{[s y m]}(X, Y)-\frac{1}{2 h} \sum_{s=1}^{3} d h\left(\xi_{s}\right) \omega_{s}(X, Y) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.20) in (4.21), inserting the obtained equality in (4.15), and using (4.17) completes the proof of Theorem 4.4.

At this point, a combination of Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.2 ends the proof of Theorem 1.1 as well.

## 5. Converse problem. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Suppose $W^{q c}=0$, hence $W R=0$ by Proposition 4.2. In order to prove Theorem 1.2 we search for a conformal factor such that after a conformal transformation using this factor the new qc structure has Biquard connection which is flat when restricted to the common horizontal space $H$. After we achieve this task we can invoke Proposition 3.2 and conclude that the given structure is locally qc conformal to the flat qc structure on the quaternionic Heisenberg group $\boldsymbol{G}(\mathbb{H})$. With this considerations in mind, it is then sufficient to find (locally) a solution $h$ of equation (4.21) with $M_{[s y m]}=-L$. In fact, a substitution of (4.21) in (4.15) and an application of the condition $W^{q c}=0=W R$ allows us to see that the qc structure $\bar{\eta}=\frac{1}{2 h} \eta$ has flat Biquard connection.

Let us consider the following overdetermined system of partial differential equations with respect to an unknown function $u$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{r}
\nabla d u(X, Y)=-d u(X) d u(Y)+\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[d u\left(I_{s} X\right) d u\left(I_{s} Y\right)-d u\left(\xi_{s}\right) \omega_{s}(X, Y)\right] \\
\\
+
\end{array} \begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{2} g(X, Y)|\nabla u|^{2}-L(X, Y)
\end{array}  \tag{5.1}\\
& \begin{array}{r}
\nabla d u\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)=\mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)-L\left(X, I_{i} d u\right)+\frac{1}{2} d u\left(I_{i} X\right)|\nabla u|^{2} \\
\\
-d u(X) d u\left(\xi_{i}\right)-d u\left(I_{j} X\right) d u\left(\xi_{k}\right)+d u\left(I_{k} X\right) d u\left(\xi_{j}\right)
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{r}
\nabla d u\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)=-\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)+\mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} d u, \xi_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{4}|\nabla u|^{4}-\left(d u\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(d u\left(\xi_{j}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(d u\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right)^{2} \\
\nabla d u\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{i}\right)=-\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{i}\right)+\mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} d u, \xi_{j}\right)-2 d u\left(\xi_{i}\right) d u\left(\xi_{j}\right)-\frac{S c a l}{16 n(n+2)} d u\left(\xi_{k}\right)
\end{array}  \tag{5.2}\\
& \nabla d u\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{i}\right)=-\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{i}\right)+\mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} d u, \xi_{k}\right)-2 d u\left(\xi_{i}\right) d u\left(\xi_{k}\right)+\frac{S c a l}{16 n(n+2)} d u\left(\xi_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Here the tensor $L$ is given by (4.6), while the tensors $\mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)$ and $\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)$ do not depend on the unknown function $u$ and will be determined later in (5.10) and (5.24), respectively. If we make the substitution

$$
2 u=\ln h, \quad 2 h d u=d h, \quad \nabla d h=2 h \nabla d u+4 h d u \otimes d u
$$

in (4.16) we recognize that (4.21) transforms into (5.1). Therefore, it is sufficient to show that the system (5.1)-(5.5) admits (locally) a smooth solution.

The integrability conditions for the above considered over-determined system are furnished by the Ricci identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} d u(A, B, C)-\nabla^{2} d u(B, A, C)=-R(A, B, C, d u)-\nabla d u((T(A, B), C), \quad A, B, C \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (5.6) is $S p(n) S p(1)$-invariant it is sufficient to check it in a qc-normal frame.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be achieved by considering all possible cases of (5.6). It will be presented as a sequel of subsections, which occupy the rest of this section. Let us remind that the goal is to show that the vanishing of the qc conformal tensor $W^{q c}$ implies the validity of (5.6) which guaranties the existence of a local smooth solution to the system (5.1)-(5.5).
5.1. Case 1, $X, Y, Z \in H$. Integrability condition (5.9).

When we consider equation (5.6) on $H$ it takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{2} d u(Z, X, Y)- & \nabla^{2} d u(X, Z, Y)=-R(Z, X, Y, d u)  \tag{5.7}\\
& -2 \omega_{1}(Z, X) \nabla d u\left(\xi_{1}, Y\right)-2 \omega_{2}(Z, X) \nabla d u\left(\xi_{2}, Y\right)-2 \omega_{3}(Z, X) \nabla d u\left(\xi_{3}, Y\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used (2.3). The identity $d^{2} u=0$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\nabla d u\left(X, \xi_{s}\right)-\nabla d u\left(\xi_{s}, X\right)\right)=d u\left(T\left(\xi_{s}, X\right)\right)=T\left(\xi_{s}, X, d u\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

After we take a covariant derivative of (5.1) along $Z \in H$, substitute the derivatives from (5.1) and (5.2), then anti-commute the covariant derivatives, substitute the result in (5.7) and use (4.8) with $W R=0$ we obtain, after some standard calculations, that the integrability condition in this case is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{Z} L\right)(X, Y)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right) & (Z, Y)  \tag{5.9}\\
& =\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\omega_{s}(Z, Y) \mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{s}\right)-\omega_{s}(X, Y) \mathbb{B}\left(Z, \xi_{s}\right)+2 \omega_{s}(Z, X) \mathbb{B}\left(Y, \xi_{s}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

For example, we check below that the term involving $\omega_{1}(Z, X)$ is $2 \mathbb{B}\left(Y, \xi_{1}\right)$. Indeed, the coefficient of $\omega_{1}(Z, X)$ in (5.7) is calculated to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{2}\left[L\left(Y, I_{1} \nabla u\right)-L\left(I_{1} Y, \nabla u\right)+L\left(I_{2} Y, I_{3} \nabla u\right)-L\left(I_{3} Y, I_{2} \nabla u\right)\right]+\frac{S c a l}{16 n(n+2)} d u\left(I_{1} Y\right) \\
& \quad-2 \nabla d u\left(\xi_{1}, Y\right)+d u\left(I_{1} Y\right)|\nabla u|^{2}-2 d u\left(\xi_{1}\right) d u(Y)-2 d u\left(\xi_{3}\right) d u\left(I_{2} Y\right)+2 d u\left(\xi_{2}\right) d u\left(I_{3} Y\right) \\
&=- \frac{1}{2}\left[T^{0}\left(Y, I_{1} \nabla u\right)-T^{0}\left(I_{1} Y, \nabla u\right)\right]+\frac{S c a l}{16 n(n+2)} d u\left(I_{1} Y\right)+2 L\left(Y, I_{1} \nabla u\right)+2 d u\left(T\left(\xi_{1}, Y\right)\right)+2 \mathbb{B}\left(Y, \xi_{1}\right) \\
&=- \frac{1}{2}\left[T^{0}\left(Y, I_{1} \nabla u\right)-T^{0}\left(I_{1} Y, \nabla u\right)\right]+T^{0}\left(Y, I_{1} \nabla u\right)+2 U\left(Y, I_{1} \nabla u\right)+2\left[d u\left(T^{0}\left(\xi_{1}, Y\right)\right)+U\left(I_{1} Y, \nabla u\right)\right] \\
& \quad+2 \mathbb{B}\left(Y, \xi_{1}\right)=2 \mathbb{B}\left(Y, \xi_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (5.8), (4.6) and the properties of the torsion described in (2.8),(2.9) and Proposition 2.3.

At this point we determine the tensors $\mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{s}\right)$. Thus, we take the traces in (5.9) which give the next sequence of equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{e_{a}} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, I_{i} X\right)=(4 n+1) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{i}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{j}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{k}\right) \\
& \sum_{s=1}^{3} \mathbb{B}\left(I_{s} X, \xi_{s}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left[\left(\nabla_{X} \operatorname{tr} L-\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} L\right)\left(e_{a}, X\right)\right]=\frac{1}{4 n-1} \sum_{s=1}^{3}\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} L\right)\left(I_{s} e_{a}, I_{s} X\right)\right.  \tag{5.10}\\
& \mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2(2 n+1)}\left[\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, X\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} L\right)\left(e_{a}, I_{i} X\right)-\nabla_{I_{i} X} t r L\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where the second equality in (5.10) is precisely equivalent to (2.11).
We turn to a useful technical
Lemma 5.1. The condition (5.9) is equivalent to

$$
\left(\nabla_{Z} L\right)(X, Y)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)(Z, Y)=0 \quad \bmod \quad g, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}
$$

Proof. The condition of the lemma implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{Z} L\right)(X, Y)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)(Z, Y)=g(Z, Y) C(X)-g(X, Y) C(Z)+  \tag{5.11}\\
& \sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\omega_{s}(Z, Y) \mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{s}\right)-\omega_{s}(X, Y) \mathbb{B}\left(Z, \xi_{s}\right)+2 \omega_{s}(Z, X) B\left(Y, \xi_{s}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for some tensors $C(X), B\left(X, \xi_{s}\right)$ due to the vanishing of the cyclic sum $\sum_{(Z, X, Y)}\left[\left(\nabla_{Z} L\right)(X, Y)-\right.$ $\left.\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)(Z, Y)\right]=0$. Taking traces in (5.11) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\nabla_{e_{a}} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, I_{i} X\right)=(4 n+1) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{i}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{j}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{k}\right)+C\left(I_{i} X\right) \\
& \left(\nabla_{e_{a}} L\right)\left(e_{a}, X\right)-\nabla_{X} \operatorname{tr} L=\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left(-3 \mathbb{B}\left(I_{s} X, \xi_{s}\right)+(4 n-1) C(X)\right) \\
& \sum_{s=1}^{3}\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} L\right)\left(I_{s} e_{a}, I_{s} X\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{3}(4 n-1) \mathbb{B} \cdot\left(I_{s} X, \xi_{s}\right)+C\left(I_{s} X\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last two equalities together with (2.11) and its consequences (5.10) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
(4 n-1)^{2} C(X)+3 \sum_{s=1}^{3} C\left(I_{s} X\right)=0 \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the linear system (5.12), we see $\left((4 n-1)^{4}+3^{4}\right) C(X)=0$. Hence, $C(X)=0$.
Proposition 5.2. If $W^{q c}=0$ then the condition (5.9) holds.
Proof. Suppose $W^{q c}=0$, use (3.16) and apply (4.8) to calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} R\right)\left(X, Y, Z, e_{a}\right)=-\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)(X, Z)+\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)(Y, Z) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$+\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\left(\nabla_{I_{s} Y} L\right)\left(X, I_{s} Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{s} X} L\right)\left(Y, I_{s} Z\right)+\left(\nabla_{I_{s} Z} L\right)\left(X, I_{s} Y\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{s} Z} L\right)\left(I_{s} X, Y\right)\right] \bmod \quad g, \omega_{s}$.
Substituting (4.10), (4.11) in (3.5) we come to

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2 \sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[R\left(\xi_{s}, Y, Z, I_{s} X\right)-R\left(\xi_{s}, X, Z, I_{s} Y\right)\right]  \tag{5.14}\\
& =\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\left(\nabla_{I_{s} Y} L\right)\left(X, I_{s} Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{s} X} L\right)\left(Y, I_{s} Z\right)+\left(\nabla_{I_{s} Y} L\right)\left(I_{s} X, Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{s} X} L\right)\left(I_{s} Y, Z\right)\right] \\
+ & \frac{3}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)(X, Z)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)(Y, Z)+\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)\left(I_{s} X, I_{s} Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(I_{s} Y, I_{s} Z\right)\right] \bmod g, \omega_{s} .
\end{align*}
$$

The second Bianchi identity gives $\sum_{(X, Y, Z)} \nabla_{X} \rho_{i}(Y, Z)=0 \bmod \quad g, \omega_{s}$. Use (4.13) to see

$$
\begin{align*}
3\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)(X, Z)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\right. & (Y, Z))+\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)\left(I_{s} X, I_{s} Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(I_{s} Y, I_{s} Z\right)\right)  \tag{5.15}\\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\left(\nabla_{I_{s} Z} L\right)\left(X, I_{s} Y\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{s} Z} L\right)\left(I_{s} X, Y\right)\right]=0 \quad \bmod \quad g, \omega_{s}
\end{align*}
$$

A substitution of $(5.13),(5.14),(5.15)$ and (4.13) in (3.16) shows, after some standard calculations, the following identity
$\left.+2 \sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)\left(I_{s} X, I_{s} Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{s} X} L\right)\left(Y, I_{s} Z\right)+\nabla_{I_{s} Y} L\right)\left(X, I_{s} Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(I_{s} Y, I_{s} Z\right)\right]=0 \quad \bmod \quad g, \omega_{s}$.
Taking the [3]-component with respect to $X, Y$ in (5.16) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)(X, Z)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)(Y, Z)+\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\left(\nabla_{I_{s} Y} L\right)\left(I_{s} X, Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{s} X} L\right)\left(I_{s} Y, Z\right)\right]=0 \quad \bmod \quad g, \omega_{s} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

A substitution of (5.17) in (5.16) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 5.18) } \quad 2 n\left[\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)(X, Z)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)(Y, Z)\right]+\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\left(\nabla_{I_{s} Y} L\right)\left(X, I_{s} Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(I_{s} Y, I_{s} Z\right)\right]  \tag{5.18}\\
& +\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)(X, Z)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)(Y, Z)+\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)\left(I_{s} X, I_{s} Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{s} X} L\right)\left(Y, I_{s} Z\right)\right]=0 \quad \bmod \quad g, \omega_{s}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the [-1]-component with respect to $X, Z$ of (5.18), calculated with the help of (5.17), yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& (6 n-1)\left[\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)(X, Z)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)(Y, Z)\right]+4 \sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\left(\nabla_{I_{s} Y} L\right)\left(X, I_{s} Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(I_{s} Y, I_{s} Z\right)\right]  \tag{5.19}\\
& -(2 n+1) \sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)\left(I_{s} X, I_{s} Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{s} X} L\right)\left(Y, I_{s} Z\right)\right]=0 \quad \bmod \quad g, \omega_{s}
\end{align*}
$$

The equations (5.18) and (5.19) lead to

$$
\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)(X, Z)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)(Y, Z)+\sum_{s=1}^{3}\left[\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)\left(I_{s} X, I_{s} Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{s} X} L\right)\left(Y, I_{s} Z\right)\right]=0 \quad \bmod \quad g, \omega_{s}
$$

The latter and (5.18) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 n-1)\left[\left(\nabla_{Y} L\right)(X, Z)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)(Y, Z)\right]=0 \quad \bmod \quad g, \omega_{s} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Lemma 5.1 completes the proof of (5.9).
5.2. Case 2, $Z, X \in H, \quad \xi_{i} \in V$. Integrability condition (5.23).

In this case (5.6) turns into

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} d u\left(Z, X, \xi_{i}\right)- \nabla^{2} d u\left(X, Z, \xi_{i}\right)=-R\left(Z, X, \xi_{i}, d u\right)-\nabla d u\left(T(Z, X), \xi_{i}\right)=  \tag{5.21}\\
& \quad-2 d u\left(\xi_{j}\right) \rho_{k}(Z, X)+2 d u\left(\xi_{k}\right) \rho_{j}(Z, X) \\
&-2 \omega_{i}(Z, X) \nabla d u\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)-2 \omega_{j}(Z, X) \nabla d u\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{i}\right)-2 \omega_{k}(Z, X) \nabla d u\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

after using (2.3) and (2.6). Taking a covariant derivative of (5.2) along $Z \in H$, substituting in the obtained equality (5.1) and (5.2), and anti-commuting the covariant derivatives we see

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} d u\left(Z, X, \xi_{i}\right)- \nabla^{2} d u\left(X, Z, \xi_{i}\right)=\left(\nabla_{Z} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)-\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Z, \xi_{i}\right)  \tag{5.22}\\
&-\left(\nabla_{Z} L\right)\left(X, I_{i} d u\right)+\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(Z, I_{i} d u\right)-L\left(X, \nabla_{Z} I_{i} d u\right)+L\left(Z, \nabla_{X} I_{i} d u\right) \\
&+ \text { other terms comming from the use of (5.1) and }
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute (5.22) into (5.21) use (5.9) proved in Proposition 5.2, also (5.3), (5.4), (5.5) and the second equation in (4.13) to get after some calculations that (5.21) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{Z} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{t}\right)-\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Z, \xi_{t}\right)-L\left(Z, I_{t} L(X)\right)+L\left(X, I_{t} L(Z)\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{3} 2 \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{s}, \xi_{t}\right) \omega_{s}(Z, X) \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the integrability condition in this case. The functions $\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{s}, \xi_{t}\right)$ are uniquely determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{s}, \xi_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{4 n}\left[\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{s} e_{a}, \xi_{t}\right)+L\left(e_{a}, e_{b}\right) L\left(I_{t} e_{a}, I_{s} e_{b}\right)\right] \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 5.3. If $W^{q c}=0$ then the condition (5.23) holds.
Proof. To prove the assertion it is sufficient to show that the left hand side of (5.23) vanishes $\bmod \omega_{s}$. Differentiating (5.9) and taking the corresponding traces yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{e_{a}, I_{i} e_{a}}^{2} L\right)(X, Y)-\left(\nabla_{e_{a}, X}^{2} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, Y\right)=-\left(\nabla_{Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)-2\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{i}\right)  \tag{5.25}\\
& \quad+\left(\nabla_{I_{k} Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)+2\left(\nabla_{I_{k} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{j} Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{k}\right)-2\left(\nabla_{I_{j} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{k}\right) \\
& \left(\nabla_{e_{a}, X}^{2} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, Y\right)-\left(\nabla_{e_{a}, Y}^{2} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, X\right)=\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{i}\right)-\left(\nabla_{Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)  \tag{5.26}\\
& \quad+\left(\nabla_{I_{k} Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{k} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{j} Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{k}\right)+\left(\nabla_{I_{j} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{k}\right) \text { mod } \omega_{s} \\
& \left(\nabla_{X, e_{a}}^{2} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, Y\right)=(4 n+1)\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{i}\right)-\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} Y, \xi_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} Y, \xi_{k}\right) \text { mod } \omega_{s}  \tag{5.27}\\
& -\nabla_{X, I_{i} Y}^{2} \operatorname{tr} L+\left(\nabla_{X, e_{a}}^{2} L\right)\left(e_{a}, I_{i} Y\right)=3\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{i}\right)-3\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} Y, \xi_{j}\right)+3\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} Y, \xi_{k}\right) \tag{5.28}
\end{align*}
$$

From equalities (5.26) and (5.27) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\nabla_{X, e_{a}}^{2}-\nabla_{e_{a}, X}^{2}\right] L\left(I_{i} e_{a}, Y\right)+\left[\nabla_{e_{a}, Y}^{2}-\nabla_{Y, e_{a}}^{2}\right] L\left(I_{i} e_{a}, X\right)}  \tag{5.29}\\
& \quad=4 n\left[\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{i}\right)-\left(\nabla_{Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)\right] \\
& \quad-\left[\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} Y, \xi_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla_{I_{k} Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{k} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{j}\right)\right] \\
& +\left[\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} Y, \xi_{k}\right)+\left(\nabla_{I_{j} Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{k}\right)-\left(\nabla_{Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{k}\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{j} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{k}\right)\right] \quad \bmod \quad \omega_{s} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, the Ricci identities

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[\nabla_{X, e_{a}}^{2}-\nabla_{e_{a}, X}^{2}\right] L\left(I_{i} e_{a}, Y\right)=-R\left(X, e_{a}, Y, e_{b}\right) L\left(e_{b}, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-4 n \zeta_{i}\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, e_{a}\right)}  \tag{5.30}\\
2\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} L\right)(X, Y)-2\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} L\right)\left(I_{k} X, Y\right)+2\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} L\right)\left(I_{j} X, Y\right)
\end{array}
$$

and the first Bianchi identity (3.2) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\nabla_{X, e_{a}}^{2}-\nabla_{e_{a}, X}^{2}\right] L\left(I_{i} e_{a}, Y\right)+\left[\nabla_{e_{a}, Y}^{2}-\nabla_{Y, e_{a}}^{2}\right] L\left(I_{i} e_{a}, X\right)=}  \tag{5.31}\\
& \quad-2\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} L\right)\left(I_{k} X, Y\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} L\right)\left(X, I_{k} Y\right)\right]+2\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} L\right)\left(I_{j} X, Y\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} L\right)\left(X, I_{j} Y\right)\right] \\
& \quad+2 T\left(\xi_{i}, Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(X, e_{a}\right)-2 T\left(\xi_{j}, Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} X, e_{a}\right)+2 T\left(\xi_{k}, Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} X, e_{a}\right) \\
& \quad-2 T\left(\xi_{i}, X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, e_{a}\right)+2 T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} Y, e_{a}\right)-2 T\left(\xi_{k}, X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} Y, e_{a}\right) \\
& \quad-R\left(X, Y, e_{a}, e_{b}\right) L\left(e_{b}, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-4 n\left[\zeta_{i}\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, e_{a}\right)-\zeta_{i}\left(Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(X, e_{a}\right)\right] \bmod \omega_{s} .
\end{align*}
$$

The second equality in (4.13) and a suitable contraction in the second Bianchi identity give the next two equations valid $\bmod \omega_{s}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} L\right)\left(X, I_{k} Y\right) & -\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} L\right)\left(I_{k} X, Y\right)=\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \rho_{k}\right)(X, Y) \\
& =\left(\nabla_{X} \rho_{k}\right)\left(\xi_{j}, Y\right)-\left(\nabla_{Y} \rho_{k}\right)\left(\xi_{j}, X\right)-\rho_{k}\left(T\left(\xi_{j}, X\right), Y\right)+\rho_{k}\left(T\left(\xi_{j}, Y\right), X\right) \\
\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} L\right)\left(X, I_{j} Y\right) & -\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} L\right)\left(I_{j} X, Y\right)=\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \rho_{j}\right)(X, Y)  \tag{5.32}\\
& =\left(\nabla_{X} \rho_{j}\right)\left(\xi_{k}, Y\right)-\left(\nabla_{Y} \rho_{j}\right)\left(\xi_{k}, X\right)-\rho_{j}\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, X\right), Y\right)+\rho_{j}\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, Y\right), X\right)
\end{align*}
$$

A substitution of (4.10), (4.11) in equations (3.7), together with a use of (5.9) and an application of (5.10) give the next

Lemma 5.4. We have the following formulas for the Ricci 2-forms

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{k}\left(\xi_{i}, X\right) & =\mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{i}\right) & \rho_{i}\left(\xi_{k}, X\right)=-\mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{k}\right) \\
\rho_{i}\left(X, \xi_{i}\right) & =-\frac{1}{4 n} d(\operatorname{trL} L)(X)+\mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{i}\right) &
\end{align*}
$$

When we take the covariant derivative of (5.33), substitute the obtained equalities together with (5.31), (5.32), in (5.29) we derive the formula

$$
\begin{align*}
(4 n+2)\left[\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{i}\right)-\right. & \left.\left(\nabla_{Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]+\left[\left(\nabla_{I_{j} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} Y, \xi_{i}\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{j} Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]  \tag{5.34}\\
& +\left[\left(\nabla_{I_{k} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} Y, \xi_{i}\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{k} Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]=F(X, Y) \quad \bmod \quad \omega_{s}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $(0,2)$-tensor $F$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(X, Y)=-R\left(X, Y, e_{a}, e_{b}\right) L\left(e_{b}, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-4 n\left[\zeta_{i}\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, e_{a}\right)-\zeta_{i}\left(Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(X, e_{a}\right)\right]  \tag{5.35}\\
& \quad+2 T\left(\xi_{i}, Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(X, e_{a}\right)-2 T\left(\xi_{j}, Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} X, e_{a}\right)+2 T\left(\xi_{k}, Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} X, e_{a}\right) \\
& \quad-2 T\left(\xi_{i}, X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, e_{a}\right)+2 T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} Y, e_{a}\right)-2 T\left(\xi_{k}, X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} Y, e_{a}\right) \\
& +\rho_{j}\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, X\right), Y\right)-\rho_{j}\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, Y\right), X\right)+\rho_{j}\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, I_{j} X\right), I_{j} Y\right)-\rho_{j}\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, I_{j} Y\right), I_{j} X\right) \\
& \quad \quad-\rho_{k}\left(T\left(\xi_{j}, X\right), Y\right)+\rho_{k}\left(T\left(\xi_{j}, Y\right), X\right)-\rho_{k}\left(T\left(\xi_{j}, I_{k} X\right), I_{k} Y\right)+\rho_{k}\left(T\left(\xi_{j}, I_{k} Y\right), I_{k} X\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Solving for $\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{i}\right)-\left(\nabla_{Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 36) } \left.\quad 16 n(n+1)(2 n+1)\left[\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{i}\right)-\left(\nabla_{Y} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]  \tag{5.36}\\
& =\left(8 n^{2}+8 n+1\right) F(X, Y)+F\left(I_{i} X, I_{i} Y\right)-(2 n+1)\left[F\left(I_{j} X, I_{j} Y\right)+F\left(I_{k} X, I_{k} Y\right)\right] \bmod \quad \omega_{s}
\end{align*}
$$

The condition $W^{q c}=0$ and (4.8) give

$$
\begin{align*}
& -R\left(X, Y, e_{a}, e_{b}\right) L\left(I_{i} e_{a}, e_{b}\right)=4 L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-2 L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{i} Y, e_{a}\right)  \tag{5.37}\\
& \quad+2 L\left(I_{i} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, e_{a}\right)+2 L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} Y, I_{k} e_{a}\right)-2 L\left(I_{k} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, I_{j} e_{a}\right) \\
& \quad-2 L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} Y, I_{j} e_{a}\right)+2 L\left(I_{j} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, I_{k} e_{a}\right)-\operatorname{tr} L\left[L\left(X, I_{i} Y\right)-L\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-4 n\left[\zeta_{i}\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, e_{a}\right)-\zeta_{i}\left(Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(X, e_{a}\right)\right]=-(8 n+3) L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, I_{i} e_{a}\right) \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{3}{2} L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{i} Y, e_{a}\right)-\frac{3}{2} L\left(I_{i} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, e_{a}\right)-\frac{1}{2} L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} Y, I_{k} e_{a}\right)+\frac{1}{2} L\left(I_{k} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, I_{j} e_{a}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} Y, I_{j} e_{a}\right)-\frac{1}{2} L\left(I_{j} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, I_{k} e_{a}\right)+\frac{2 n-1}{2 n} \operatorname{tr} L\left[L\left(X, I_{i} Y\right)-L\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

From (5.37) and (5.38) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -R\left(X, Y, e_{a}, e_{b}\right) L\left(I_{i} e_{a}, e_{b}\right)-4 n\left[\zeta_{i}\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, e_{a}\right)-\zeta_{i}\left(Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(X, e_{a}\right)\right]  \tag{5.39}\\
& =-(8 n-1) L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-\frac{1}{2} L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{i} Y, e_{a}\right)+\frac{1}{2} L\left(I_{i} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, e_{a}\right)+\frac{3}{2} L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} Y, I_{k} e_{a}\right) \\
& -\frac{3}{2} L\left(I_{k} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, I_{j} e_{a}\right)-\frac{3}{2} L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} Y, I_{j} e_{a}\right)+\frac{3}{2} L\left(I_{j} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, I_{k} e_{a}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2 n}(\operatorname{tr} L)\left[L\left(X, I_{i} Y\right)-L\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)\right] \\
& =-(8 n-1) L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-\frac{1}{2 n}(\operatorname{tr} L)\left[L\left(X, I_{i} Y\right)-L\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[L\left(Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{i} X, e_{a}\right)-L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{i} Y, e_{a}\right)\right]-\frac{3}{2}\left[L\left(Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} X, I_{k} e_{a}\right)-L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} Y, I_{k} e_{a}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{3}{2}\left[L\left(Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)-L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} Y, I_{j} e_{a}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\rho_{s}$ is a (1,1)-form with respect to $I_{s}$, see Proposition 2.4, we have

$$
\rho_{j}\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, I_{j} X\right), I_{j} Y\right)=\rho_{j}\left(e_{a}, I_{j} Y\right) T\left(\xi_{k}, I_{j} X, e_{a}\right)=\rho_{j}\left(e_{a}, Y\right) T\left(\xi_{k}, I_{j} X, I_{j} e_{a}\right) .
$$

Thus, using (4.13) we obtain the next sequence of equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{j}\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, X\right), Y\right)+\rho_{j}\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, I_{j} X\right), I_{j} Y\right)-\rho_{k}\left(T\left(\xi_{j}, X\right), Y\right)-\rho_{k}\left(T\left(\xi_{j}, I_{k} X\right), I_{k} Y\right)  \tag{5.40}\\
& =\left[L\left(e_{a}, I_{j} Y\right)-L\left(I_{j} e_{a}, Y\right)-\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{tr} L \omega_{j}\left(e_{a}, Y\right)\right]\left[T\left(\xi_{k}, X, e_{a}\right)+T\left(\xi_{k}, I_{j} X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)\right] \\
& -\left[L\left(e_{a}, I_{k} Y\right)-L\left(I_{k} e_{a}, Y\right)-\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{tr} L \omega_{k}\left(e_{a}, Y\right)\right]\left[T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right)+T\left(\xi_{j}, I_{k} X, I_{k} e_{a}\right)\right] \\
& \rho_{j}\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, X\right), Y\right)+\rho_{j}\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, I_{j} X\right), I_{j} Y\right)-\rho_{k}\left(T\left(\xi_{j}, X\right), Y\right)-\rho_{k}\left(T\left(\xi_{j}, I_{k} X\right), I_{k} Y\right)  \tag{5.41}\\
& -2 T\left(\xi_{i}, X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, e_{a}\right)+2 T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} Y, e_{a}\right)-2 T\left(\xi_{k}, X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} Y, e_{a}\right) \\
& =L\left(e_{a}, Y\right)\left[T\left(\xi_{k}, X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)-T\left(\xi_{k}, I_{j} X, e_{a}\right)-T\left(\xi_{j}, X, I_{k} e_{a}\right)+T\left(\xi_{j}, I_{k} X, e_{a}\right)-2 T\left(\xi_{i}, X, e_{a}\right)\right] \\
& +L\left(e_{a}, I_{j} Y\right)\left[T\left(\xi_{k}, I_{j} X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)-T\left(\xi_{k}, X, e_{a}\right)\right]-L\left(e_{a}, I_{k} Y\right)\left[T\left(\xi_{j}, I_{k} X, I_{k} e_{a}\right)-T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{tr} L\left[-T\left(\xi_{k}, X, I_{j} Y\right)+T\left(\xi_{k}, I_{j} X, Y\right)-T\left(\xi_{j}, I_{k} X, Y\right)+T\left(\xi_{j}, X, I_{k} Y\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

The first line in (5.41) is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{tr} L . L\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)+\frac{1}{2} L\left(Y, e_{a}\right)\left[5 L\left(X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-L\left(I_{i} X, e_{a}\right)+L\left(I_{j} X, I_{k} e_{a}\right)-L\left(I_{k} X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)\right] \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second line in (5.41) is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{tr} L\left[L\left(I_{k} X, I_{j} Y\right)-L\left(I_{j} X, I_{k} Y\right)\right]+ & L\left(X, e_{a}\right)\left[L\left(I_{k} Y, I_{j} e_{a}\right)-L\left(I_{j} Y, I_{k} e_{a}\right)\right]  \tag{5.43}\\
& -L\left(I_{j} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} Y, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-L\left(I_{k} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} Y, I_{i} e_{a}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The third line in (5.41) is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{tr} L\left[L\left(I_{k} X, I_{j} Y\right)-L\left(I_{j} X, I_{k} Y\right)-L\left(X, I_{i} Y\right)+L\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)\right] \tag{5.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

A substitution of (5.42), (5.43) and (5.44) in (5.41) gives

$$
\text { 5) } \begin{align*}
& \rho_{j}\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, X\right), Y\right)+\rho_{j}\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, I_{j} X\right), I_{j} Y\right)-\rho_{k}\left(T\left(\xi_{j}, X\right), Y\right)-\rho_{k}\left(T\left(\xi_{j}, I_{k} X\right), I_{k} Y\right)  \tag{5.45}\\
& \quad-2 T\left(\xi_{i}, X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, e_{a}\right)+2 T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} Y, e_{a}\right)-2 T\left(\xi_{k}, X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} Y, e_{a}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{tr} L \cdot L\left(X, I_{i} Y\right)+\frac{1}{2} L\left(Y, e_{a}\right)\left[5 L\left(X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-L\left(I_{i} X, e_{a}\right)+L\left(I_{j} X, I_{k} e_{a}\right)-L\left(I_{k} X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)\right] \\
+ & L\left(X, e_{a}\right)\left[L\left(I_{k} Y, I_{j} e_{a}\right)-L\left(I_{j} Y, I_{k} e_{a}\right)\right]-L\left(I_{j} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} Y, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-L\left(I_{k} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} Y, I_{i} e_{a}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The last four lines in (5.35) equal the skew symmetric sum of (5.45), which is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
(5.46) & -5 L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left[L\left(Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{i} X, e_{a}\right)-L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{i} Y, e_{a}\right)\right]  \tag{5.46}\\
+\frac{3}{2}[L(Y, & \left.\left.e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} X, I_{k} e_{a}\right)-L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} Y, I_{k} e_{a}\right)\right]-\frac{3}{2}\left[L\left(Y, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)-L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} Y, I_{j} e_{a}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{tr} L\left[L\left(X, I_{i} Y\right)-L\left(I_{i} X, Y\right)\right]-2 L\left(I_{j} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} Y, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-2 L\left(I_{k} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} Y, I_{i} e_{a}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

A substitution of (5.39) and (5.46) in (5.35) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(X, Y)=-4(2 n+1) L\left(X, e_{a}\right) L\left(Y, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-2 L\left(I_{j} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} Y, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-2 L\left(I_{k} X, e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{k} Y, I_{i} e_{a}\right) \tag{5.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (5.47) in (5.36) completes the proof of (5.23).
5.3. Case 3, $\xi \in V, X, Y \in H$. Integrability condition(5.49).

In this case (5.6) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} d u\left(\xi_{i}, X, Y\right)-\nabla^{2} d u\left(X, \xi_{i}, Y\right)+\nabla d u\left(T\left(\xi_{i}, X\right), Y\right)=-R\left(\xi_{i}, X, Y, d u\right) \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identities below can be used to see that the integrability condition (5.48) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{\xi_{t}} L\right)(X, Y)+\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{t}\right)+L\left(Y, I_{t} L(X)\right)+L\left(T\left(\xi_{t}, X\right), Y\right)+g\left(T\left(\xi_{t}, Y\right), L(X)\right)  \tag{5.49}\\
& \quad=\sum_{s=1}^{3} \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{s}, \xi_{t}\right) \omega_{s}(X, Y), \quad t=1,2,3
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that (5.23) is the skew-symmetric part of (5.49).
We turn to the proof of the fact the vanishing of $W^{q c}$ implies the validity of (5.49). When we take a covariant derivative along a Reeb vector field of (5.1) and a covariant derivative along a horizontal direction of (5.2), use (5.2), (5.1), (5.3), (5.4), (5.5), (5.8), we see that the left hand-side of (5.48) equals

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
\nabla^{2} d u\left(\xi_{1}, X, Y\right)-\nabla^{2} d u\left(X, \xi_{i}, Y\right)+\nabla d u\left(T\left(\xi_{i}, X\right), Y\right) \\
=d u\left(I_{i} Y\right)\left[\mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{4 n} d(t r L)(X)\right]+d u\left(I_{j} Y\right)\left[\mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{i}\right)+\mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{k}\right)\right] \\
\quad+d u\left(I_{k} Y\right)\left[\mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{i}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)\right]+g(X, Y) \mathbb{B}\left(d u, \xi_{i}\right) \\
\quad-\omega_{i}(X, Y) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} d u, \xi_{i}\right)-\omega_{j}(X, Y) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} d u, \xi_{i}\right)-\omega_{k}(X, Y) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} d u, \xi_{i}\right) \\
-d u(X) \mathbb{B}\left(Y, \xi_{i}\right)+d u\left(I_{i} X\right) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} Y, \xi_{i}\right)+d u\left(I_{j} X\right) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} Y, \xi_{i}\right)+d u\left(I_{k} X\right) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} Y, \xi_{i}\right) \\
\left.+\frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(Y, I_{i} d u\right)-\frac{1}{4} \nabla_{X} L\right)\left(I_{i} Y, d u\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(I_{k} Y, I_{j} d u\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(I_{j} Y, I_{k} d u\right) \\
-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} L\right)(X, Y)
\end{array} \quad-\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(Y, \xi_{i}\right)+L\left(X, I_{i} L Y\right)-T\left(\xi_{i}, X, L Y\right)-T\left(\xi_{i}, Y, L X\right)  \tag{5.50}\\
& \quad+\omega_{i}(X, Y) \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)+\omega_{j}(X, Y) \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)+\omega_{k}(X, Y) \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, a substitution of (4.10) and (4.11) in (3.5), and an application of (5.33) together with the already proven (5.9) and (5.23), shows after a long standard calculations the following equality

$$
\begin{align*}
& R\left(\xi_{i}, X, Y, Z\right)=\mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} Z, \xi_{i}\right) \omega_{j}(X, Y)+\mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} Z, \xi_{i}\right) \omega_{k}(X, Y)  \tag{5.51}\\
& \quad-\omega_{i}(Y, Z)\left[\mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{4 n} d(\operatorname{tr} L)(X)\right]-\omega_{j}(Y, Z)\left[\mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{k}\right)+\mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\omega_{k}(Y, Z)\left[\mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]+g(X, Z) \mathbb{B}\left(Y, \xi_{i}\right)-\omega_{i}(X, Z) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} Y, \xi_{i}\right) \\
& -\omega_{j}(X, Z) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} Y, \xi_{i}\right)-\omega_{k}(X, Z) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} Y, \xi_{i}\right)-g(X, Y) \mathbb{B}\left(Z, \xi_{i}\right)+\mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} Z, \xi_{i}\right) \omega_{i}(X, Y) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(I_{i} Y, Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(Y, I_{i} Z\right)+\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(I_{k} Y, I_{j} Z\right)-\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(I_{j} Y, I_{k} Z\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

In the derivation of the above equation we used the next formulas coming from (5.10)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\nabla_{e_{a},} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, X\right)=(4 n+1) \mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{j}\right)+\mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{k}\right)  \tag{5.52}\\
\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} L\right)\left(e_{a}, X\right)=-3 \mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{i}\right)-3 \mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{j}\right)-3 \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{k}\right)+d(\operatorname{tr} L)(X) \tag{5.53}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting equations (5.51), with $Z=d u$, and (5.50) in (5.48), we obtain (5.49).
In the proof of the integrability condition we shall use the following
Lemma 5.5. For the vertical part of the Ricci 2-forms we have the equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{i}\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{k}\right) & =\frac{1}{8 n^{2}}(\operatorname{tr} L)^{2}-\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{j}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{k}\right)  \tag{5.54}\\
\rho_{i}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right) & =\frac{1}{4 n} d(\operatorname{tr} L)\left(\xi_{j}\right)+\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{k}\right), \quad \rho_{i}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{k}\right) \quad=\frac{1}{4 n} d(\operatorname{tr} L)\left(\xi_{k}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From the formula for the curvature (3.6) and Proposition 2.2 it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 n \rho_{i}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{k}\right)=\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \rho_{j}\right)\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)+T\left(\xi_{i}, e_{a}, e_{b}\right) T\left(\xi_{k}, e_{b}, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-T\left(\xi_{i}, e_{b}, I_{i} e_{a}\right) T\left(\xi_{k}, e_{a}, e_{b}\right) \\
& 4 n \rho_{j}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{k}\right)=-\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \rho_{j}\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)+T\left(\xi_{i}, e_{a}, e_{b}\right) T\left(\xi_{k}, e_{b}, I_{j} e_{a}\right)-T\left(\xi_{i}, e_{a}, I_{j} e_{b}\right) T\left(\xi_{k}, e_{b}, e_{a}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5.4 allows us to compute the divergences

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla e_{a} \rho_{i}\right)\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) & =-\left(\nabla_{a_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)-\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) \\
\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \rho_{i}\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) & =-\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

After a calculation in which we use the integrability condition (5.23), the preceding paragraphs imply the first equation of (5.54). For the calculation of $\rho_{i}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{k}\right)$ we use again (5.23) to obtain

$$
\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)=-L\left(I_{i} e_{a}, I_{k} e_{b}\right) L\left(e_{a}, e_{b}\right)+4 n \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{k}\right)
$$

Setting $s=i, Y=I_{i} X$ in (5.57), using (4.13), (4.4) with respect to the function $\operatorname{tr} L$, together with Lemma 5.4 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} L(X, X)+\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]+\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} L\left(I_{i} X, I_{i} X\right)+\left(\nabla_{I_{i} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]=\right.\right.}  \tag{5.55}\\
& \\
& -\rho_{i}\left(e_{a}, X\right)\left[T\left(\xi_{i}, I_{i} X, e_{a}\right)-T\left(\xi_{i}, X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Take the trace in (5.55) and use the properties of the torsion listed in Proposition 2.2 to conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left[\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(e_{b}, \xi_{i}\right)+d(\operatorname{tr} L)\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right]=2 \rho_{i}\left(e_{a}, e_{b}\right) U\left(e_{a}, e_{b}\right)=0 \tag{5.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies the formula for $\rho_{i}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{k}\right)$ after a short computation.
Finally, with the help of $\rho_{i}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{k}\right)+\rho_{j}\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{16 n(n+2)} \xi_{k}(S c a l)=\frac{1}{2 n} \xi_{k}(\operatorname{tr} L)$, cf. [IMV1, Proposition 4.4], we also obtain the formula for $\rho_{i}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)$.

Proposition 5.6. If $W^{q c}=0$ then the condition (5.49) holds.
Proof. It is sufficient to consider only the symmetric part of (5.49) since its skew-symmetric part is the already established (5.23).

Letting $A=\xi_{i}, B=X, C=Y, D=e_{a}, E=I_{s} e_{a}$ in the second Bianchi identity (3.14) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \rho_{s}\right)(X, Y)-\left(\nabla_{X} \rho_{s}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, Y\right)+\left(\nabla_{Y} \rho_{s}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, X\right)  \tag{5.57}\\
& \quad+\rho_{s}\left(T\left(\xi_{i}, X\right), Y\right)-\rho_{s}\left(T\left(\xi_{i}, Y\right), X\right)+2 \sum_{t=1}^{3} \omega_{t}(X, Y) \rho_{s}\left(\xi_{t}, \xi_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $s=j, Y=I_{j} X$ in (5.57), using (4.13), Lemma 5.4, Lemma 5.5 and (5.23), we calculate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 5.58) }\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} L(X, X)+\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]+\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} L\left(I_{j} X, I_{j} X\right)+\left(\nabla_{I_{j} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]=\right.\right.  \tag{5.58}\\
& \rho_{j}\left(e_{a}, X\right)\left[T\left(\xi_{i}, X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)-T\left(\xi_{i}, I_{j} X, e_{a}\right)\right]+\left[\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{k}\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{j} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{k}\right)\right]-2|X|^{2} \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{k}\right) \\
& =2 L\left(X, I_{k} e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} X, e_{a}\right)+\rho_{j}\left(e_{a}, X\right)\left[T\left(\xi_{i}, X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)-T\left(\xi_{i}, I_{j} X, e_{a}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, when we take $s=k, Y=I_{k} X$ in (5.57), use (4.13), Lemma 5.4, Lemma 5.5 and (5.23) it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (5.59) } \quad\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} L(X, X)+\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]+\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} L\left(I_{k} X, I_{k} X\right)+\left(\nabla_{I_{k} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]=\right.\right.  \tag{5.59}\\
& \rho_{k}\left(e_{a}, X\right)\left[T\left(\xi_{i}, X, I_{k} e_{a}\right)-\right. \\
& \left.T\left(\xi_{i}, I_{k} X, e_{a}\right)\right]-\left[\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{I_{k} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)\right]+2|X|^{2} \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{k}\right) \\
& =2 L\left(I_{k} X, I_{j} e_{a}\right) L\left(X, e_{a}\right)+\rho_{k}\left(e_{a}, X\right)\left[T\left(\xi_{i}, X, I_{k} e_{a}\right)-T\left(\xi_{i}, I_{k} X, e_{a}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, replace $X$ with $I_{i} X$ in (5.59), subtract the obtained equality from (5.58) and add the result to (5.55) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} L(X, X)+\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]=2 L\left(X, I_{k} e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{j} X, e_{a}\right)-2 L\left(I_{j} X, I_{j} e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{i} X, e_{a}\right)\right.  \tag{5.60}\\
&-\rho_{i}\left(e_{a}, X\right)\left[T\left(\xi_{i}, I_{i} X, e_{a}\right)-T\left(\xi_{i}, X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)\right]+\rho_{j}\left(e_{a}, X\right)\left[T\left(\xi_{i}, X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)-T\left(\xi_{i}, I_{j} X, e_{a}\right)\right] \\
&+\rho_{k}\left(e_{a}, I_{i} X\right)\left[T\left(\xi_{i}, I_{j} X, e_{a}\right)-T\left(\xi_{i}, I_{i} X, I_{k} e_{a}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Now, using (4.12) and the second equality in (4.13) applied to (5.60) concludes, after some standard calculations, the proof of (5.49).
5.4. Cases 4 and $5, \xi_{i}, \xi_{j} \in V, Y \in H$. Integrability conditions (5.66), (5.64) and (5.61).

Case 4, $\xi_{i}, \xi_{j} \in V, \quad Y \in H$. In this case (5.6) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} d u\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}, Y\right)-\nabla^{2} d u\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{i}, Y\right)=-R\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}, Y, d u\right)-\nabla d u\left(T\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right), Y\right) \tag{5.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Working as in the previous case, using (5.3),(5.4), (5.5), substituting (4.10), (4.11) (4.13) into (3.6), one gets, after long standard calculations applying the already proven (5.9), (5.23) and (5.49), that (5.61) is equivalent to
$\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)=L\left(X, I_{j} e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)-L\left(X, I_{i} e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)$ $-L\left(e_{a}, X\right) \rho_{k}\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)-T\left(\xi_{i}, X, e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)+T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{n}(\operatorname{tr} L) \mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{k}\right)$
$=\left[2 L\left(X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)+T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right)\right] \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)-\left[2 L\left(X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)+T\left(\xi_{i}, X, e_{a}\right)\right] \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{n}(\operatorname{tr} L) \mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{k}\right)$.
where we used Lemma 5.4 to derive the second equality.

Case $5_{a}, X \in H, \quad \xi_{i}, \xi_{j} \in V$. In this case (5.6) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} d u\left(X, \xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)-\nabla^{2} d u\left(\xi_{i}, X, \xi_{j}\right)=-R\left(X, \xi_{i}, \xi_{j}, d u\right)+\nabla d u\left(T\left(\xi_{i}, X\right), \xi_{j}\right)=  \tag{5.63}\\
& 2 d u\left(\xi_{i}\right) \rho_{k}\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)-2 d u\left(\xi_{k}\right) \rho_{i}\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)+T\left(\xi_{i}, X, e_{a}\right) \nabla d u\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

With a similar calculations as in the previous cases, we see that (5.63) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)  \tag{5.64}\\
&-2 L\left(X, I_{j} e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+T\left(\xi_{i}, X, e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{2 n} \operatorname{tr} L \mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{k}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Case $5_{b}, X \in H, \quad \xi_{j}, \xi_{j} \in V$. In this case (5.6) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} d u\left(X, \xi_{j}, \xi_{j}\right)-\nabla^{2} d u\left(\xi_{j}, X, \xi_{j}\right)=-R\left(X, \xi_{j}, \xi_{j}, d u\right)+\nabla d u\left(T\left(\xi_{j}, X\right), \xi_{j}\right)=  \tag{5.65}\\
& 2 d u\left(\xi_{i}\right) \rho_{k}\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)-2 d u\left(\xi_{k}\right) \rho_{i}\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)+T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right) \nabla d u\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and (5.65) is equivalent to
(5.66) $\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla_{X} B\right)\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{j}\right)-2 \mathbb{B}\left(\mathbf{e}_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) L\left(X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)+T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)=0$.

Proposition 5.7. If $W^{q c}=0$ then the conditions 5.66, 5.64 and 5.61 hold.
Proof. Differentiating the already proven (5.23) and taking the corresponding traces we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\nabla_{X, e_{a}}^{2} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{t}\right)+2\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(e_{a}, e_{b}\right) L\left(I_{i} e_{a}, I_{t} e_{b}\right)=4 n\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{t}\right)  \tag{5.67}\\
\left(\nabla_{e_{a}, X}^{2} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{t}\right)-\left(\nabla_{e_{a}, I_{i} e_{a}}^{2} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{t}\right)-2\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_{b}} L\right)\left(X, I_{t} e_{a}\right) L\left(I_{i} e_{b}, e_{a}\right)  \tag{5.68}\\
-2\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_{b}} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{b}, e_{a}\right) L\left(X, I_{t} e_{a}\right)=2\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{t}\right)-2\left(\nabla_{I_{k} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{t}\right)+2\left(\nabla_{I_{j} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{t}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Subtracting (5.68) from (5.67) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\nabla_{X, e_{a}}^{2}-\nabla_{e_{a}, X}^{2}\right] \mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{t}\right)+\left(\nabla_{e_{a}, I_{i} e_{a}}^{2} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{t}\right)+2\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_{b}} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{b}, e_{a}\right) L\left(X, I_{t} e_{a}\right)}  \tag{5.69}\\
& +2\left[\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(e_{a}, e_{b}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_{b}} L\right)\left(X, e_{a}\right)\right] L\left(I_{i} e_{b}, I_{t} e_{a}\right) \\
& \quad=2(2 n-1)\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{t}\right)+2\left(\nabla_{I_{k} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{t}\right)-2\left(\nabla_{I_{j} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{t}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

A use of the Ricci identities and (2.6) shows

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\nabla_{X, e_{a}}^{2}-\nabla_{e_{a}, X}^{2}\right] \mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)}  \tag{5.70}\\
& \begin{aligned}
&=-R\left(X, e_{a}, I_{i} e_{a}, e_{b}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{b}, \xi_{i}\right)-R\left(X, e_{a}, \xi_{i}, \xi_{s}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{s}\right)-2 \omega_{s}\left(X, e_{a}\right)\left(\nabla_{\xi_{s}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) \\
& \quad=-4 n \zeta_{i}\left(X,, e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)-2 \rho_{k}\left(X, e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)+2 \rho_{j}\left(X, e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right) \\
&+2\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)-2\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{i}\right)+2\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{e_{a}, I_{i} e_{a}}^{2} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)=  \tag{5.71}\\
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
-\frac{1}{2}\left[R\left(e_{a}, I_{i} e_{a}, X, e_{b}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{b}, \xi_{i}\right)+R\left(e_{a}, I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{i}, \xi_{s}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(X, \xi_{s}\right)+8 n\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)\right] \\
\\
\left.=-2 n \tau_{i}\left(X, e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\right)\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)-4 n\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we apply the already established (5.9) and use the condition $L\left(e_{a}, I_{s} e_{a}\right)=0$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\left(\nabla_{X} L\right)\left(e_{a}, e_{b}\right)\right.} & \left.-\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_{b}} L\right)\left(X, e_{a}\right)\right] L\left(I_{i} e_{b}, I_{i} e_{a}\right)  \tag{5.72}\\
& =-3 \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) L\left(X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)+3 \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) L\left(I_{k} X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-3 \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right) L\left(I_{j} X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_{b}} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{b}, e_{a}\right) L\left(X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)  \tag{5.73}\\
& \quad=(4 n+1) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) L\left(X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) L\left(X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right) L\left(X, I_{k} e_{a}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

When we substitute $(5.73),(5.72),(5.71),(5.70)$ in (5.69) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
(1-2 n)\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)+\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)\right] & -\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{i}\right)+\left(\nabla_{I_{k} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{i}\right)\right]  \tag{5.74}\\
+ & {\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{i}\right)+\left(\nabla_{I_{j} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{i}\right)\right]=D_{123}(X) }
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{i j k}(X)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{i j k}(X)=\left[2 n \zeta_{i}\left(X, e_{a}\right)+n \tau_{i}\left(X, e_{a}\right)-(4 n-2) L\left(X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)\right] \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)  \tag{5.75}\\
&-\left[\rho_{k}\left(X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)+3 L\left(I_{k} X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)-L\left(X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)\right] \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) \\
&+\left[\rho_{j}\left(X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)+3 L\left(I_{j} X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)+L\left(X, I_{k} e_{a}\right)\right] \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We also need the next Lemma, showing the symmetry of the vertical tensors $\mathbb{B}$.
Lemma 5.8. The quantities $\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)$ are symmetric,

$$
\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{s}, \xi_{t}\right)=\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{t}, \xi_{s}\right), \quad s, t=1,2,3
$$

Proof. From (5.24) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{4 n}\left[\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)\right] \tag{5.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, (5.10) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2(2 n+1)(4 n-1)\left[\mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{j}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]  \tag{5.77}\\
& \quad=(4 n+1)\left[\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{e_{a}}, I_{j} X\right)-\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} L\right)\left(I_{j} e_{e_{a}}, I_{i} X\right)\right]+2\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} L\right)\left(I_{k} e_{a}, X\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute (5.77) into (5.76) to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 n(2 n+1)(4 n-1)\left[\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{i}\right)\right]  \tag{5.78}\\
& \quad=(4 n+1)\left[\left(\nabla_{e_{b}, e_{a}}^{2} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{e_{a}}, I_{j} e_{b}\right)-\left(\nabla_{e_{b}, e_{a}}^{2} L\right)\left(I_{j} e_{e_{a}}, I_{i} e_{b}\right)\right]+2\left(\nabla_{e_{b}, e_{a}}^{2} L\right)\left(I_{k} e_{a}, e_{b}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We calculate using (5.9) and (5.76) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{e_{b}, e_{a}}^{2} L\right)\left(I_{k} e_{a}, e_{b}\right)=(4 n+1)\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right) & \left(e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)+\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)  \tag{5.79}\\
& =(4 n+1)\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)+4 n\left[\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{i}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The Ricci identities, the symmetry of $L$ and (4.13) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(\nabla_{e_{b}, e_{a}}^{2} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{e_{a}}, I_{j} e_{b}\right)-\left(\nabla_{e_{b}, e_{a}}^{2} L\right)\left(I_{j} e_{e_{a}}, I_{i} e_{b}\right)\right]}  \tag{5.80}\\
& \quad=\zeta_{j}\left(e_{b}, e_{a}\right) L\left(e_{a}, I_{i} e_{b}\right)-\zeta_{i}\left(e_{b}, e_{a}\right) L\left(e_{a}, I_{j} e_{b}\right)+2 \omega_{s}\left(e_{b}, e_{a}\right)\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} L\right)\left(I_{j} e_{a}, I_{i} e_{b}\right)=2 \nabla_{\xi_{k}} t r L
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute (5.80) and (5.79) in (5.78) and apply (5.56) to conclude

$$
8 n\left(4 n^{2}+n-1\right)\left[\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{i}\right)\right]=(4 n+1)\left[\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \operatorname{tr} L+\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)\right]=0
$$

The second Bianchi identity (3.14) taken with respect to $A=\xi_{i}, B=\xi_{j}, C=X, D=e_{a}, E=I_{s} e_{a}$ and the formulas described in Theorem 2.4 yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \rho_{s}\right)\left(\xi_{j}, X\right) & -\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \rho_{s}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, X\right)+\left(\nabla_{X} \rho_{s}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)  \tag{5.81}\\
& =\rho_{s}\left(T\left(\xi_{i}, X\right), \xi_{j}\right)-\rho_{s}\left(T\left(\xi_{j}, X\right), \xi_{i}\right)+\rho_{s}\left(e_{a}, X\right) \rho_{k}\left(I_{i} e_{a} \cdot \xi_{i}\right)+\frac{\operatorname{tr} L}{n} \rho_{s}\left(\xi_{k}, X\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Setting successively $s=1,2,3$ in (5.81), using (5.8) with respect to the function $t r L$ and applying Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5, we obtain after some calculations

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]-} & {\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{k}\right)+\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{k}\right)\right]=\alpha_{i j k}(X) } \\
{\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]-} & {\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{k}\right)+\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{k}\right)\right]=\beta_{i j k}(X) } \\
{\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right] } & +\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)+\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)\right]  \tag{5.82}\\
& +\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{j}\right)\right]=\gamma_{i j k}(X),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{i j k}(X)=\rho_{i}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right) & -\rho_{i}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) T\left(\xi_{i}, X, e_{a}\right)-\rho_{i}\left(e_{a}, X\right) \rho_{k}\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4 n} d(\operatorname{tr} L)\left(e_{a}\right) T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right)-\frac{\operatorname{tr} L}{n} \rho_{i}\left(\xi_{k}, X\right) \\
\beta_{i j k}(X)=\rho_{j}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right) & -\rho_{j}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) T\left(\xi_{i}, X, e_{a}\right)-\rho_{j}\left(e_{a}, X\right) \rho_{k}\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{4 n} d(\operatorname{tr} L)\left(e_{a}\right) T\left(\xi_{i}, X, e_{a}\right)-\frac{\operatorname{tr} L}{n} \rho_{j}\left(\xi_{k}, X\right)  \tag{5.83}\\
\gamma_{i j k}(X)=\rho_{k}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) T\left(\xi_{j}, X, e_{a}\right) & -\rho_{k}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) T\left(\xi_{i}, X, e_{a}\right)-\rho_{k}\left(e_{a}, X\right) \rho_{k}\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4 n^{2}}(\operatorname{tr} L) d(\operatorname{tr} L)(X)-\frac{\operatorname{tr} L}{n} \rho_{k}\left(\xi_{k}, X\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now we can solve the system consisting of (5.75) and (5.82). Indeed, (5.75) and Lemma 5.8 imply

$$
\begin{align*}
&(1-2 n)\left[\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{i}\right)+\right.\right.\left.\left.\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)\right]+\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(X, \xi_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla_{X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{j}\right)\right]\right]  \tag{5.84}\\
& {\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]+\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{i}\right)+\left(\nabla_{I_{j} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{i}\right)\right] } \\
&-\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla_{I_{i} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{j}\right)\right]=D_{123}(X)+D_{231}(X)
\end{align*}
$$

The last identity in (5.82) and (5.84) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
2 n & {\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]+\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{i}\right)+\left(\nabla_{I_{j} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{i}\right)\right] }  \tag{5.85}\\
& -\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla_{I_{i} X} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{j}\right)\right]=D_{i j k}(X)+D_{231}(X)+(2 n-1) \gamma_{i j k}(X)
\end{align*}
$$

The first two equalities in (5.82) together with (5.85) lead to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2(n+1)\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]+\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{i}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{k}\right)\right]+  \tag{5.86}\\
{\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{k}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{j}\right)\right]=A_{i j k}(X)}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i j k}(X)=D_{i j k}(X)+D_{j k i}(X)+(2 n-1) \gamma_{i j k}(X)+\alpha_{i j k}\left(I_{j} X\right)-\beta_{i j k}\left(I_{i} X\right) \tag{5.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we derive easily that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2(n+2)(2 n+1)\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{i}\right)\right]  \tag{5.88}\\
& \quad=(2 n+3) A_{i j k}(X)-A_{j k i}(X)-A_{k i j}(X)
\end{align*}
$$

The second equality in (4.13) together with (4.12) and Lemma 5.4 applied to (5.83) and (5.75), after standard calculations, give

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{i j k}(X) & =\frac{1}{2 n}(\operatorname{tr} L) B\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{k}\right)  \tag{5.90}\\
& -\frac{5}{2} L\left(X, e_{a}\right)\left[B\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+B\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)\right]-\frac{3}{2} L\left(I_{k} X, e_{a}\right)\left[B\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)-B\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)\right] \\
D_{i j k}(X)+ & D_{j k i}(X)+(2 n-1) \gamma_{i j k}(X)=\frac{2 n+1}{4} L\left(X, e_{a}\right)\left[B\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+B\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)\right]  \tag{5.91}\\
+\frac{1}{4} L\left(I_{i} X, e_{a}\right) & {\left[-(2 n+1) B\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+(2 n+3) B\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)+8 B\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)\right] } \\
+\frac{1}{4} L\left(I_{j} X, e_{a}\right) & {\left[-(2 n+3) B\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)-(2 n+1) B\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)-8 B\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)\right] } \\
& +\frac{1-10 n}{4} L\left(I_{k} X, e_{a}\right)\left[B\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)-B\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{4 n}\left(\operatorname{trL} L\left[(1-2 n) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{i}\right)+(1-2 n) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{j}\right)+8 n \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{k}\right)\right]\right.
\end{align*}
$$

A substitution of $(5.89),(5.90)$ and (5.91) in (5.87) shows

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i j k}(X)=\frac{1}{4 n}(\operatorname{tr} L)\left[(1-2 n) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} X, \xi_{i}\right)+(1-2 n) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} X, \xi_{j}\right)+(8 n+6) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{k}\right)\right]  \tag{5.92}\\
& \quad+\frac{1}{4} L\left(X, e_{a}\right)\left[(2 n+3) B\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+(2 n+3) B\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)+2 B\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)\right] \\
& + \\
& \frac{1}{4} L\left(I_{i} X, e_{a}\right)\left[-(2 n+3) B\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+(2 n-3) B\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)+4 B\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)\right] \\
& + \\
& \quad \frac{1}{4} L\left(I_{j} X, e_{a}\right)\left[-(2 n-3) B\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)-(2 n+3) B\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)-4 B\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{4} L\left(I_{k} X, e_{a}\right)\left[-(10 n+9) B\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+(10 n+9) B\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)-2 B\left(e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging (5.92) in (5.88) and using (4.12) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{n}(\operatorname{tr} L) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} X, \xi_{k}\right)  \tag{5.93}\\
& \quad+\left[2 L\left(I_{k} X, I_{j} e_{a}\right)+T\left(\xi_{j}, I_{k} X, e_{a}\right)\right] \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)-\left[2 L\left(I_{k} X, I_{i} e_{a}\right)+T\left(\xi_{i}, I_{k} X, e_{a}\right)\right] \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, (5.62) follows. Substituting (5.62) in the first equality of (5.82) we obtain (5.64). Inserting (5.64) in (5.74) we see (5.65).
5.5. Case 6, $\xi_{k}, \xi_{i}, \xi_{j} \in V$. Integrability conditions (5.95) and (5.97).

Case $6_{a}, \xi_{k}, \xi_{i}, \xi_{j} \in V$. In this case the Ricci identity (5.6) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} d u\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)-\nabla^{2} d u\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{k}, \xi_{j}\right)=-R\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{i}, \xi_{j}, d u\right)-\nabla d u\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{i}\right), \xi_{j}\right)  \tag{5.94}\\
& \quad=2 d u\left(\xi_{i}\right) \rho_{k}\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{i}\right)-2 d u\left(\xi_{k}\right) \rho_{i}\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{i}\right)+\rho_{j}\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right) \nabla d u\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} L \nabla d u\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

After some calculations we see that (5.94) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{k}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{i}\right)+\mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right) \\
& -\rho_{i}\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+\rho_{k}\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)-\rho_{j}\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2 n}\left[\operatorname{tr} L \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)-\operatorname{tr} L \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{k}\right)-2 \operatorname{tr} L \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{j}\right)\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 5.4 and the above equation shows that the integrability condition in this case is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \mathbb{B}\right) & \left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2 n}(\operatorname{tr} L)\left[\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)-2 \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{j}\right)+\mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{k}\right)\right]  \tag{5.95}\\
& +2 \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)+\mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)+\mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Case $6_{b}, \xi_{k}, \xi_{j}, \xi_{j} \in V$. Here, equation (5.6) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} d u\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{j}, \xi_{j}\right)-\nabla^{2} d u\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{k}, \xi_{j}\right)=-R\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{j}, \xi_{j}, d u\right)-\nabla d u\left(T\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{j}\right), \xi_{j}\right)  \tag{5.96}\\
& \quad=2 d u\left(\xi_{i}\right) \rho_{k}\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{j}\right)-2 d u\left(\xi_{k}\right) \rho_{i}\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{j}\right)-\rho_{i}\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right) \nabla d u\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)-\frac{1}{n}(\operatorname{tr} L) \nabla d u\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

A small calculation shows that (5.96) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{\xi_{j}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{j}\right)- & \left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{j}\right)  \tag{5.97}\\
& =-B\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)+3 \mathbb{B}\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)+\frac{3}{2 n}(\operatorname{tr} L) \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 5.9. If $W^{q c}=0$ then the conditions 5.95, 5.97 hold.
Proof. Differentiate (5.64) and take the corresponding trace to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{e_{a}, \xi_{i}}^{2} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla_{e_{a}, I_{k} e_{a}}^{2} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)=  \tag{5.98}\\
& 2\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} L\right)\left(I_{k} e_{b}, I_{j} e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+2 L\left(I_{k} e_{b}, I_{j} e_{a}\right)\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) \\
& -\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} T\right)\left(\xi_{i}, I_{k} e_{b}, e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)-T\left(\xi_{i}, I_{k} e_{b}, e_{a}\right)\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2 n} d(\operatorname{tr} L)\left(e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)+\frac{1}{2 n}(\operatorname{tr} L)\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, the Ricci identities, (5.24), (2.6) and (4.13) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{e_{a}, I_{k} e_{a}}^{2} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)=-4 n\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)+4(\operatorname{tr} L) \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{j}\right)-4(\operatorname{tr} L) \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)  \tag{5.99}\\
& \left(\nabla_{e_{a}, \xi_{i}}^{2} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)=\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}, e_{a}}^{2} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)+4 n \zeta_{k}\left(\xi_{i}, e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)  \tag{5.100}\\
& -2 \rho_{i}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)+2 \rho_{k}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+T\left(\xi_{i}, e_{a}, e_{b}\right)\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right) \\
& =4 n\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{k}\right)-2\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} L\right)\left(e_{a}, e_{b}\right)\left(L\left(I_{j} e_{a}, I_{k} e_{b}\right)+4 n \zeta_{k}\left(\xi_{i}, e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)\right. \\
& \quad-2 \rho_{i}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)+2 \rho_{k}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+T\left(\xi_{i}, e_{a}, e_{b}\right)\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (5.99) and (5.100) in (5.98) we come to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 1) } \begin{array}{l}
4 n\left[\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{k}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)\right] \\
=2\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} L\right)\left(I_{k} e_{b}, I_{j} e_{a}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+2\left[\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} L\right)\left(e_{b}, e_{a}\right)\right] L\left(I_{k} e_{b}, I_{j} e_{a}\right) \\
-\mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)\left[4 n \zeta_{k}\left(\xi_{i}, e_{a}\right)+\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} T\right)\left(\xi_{i}, I_{k} e_{b}, e_{a}\right)\right]+\left[2 \rho_{i}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2 n} d(\operatorname{tr} L)\left(e_{a}\right)\right] \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right) \\
\quad-2 \rho_{k}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+T\left(\xi_{i}, I_{k} e_{a}, e_{b}\right)\left[\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)-\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(e_{b}, \xi_{j}\right)\right] \\
\\
\quad+\frac{1}{2 n}(\operatorname{tr} L)\left(\nabla_{e_{a}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)-4(\operatorname{tr} L) \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{j}\right)+4(\operatorname{tr} L) \mathbb{B}\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)
\end{array} \tag{5.101}
\end{align*}
$$

With the help of (5.51), the symmetry of $L$, and the divergence formulas (5.52) and (5.53) we find

$$
\begin{align*}
4 n \zeta_{k}\left(\xi_{i}, e_{a}\right)=(4 n+1) \mathbb{B}\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)-\mathbb{B}\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)+\mathbb{B}\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right)+\frac{1}{4 n} d(\operatorname{tr} L)\left(I_{j} e_{a}\right)  \tag{5.102}\\
\quad=-\frac{1}{4}\left[\nabla L\left(e_{b}, I_{i} e_{a}, I_{k} e_{b}\right)+\nabla L\left(e_{b}, e_{a}, I_{j} e_{b}\right)+\nabla L\left(e_{b}, I_{k} e_{a}, I_{i} e_{b}\right)+\nabla L\left(e_{b}, I_{j} e_{a}, e_{b}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (4.12) that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} T\right)\left(\xi_{i}, I_{k} e_{b}, e_{a}\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} L\right)\left(I_{j} e_{b}, e_{a}\right)-\frac{3}{4}\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} L\right)\left(I_{k} e_{b}, I_{i} e_{a}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} L\right)\left(e_{b}, I_{j} e_{a}\right)  \tag{5.103}\\
&+\frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} L\right)\left(I_{i} e_{b}, I_{k} e_{a}\right)-\frac{1}{4 n} d(t r L)\left(I_{j} e_{a}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Adding the last two equations we see

$$
4 n \zeta_{k}\left(\xi_{i}, e_{a}\right)+\left(\nabla_{e_{b}} T\right)\left(\xi_{i}, I_{k} e_{b}, e_{a}\right)=4 n B\left(I_{k} e_{a} \xi_{i}\right)-4 n B\left(I_{i} e_{a} \xi_{k}\right)
$$

Using in (5.101) the above identity, Lemma 5.4, (5.49), (5.23), together with $L\left(\mathbf{e}_{b}, I_{s} e_{b}\right)=0$, a long calculation gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
4 n\left(\nabla_{\xi_{i}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{j}\right)-4 n\left(\nabla_{\xi_{k}} \mathbb{B}\right)\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)=-4(\operatorname{tr} L) B\left(\xi_{j}, \xi_{j}\right)+2(\operatorname{tr} L) B\left(\xi_{i}, \xi_{i}\right)+2(\operatorname{tr} L) B\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{k}\right) \\
8 n B\left(I_{j} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right) B\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right)+4 n B\left(e_{a}, \xi_{i}\right) B\left(I_{k} e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)+4 n B\left(I_{i} e_{a}, \xi_{k}\right) B\left(e_{a}, \xi_{j}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Hence (5.95) is proven.
The other integrability condition in this case, (5.97), can be obtained similarly using (5.66) and the Ricci identities.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

## 6. A Ferrand-Obata type theorem

The group of conformal quaternionic contact automorphisms is a Lie group, which follows for example from the equivalence of a qc structure with a regular normal parabolic geometry. We thank A. Cap for explaining this point to us.

A standard application of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 (following [W1, W2]) gives a proof of Ferrand-Obata type theorem concerning the quaternionic sphere established in a more general situation for a parabolic structure admitting regular Cartan connection in [F].

Recall that the unit sphere $S$ in quaternionic space has a natural qc structure, namely, the standard 3-Sasakian structure on the sphere, cf. Section 2.2.

Theorem 6.1. Let $(M, \eta)$ be a compact quaternionic contact manifold and $G$ a connected Lie group of conformal quaternionic contact automorphisms of $M$. If $G$ is non-compact then $M$ is qc conformally equivalent to the unit sphere $S$ in quaternionic space.

Proof. The argument follows closely the proof of the CR case and has two steps. The first step is to show the local equivalence to the sphere. This is done analogously to the CR case [W1] or [W2]. The key of the proof is the existence of an invariant one form of contact structures when $M$ is not locally qc-conformally flat. In our case this is achieved with the help of the qc conformal curvature tensor $W^{q c}$, namely $\eta^{*}=\left\|W^{q c}\right\| \eta$, cf. Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3. Let $G_{1}$ be a one-parameter subgroup of $G$ with infinitesimal generator $Q$. Suppose $M$ is not locally flat, it is enough to show that $G_{1}$ is compact, which will be a contradiction with the non-compactness of $G$ by [MZ]. Let $U$ be a non-empty connected open set where $W^{q c}$ does not vanish. Consider $\eta^{*}$ only on $U$, where it is an invariant form under conformal qc transformations. Let $\xi_{1}^{*}, \xi_{2}^{*}, \xi_{3}^{*}$ be the Reeb vector fields of the form $\eta^{*}$. We have $\mathcal{L}_{Q} \eta_{j}^{*}=0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{Q} \xi_{j}^{*}=0$. On $U$ we can decompose $Q$ uniquely as $Q=Q_{H}+\eta_{j}^{*}(Q) \xi_{j}^{*}$. By [IMV1, Corollary 7.5], the function $f=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left[\eta_{j}^{*}(Q)\right]^{2}$ does not vanish identically. For a sufficiently small $\epsilon$ define the set $U_{\epsilon}=\{m \in U: f \geq \epsilon\}$. Notice that $f(m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \partial U$ and thus $U_{\epsilon}$ is a closed subset of $M$ hence a compact. Furthermore $U_{\epsilon}$ is invariant under the flow of $Q$ since $Q f=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \eta_{j}^{*}(Q)\left[\left(\mathcal{L}_{Q} \eta_{j}^{*}\right)(Q)+\eta_{j}^{*}([Q, Q])\right]=0$, and also under the closure of $G_{1}$. Let $P$ be the principle bundle over $\left(U, \eta^{*}\right)$ with fibre isomorphic to $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathrm{n}) \operatorname{Sp}(1)$ determined by the qc structure $\eta^{*}$, and $P_{\epsilon}$ the part over $U_{\epsilon}$. From the above considerations $P_{\epsilon}$ is invariant under the closure $\bar{G}_{1}$. By [Ko, Ch. I,Theorem 3.2] $G_{1}$ embeds as a closed submanifold of $P_{\epsilon}$. Hence $G_{1}$ is compact and the proof of step 1 is complete.

The second step is to show the global equivalence, which is done in $[\mathrm{K}$, Proposition D$]$, see also $[\mathrm{K}$, Problem E (a)] and for the CR case [L]. The analysis there involves the dynamics of one-parameter groups of qc conformal automorphisms.

Remark 6.2. a) The dynamics of one-parameter groups of conformal automorphisms has been studied in the more general setting of boundaries of rank one symmetric spaces in $[\mathrm{F}]$, which results in the proof of a general Ferrand-Obata type theorem established in [F].
b) We note that the properties of the curvature of the Biquard connection investigated in the paper allow to apply the analysis of $[\mathrm{S}]$ to the case of a qc structure (Proposition 3.2 supports $[\mathrm{S}$, Lemma 4.1], the quaternionic contact parabolic normal coordinates of [Ku] supply the pseudohermitian normal coordinates of [JL] in the quaternionic contact case) and, thus, a proof of Theorem 6.1 could be obtained following the approach of [S].
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