

Scrambling and out-of-time-order correlators I



Sivaprasad Omanakuttan (CQuIC) 07/07/21

Classical chaos







- Almost all systems with more than one degree of freedom
- Ergodic: Phase space average =time average
- Mixing

Classical chaos







- Almost all systems with more than one degree of freedom
- Ergodic: Phase space average =time average
- Mixing
- Growth of Poisson brackets:

$$\{x(t), p(0)\}^2 = \left(\frac{\partial x(t)}{\partial x(0)}\right) \sim e^{2\lambda t}$$



Quantum Chaos

- We don't have Phase space in Quantum mechanics (x and p don't commute)
- We can study the spectral properties of the system (Changhao's lecture)



Quantum Chaos

- We don't have Phase space in Quantum mechanics (x and p don't commute)
- We can study the spectral properties of the system (Changhao)
- Even understanding whether a system is integrable (given there is no classical limit) is a highly non-trivial (Manuel and Austin).
- There is a notion of thermalization of local observables in quantum chaotic systems (Sam and Mason)



Quantum Scrambling

- Localized information spreads within typical many-body systems fast (exponentially) in the scrambling time scale defining a form of quantum chaos.
- Quantum scrambling consists of two different mechanisms: spreading of information and entanglement transport.
- Spreading of information refers to transfer of information localized in some part of the system to the entirety in some way (related to Lieb-Robinson bound).
- Entanglement transport: Entanglement which was localized in some part of the system could also move to different parts of the system.
- Now we are formally going to understand about these two concepts.

[1] X. Mi and et.al, Information scrambling in computationally complex quantum circuits, arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.08870 (2021)
[2] J. Karthik, A. Sharma, and A. Lakshminarayan, Phys. Rev. A 75, 022304 2007

- One interesting question in many body physics is understand the question of how information which was localized spreads.
- Consider a simple scenario, a discrete one-dimensional system with some local degrees of freedom. We have a Hilbert space \mathcal{V} which can be written as,

$$\mathcal{V} = \otimes_r \mathcal{V}_r$$

• Say we have Alice(A) and Bob(B), who are trying to communicate. Alice wants to send a bit $a \in \{0, 1\}$ and the way they have both access to a shared physical state $|\psi\rangle$

Local Hamiltonian:
$$H = \sum_r h_r$$

Local interaction: h_r
 r
Local Hilbert space: \mathcal{V}_r
 A
 B

[3] B. Swingle. Lecture notes on Quantum information scrambling

• Say we have Alice(A) and Bob(B), who are trying to communicate. Alice wants to send a bit $a \in \{0, 1\}$ and the way they have both access to a shared physical state $|\psi\rangle$



R

• Depending on a, Alice applies a unitary U_a alternatively, she can do nothing, The probability that Bob obtains output b = 0, 1 given that Alice sent a is,

$$\Pr(b|a) = \langle \psi | U_a^{\dagger} e^{iHt} M_b e^{-iHt} U_a | \psi \rangle$$

And using the fact that $\langle \psi | e^{-iHt} M_b e^{iHt} | \psi \rangle = 0$ (If Alice does nothing Bob does not measure anything, Bob makes a measurement M_b of the system to try to learn Alice's bit)

• Say we have Alice(A) and Bob(B), who are trying to communicate. Alice wants to send a bit $a \in \{0, 1\}$ and the way they have both access to a shared physical state $|\psi\rangle$



Depending on a, Alice applies a unitary U_a, The probability that Bob obtains output b = 0, 1 given that Alice sent a is,

R

 $\Pr(b|a) = \langle \psi | U_a^{\dagger}[M_b(t), U_a] | \psi \rangle$

• Where, M_b is the measurement done by Bob to know about Alice's bit and $M_{-1}(t) = -iHt$

$$M_b(t) = e^{iHt} M_b e^{-iHt}$$

Depending on a, Alice applies a unitary U_a, The probability that Bob obtains output b = 0, 1 given that Alice sent a is,

 $\Pr(b|a) = \langle \psi | U_a^{\dagger}[M_b(t), U_a] | \psi \rangle$

• Where, M_b is the measurement done by Bob to know about Alice's bit and

$$M_b(t) = e^{iHt} M_b e^{-iHt}$$

We Could think of it as having another measurement setting, Ø, corresponding to no signal from Alice. The measurement operators then obey MØ + M0 + M1 = I.

Entanglement spread

- So far, we thought of data being classical now we could assume a situation where there also entanglement in the picture.
- Consider two orthogonal initial states, $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ which differ by the application of some local unitary operator W at site r_0 . And we have a Reference system (auxiliary system) R and we have the initial state



Entanglement spread

- So far, we thought of data being classical now we could assume a situation where there also entanglement in the picture.
- Consider two orthogonal initial states, $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ which differ by the application of some local unitary operator W at site r_0 . And we have a Reference system (auxiliary system) R and we have the initial state

$$\frac{\left|\psi_{1}\right\rangle\left|0\right\rangle_{R}+\left|\psi_{2}\right\rangle\left|1\right\rangle_{R}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

- Note that if A and B are initially entangled, then even acting just on A conditioned on the state of R can lead to correlation between R and B.
- In other words, while at time zero the reference is entangled with a single spin in the chain, as time progresses, the reference will instead become entangled a complex collection of many spins.

[3] B. Swingle. Lecture notes on Quantum information scrambling

Out of time ordered Correlators (OTOC)

- Localized information spreads within many-body systems exponentially fast in the scrambling time scale defining a form of quantum chaos.
- OTOC is a measure of scrambling in the system and thus becoming a measure of quantum chaos.
- OTOC is defined as, $F(t) = \langle W_t^{\dagger} V^{\dagger} W_t V \rangle; \quad W_t = U(-t) W U(t)$
- Measures the overlap between two states,

$$|\psi_A\rangle = U^{\dagger}WUV |\psi\rangle; |\psi_B\rangle = VU^{\dagger}WU |\psi\rangle$$

$$F(t) = \langle \psi | W_t^{\dagger}V^{\dagger}W_tV |\psi\rangle = \langle \psi_B |\psi_A\rangle.$$

"An out-of-time-order correlator (OTOC) is a four-point correlation function that probes the way in which (local) perturbation inhibit the cancellation between forward and backward evolution" by Swingle.

Out of time ordered Correlators (OTOC)

- $F(t) = \langle W_t^{\dagger} V^{\dagger} W_t V \rangle$, diagnoses the spread of quantum information by measuring how quickly two commuting operators fail to commute.
- Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} C(t) &= \langle |[W_t, V]|^2 \rangle \\ &= \langle (W_t V - V W_t) (V^{\dagger} W_t^{\dagger} - W_t^{\dagger} V^{\dagger}) \rangle \\ &= \langle W_t V V^{\dagger} W_t^{\dagger} + V W_t W_t^{\dagger} V^{\dagger} - W_t V W_t^{\dagger} V^{\dagger} - V W_t V^{\dagger} W_t^{\dagger} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

• We have three cases,

 $C(t) = 2(1 - \operatorname{Re}[F(t)]), W$ and Vare unitary $C(t) = \langle W_t V^2 W_t + V W_t^2 V \rangle - 2F(t), W$ and Vare Hermitian C(t) = 2(1 - F(t)), Wand Vare unitary and Hermitian

OTOCs in the semiclassical limit.



• For a classical chaotic system
$$\frac{\partial q_t}{\partial q_0} \sim e^{\lambda t}$$
 and we know $\frac{\partial q_t}{\partial q_0} = \{q_t, p_0\}_{q_0, p_0}$

• Now in the semiclassical limit,

$$[q_t, p_0] \sim i\hbar\{q_t, p_0\}$$

OTOCs in the semiclassical limit.





- Now in the semiclassical limit, $[q_t, p_0] \sim i\hbar\{q_t, p_0\}$
- Consider the case $F(t) = \langle W_t^{\dagger} V^{\dagger} W_t V \rangle$, with $W = e^{iaq}$ and $V = e^{ibp}$
- Now in the limit of *a*,*b* are small and in a small-time limit using BCH formula we get,

$$W_t^{\dagger} V^{\dagger} W_t V \approx e^{i^2 a b [q_t, p]} \approx e^{-i a b \hbar e^{\lambda t}}$$

OTOCs in the semiclassical limit.

$$W_t^{\dagger} V^{\dagger} W_t V \approx e^{i^2 a b[q_t, p]} \approx e^{-i a b \hbar e^{\lambda t}}$$

• Hence, we get

$$F(t) = \langle W_t^{\dagger} V^{\dagger} W_t V \rangle \sim e^{-iabe^{\lambda t}}$$

• Hence the phase of this correlation function initially diverges rapidly with t and, as higher order terms become important, the magnitude will also begin to decay.

• For the case of two unitary operators, we have

$$C(t) = \langle |[W(t), V]|^2 \rangle = 2(1 - \text{Re}[F(t)])$$

Where, $F(t) = \langle W_t^{\dagger} V^{\dagger} W_t V \rangle$



• Also, Loschmidt Echo (LE) can be written as a time ordered correlator,

$$G(t) = \langle V_t^{\dagger} V \rangle$$

• For the case of two unitary operators, we have

$$C(t) = \langle |[W(t), V]|^2 \rangle = 2(1 - \operatorname{Re}[F(t)])$$

Where, $F(t) = \langle W_t^{\dagger} V^{\dagger} W_t V \rangle$

• Also, we have Loschmidt Echo (LE) which is a time ordered correlator,

$$G(t) = \langle V_t^{\dagger} V \rangle$$

• Which can be rewritten as,

$$G(t) = \langle \psi_B | \psi_A \rangle; \quad |\psi_A \rangle = V | \psi \rangle, |\psi_B \rangle = U^{\dagger} V U | \psi \rangle$$

• LE measures decay to mean Lyapunov exponent of a corresponding chaotic system.

- LE measures decay to mean Lyapunov exponent of a corresponding chaotic system.
- Decay rate of OTOC depends not only on Lyapunov exponent but also on the number of degrees of freedom: higher the entropy slower the decay.
- Consider the case of n qubits (spin) under unitary evolution
- Fastest way to delocalize the information localized in any of the qubit is to apply a random two body unitaries between n/2 random spins for a time a length t.
- Time ordered auto correlation function decay requires a single step (relaxation of information)

- Consider the case of n qubits (spin) under unitary evolution
- Fastest way to delocalize the information localized in any of the qubit is to apply a random two body unitaries between n/2 random spins for a time a length t.
- Time ordered auto correlation function decay requires a single step (relaxation of information)
- Scrambling however requires information in one spin to spread exponentially fast to all spin chains and hence we require a total time

$$t^* = t \log_2 n$$



 $F(t) = \langle W_t^{\dagger} V^{\dagger} W_t V \rangle$ $G(t) = \langle V_t^{\dagger} V \rangle$

- Loschmidt Echo decays faster compared to OTOC, this is an indicator that OTOC also depends on the total degrees of freedom we need to access.
- LE goes like λ^{-1} but $\lambda^{-1}\log(1/\epsilon)$ where $\epsilon\propto\hbar$

Kicked Rotor: Classical dynamics

- The Hamiltonian of the Kicked Rotor is (K is the kicking strength) $\mathcal{H}(p, x, t) = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + K\cos(x)\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\delta(t-n)$
- It describes a particle that is constrained to move on a ring (equivalently: a rotating stick). The particle is kicked periodically by a homogeneous field (equivalently: the gravitation is switched on periodically in short pulses



with $K_{\rm C} = 0.971635$

Kicked Rotor: Quantum case

• The Hamiltonian of the Kicked Rotor is (*K* is the kicking strength)

$$\mathcal{H}(\hat{p}, \hat{x}, t) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{p}^2 + K\cos(\hat{x})\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\delta(t-n)$$

• The time evolution operator under this Hamiltonian is the Floquet map is,

$$U = \exp\left(-i\frac{1}{2}\hat{p}^2\right)\exp(-iK\cos(\hat{x}))$$

• We have these two quantities of interest,

$$C(t) = \langle [p(t), p(0)]^2 \rangle, \quad B(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\langle p(t)p(0) \rangle\right)$$

[5] E. B. Rozenbaum, S. Ganeshan, and V. Galitski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 086801 (2017).

OTOC in Kicked Rotor

$$C(t) = \langle [p(t), p(0)]^2 \rangle, \quad B(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\langle p(t)p(0) \rangle\right)$$



- We can see that there is an exponentially growth till the Ehrenfest time for C(t), and saturates and universal behavior we will see in lot of systems
- Where as the behavior of B(t) does not give such insights.

[5] E. B. Rozenbaum, S. Ganeshan, and V. Galitski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 086801 (2017).

OTOC in Kicked Rotor



 $C(t) = \langle [p(t), p(0)]^2 \rangle, \quad B(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\langle p(t)p(0) \rangle\right)$

The correspondence with classical behavior is clearly illustrated in this figures as well the notion of Ehrenfest time (which would be useful if there is no classical analogue)

[5] E. B. Rozenbaum, S. Ganeshan, and V. Galitski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 086801 (2017).

OTOCs in a quantum Ising chain

• Consider the quantum Ising chain,

$$H = -\frac{J}{2} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \sigma_j^z \sigma_{j+1}^z + g \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \sigma_j^x \right)$$

- Interestingly or not, this is an integrable model and we know the solutions of if using free fermions.
- One of the reasons being that it is one of the most studied many body system,

$$C_{xx}(l,t) = \frac{1}{2} \langle |[\sigma_l^x(t), \sigma_0^x]|^2 \rangle = 1 - \operatorname{Re}\left(\langle \sigma_l^x(t)\sigma_0\sigma_l^x(t)\sigma_0\rangle\right) = 1 - \operatorname{Re}\left(F(t)\right)$$

[6] C.-J. Lin and O. I. Motrunich, Phys. Rev. B 97, 144304 (2018)

OTOCs in a quantum Ising chain

$$H = -\frac{J}{2} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \sigma_j^z \sigma_{j+1}^z + g \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \sigma_j^x \right)$$

$$C_{xx}(\ell, t) = 1 - \operatorname{Re} F_{xx}(\ell, t)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ell = 1, \beta = 0.0 \\ - -\ell = 1, \beta = 0.2 \\ - -\ell = 1, \beta = 0.2 \\ - -\ell = 1, \beta = 0.2 \\ - -\ell = 2, \beta = 0.0 \\ - \ell = 3, \beta = 0.0 \\ - \ell = 4, \beta = 0.0 \\ - \ell =$$

$$C_{xx}(l,t) = \frac{1}{2} \langle |[\sigma_l^x(t), \sigma_0^x]|^2 \rangle$$

Rather than the exponentially growth we have seen for the case of chaotic case we see an algebraic growth which also indicates there is no chaos

[6] C.-J. Lin and O. I. Motrunich, Phys. Rev. B 97, 144304 (2018)

10⁻¹⁰

OTOCs in a Tilted field Ising chain

• Consider the Hamiltonian,

$$H = -1/E_0 \left(J \sum_{r=1}^L \sigma_r \sigma_{r+1} + h_x \sum_{r=1}^L \sigma_x + h_z \sum_{r=1}^L \sigma_z\right)$$

with $E_0 = \sqrt{4J^2 + 2h_x^2 + 2h_z^2}$

• Similar to the transverse Ising model we are studying,

$$C_{xx}(l,t) = \frac{1}{2} \langle |[\sigma_l^x(t), \sigma_0^x]|^2 \rangle = 1 - \operatorname{Re}\left(\langle \sigma_l^x(t)\sigma_0\sigma_l^x(t)\sigma_0\rangle\right) = 1 - \operatorname{Re}\left(F(t)\right)$$

[7] S. Xu and B. Swingle, "Accessing scrambling using matrix product operators", 1802.00801 (2018).

OTOCs in a Tilted field Ising chain

$$H = -1/E_0 \left(J \sum_{r=1}^{L} \sigma_r \sigma_{r+1} + h_x \sum_{r=1}^{L} \sigma_x + h_z \sum_{r=1}^{L} \sigma_z \right) \qquad C_{xx}(l,t) = \frac{1}{2} \langle |[\sigma_l^x(t), \sigma_0^x]|^2 \rangle$$



[7] S. Xu and B. Swingle, "Accessing scrambling using matrix product operators", 1802.00801 (2018).

OTOCs in a Tilted field Ising chain

$$H = -1/E_0 \left(J \sum_{r=1}^L \sigma_r \sigma_{r+1} + h_x \sum_{r=1}^L \sigma_x + h_z \sum_{r=1}^L \sigma_z \right)$$



 There are three regions of C(t)
 (i) The initial exponential growth related to the Lyapunov exponent
 (ii) The intermediate exponential growth but with a different exponent due to conservation laws
 (iii) The saturation regime

[7] S. Xu and B. Swingle, "Accessing scrambling using matrix product operators", 1802.00801 (2018).

Does Scrambling equal Chaos?

 The unstable trajectories in a small neighborhood of a saddle can be enough for the OTOC to grow exponentially.



The parametrically long exponential growth of out-of-time order correlators (OTOCs), also known as scrambling, does not necessitate chaos. Indeed, scrambling can simply result from the presence of unstable fixed points in phase space, even in a classically integrable model.

[8] T. Xu, T. Scaffidi, and X. Cao, Does Scrambling Equal Chaos? Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 140602 (2020)

Conclusion

- Scrambling is the propagation of localized information in quantum systems and OTOC is very good indicator for the scrambling in the system.
- There is a well-defined classical limit for OTOC and OTOC has more to offer than Loschmidt Echo.
- Study of OTOC in one dimensional non-autonomous systems helps us in understanding the relation of OTOC to Lyapunov exponent
- The Many body systems : For integrable case we have algebraic growth and for non integrable there is well defined behavior of OTOC
- More to follow.... (wait for the next week!)

Thanks for Listening

References

[1] X. Mi and et.al, Information scrambling in computationally complex quantum circuits, arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.08870 (2021)

[2] J. Karthik, A. Sharma, and A. Lakshminarayan, Phys. Rev. A 75, 022304 2007.

[3] B. Swingle. Lecture notes on Quantum information scrambling

[4] B. Swingle, G. Bentsen, M. Schleier-Smith, and P. Hayden, Phys. Rev. A 94, 040302 (2016).

[5] E. B. Rozenbaum, S. Ganeshan, and V. Galitski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 086801 (2017).

[6] C.-J. Lin and O. I. Motrunich, Phys. Rev. B 97, 144304 (2018)

[7] S. Xu and B. Swingle, "Accessing scrambling using matrix product operators", 1802.00801 (2018).

[8] T. Xu, T. Scaffidi, and X. Cao, Does Scrambling Equal Chaos? Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 140602 (2020)

Acknowledgement: (i)Pablo Poggi (CQuIC) (ii) Arul Lakshminarayan (IIT Madras)